# 2016 REGULAR SESSION ACTUARIAL NOTE HB 53

House Bill 53 HLS 16RS-316	This Note has been prepared by the Actuarial Services Department of the Office of
Enrolled	the Legislative Auditor. The attachment of this Note to HB 53 provides
	compliance with the requirements of R.S. 24:521
Author: Representative Franklin J.	
Foil	
Date: June 2, 2016	$\rho_{1}$
LLA Note HB 53.03	Poul T. Richmand
Organizations Affected:	Paul T. Richmond, ASA, MAAA, EA
<b>Parochial Employees' Retirement</b>	Manager Actuarial Services
System	
EN NO IMPACT APV	

**<u>Bill Header:</u>** RETIREMENT/PAROCHIAL EMP: Provides relative to Deferred Retirement Option Plan accounts in the Parochial Employees' Retirement System and interest on such accounts

# Cost Summary:

The estimated actuarial and fiscal impact of the proposed legislation is summarized below. Actuarial costs pertain to changes in the *actuarial present value of future benefit payments*. A cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

Actuarial Cost to Retirement Systems\$0Total Five Year Fiscal CostExpendituresExpenditures\$0Revenues\$0

# **Estimated Actuarial Impact:**

The chart below shows the estimated change in the *actuarial present value of future benefit payments*, if any, attributable to the proposed legislation. A cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. Present value costs associated with administration or other fiscal concerns are not included in these values.

Actuarial Cost to: All Louisiana Public Retirement Systems Other Post Retirement Benefits Total

#### <u>Change in the</u> <u>Actuarial Present Value</u> \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

## **Estimated Fiscal Impact:**

The chart below shows the estimated fiscal impact of the proposed legislation. This represents the effect on cash flows for the retirement systems and other government entities. Fiscal costs include estimated administrative costs and costs associated with other fiscal concerns. A fiscal cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Actuarial or fiscal savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

EXPENDITURES	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-2020	2020-2021	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

REVENUES	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-2020	2020-2021	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

# 2016 REGULAR SESSION ACTUARIAL NOTE HB 53

#### **Bill Information:**

#### **Current Law**

Under current law, the Parochial Employees' Retirement System (PERS) may select a third party provider to invest and administer DROP accounts for participants who continue to be employed after exiting DROP. However, the PERS' board of trustees has never elected to engage a third party provider. All DROP accounts are credited with interest annually based on the investment return associated with J. P. Morgan's prime money market institutional shares. J. P. Morgan is PERS' custodial bank.

#### **Proposed Law**

HB 53 removes the authority of the PERS' board to engage a third party provider and codifies current administrative practices for granting interest credits on DROP accounts.

#### **Implications of the Proposed Changes**

HB 53 has no effect on plan benefits or plan costs. It merely codifies current practices. The Internal Revenue Service requested this change as a condition for the issuance of a favorable determination letter on the qualified status of the retirement system.

#### **Cost Analysis:**

#### **Analysis of Actuarial Costs**

HB 53 does not contain any benefit provisions having an actuarial cost.

#### **Retirement Systems**

There are no actuarial costs associated with HB 53.

#### **Other Post-Employment Benefits**

There are no actuarial costs associated with HB 53 for post-employment benefits other than pensions.

#### **Analysis of Fiscal Costs**

HB 53 has no effect on fiscal costs.

#### Actuarial Data, Methods and Assumptions

This actuarial note was prepared using actuarial data, methods, and assumptions as disclosed in the most recent actuarial valuation report adopted by PRSAC. These assumptions and methods are in compliance with actuarial standards of practice. This data, methods and assumptions are being used to provide consistency with the actuary for the retirement system who may also be providing testimony to the Senate and House retirement committees.

## <u>Actuarial Caveat</u>

There is nothing in HB 53 that will compromise the signing actuary's ability to present an unbiased statement of actuarial opinion.

#### Actuarial Credentials:

Paul T. Richmond is the Manager of Actuarial Services for the Louisiana Legislative Auditor. He is an Enrolled Actuary, a member of the American Academy of Actuaries, a member of the Society of Actuaries and has met the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries necessary to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

#### **Dual Referral:**

<u>Senate</u>	House
13.5.1: Annual Fiscal Cost $\geq$ \$100,000	6.8(F)(1): Annual Fiscal Cost $\geq$ \$100,000
13.5.2: Annual Tax or Fee Change $\geq$ \$500,000	6.8(F)(2): Annual Revenue Reduction $\geq$ \$100,000
	6.8(G): Annual Tax or Fee Change $\geq$ \$500,000