



LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE

Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: SB 225 SRS 03- 1061

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp Chamb Action:

Sub Bill For:

Proposed Amd:

Date: April 15, 2003 11:22 AM Author: THEUNISSEN
Dept/Agy: BESE Analyst: Mary Kathryn Drago
Subject: Operation of failed schools

SCHOOLS EG SEE FISC NOTE GF EX See Note Page 1 of 1

Constitutional amendment to authorize BESE to provide for the operation of failed schools, including receiving, controlling, and expending certain funds. (2/3-CA13s1(A))

The proposed constitutional amendment gives power to the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) to supervise, manage, and operate a public elementary or secondary school which has been determined failing or to provide for others to supervise, manage and operate a failed school. BESE is also given the power to receive, control, and expend state funds appropriated and allocated to the Minimum Foundation Program (MFP) and local funds contributed pursuant to the MFP, and any other local revenue available to a school board in amounts that are calculated based on the number of students in attendance in a school determined to be failing. This amendment shall be submitted to the electors of the state at the gubernatorial primary election to be held in 2003.

Table with columns: EXPENDITURES, REVENUES, 2003-04, 2004-05, 2005-06, 2006-07, 2007-08, 5 YEAR TOTAL. Rows include State General Fd., Agy. Self-Gen., Stat. Deds./Other, Federal Funds, Local Funds, and Annual Total.

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION:

State General Fund expenditures will increase if the state operates and manages failed public schools. Currently there are 23 public schools that are considered failing and there are 103 schools on a watch list that could be considered to be failing in the future. The statutory provisions related to the operation of a failed school are listed in SB 710 of the 2003 Regular Legislative Session. The costs associated with the operation of failed schools are addressed in the fiscal note provided for SB 710.

There should be no significant additional costs associated with the submission of this amendment to the electors of the state as the gubernatorial primary election is already scheduled for 2003.

REVENUE EXPLANATION:

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

Dual Referral Rules

Senate

- 13.5.1 >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost
13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change

House

- 6.8(F) >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost
6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease per year

H. Gordon Monk signature

H. Gordon Monk STAFF DIRECTOR