HLS 17RS-651 ORIGINAL

2017 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 620

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, BROADWATER, FOIL, JACKSON, JOHNSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO AND LAFLEUR

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2017-2018

1 AN ACT

- 2 To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
- 3 Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
- 4 Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
- 5 Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
- 6 Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Seven Million Three Hundred Ninety-
- 7 Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-Nine No/100 (\$187,394,369.00) Dollars, or so much
- 8 thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
- 9 including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
- 10 Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
- B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:

## 12 **03-8170 SUPREME COURT**

Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

Page 1 of 14

1	Goal: To protect the rule of law.				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable opportus Supreme Court of decisions made by lower trib <i>General Performance Information:</i>		igants to seek	review in the	
<u>.</u>	General Terjormance Injormation.	2014	2015	2016	
5	T . I Fill				
0	Total Filings	2,716	2,365	2,283	
7	Total Appeals Filed	12	6	9	
8	Total Writs Filed	2,496	2,172	2,092	
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,592	2,486	2,142	
10	Goal: To promote the rule of law.				
11 12	<b>Objective:</b> To resolve cases in a timely mann <i>General Performance Information:</i>	er.			
13		2014	2015	2016	
14	Percentage of noncriminal case				
15	applications acted on within Supreme				
16		01.90/	07.10/	04.10/	
	Court standard of 120 days of filing	91.8%	97.1%	94.1%	
17	Percentage of criminal case applications				
18	acted on within Supreme Court				
19	standard of 120 days of filing	30.7%	36.1%	48.7%	
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction	2017,0	201170	70.770	
20					
21	applications acted on within Supreme				
22 23 24 25 26	Court standard of 120 days of filing	2.1%	3%	26%	
23	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings				
24	acted upon within Supreme Court				
25		05.207	0.707	02.60/	
23	standard of 120 days of filing	95.2%	97%	93.6%	
26	Percentage of opinions rendered within				
27	Supreme Court standard of 84 days				
28	from argument	81.5%	75.8%	66.7%	
20	from argument	01.570	75.070	00.770	
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.				
30	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions.		
31	General Performance Information:				
32		2014	2015	2016	
31 32 33	Percentage of written opinions available				
34	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
<i>3</i> I	to the photic within 5 days of decision	10070	10070	10070	
35	<b>Objective:</b> To inform the public of operations	s and activi	ties		
36		s and activi	tics.		
27	General Performance Information:				
37		2014	2015	2016	
38	Number of outreach programs	58	100	60	
39	Number of media releases on court decisions	64	66	78	
40	Number of media releases on other matters	25	17	24	
41	v .	23	1 /	24	
	Number of recipients of releases on				
42	court decisions	1,485	1,632	1,775	
43	Number of recipients of releases on other				
44	matters	3,633	4,700	6,010	
15	Oliver Transport 1: 1: 1 and one Continue 1	1			
45	<b>Objective:</b> To ensure the highest professional of	conduct, into	egrity, and con	upetence of the	
46	bench.				
47	General Performance Information:				
48		2014	2015	2016	
49	Average number of hours acquired				
50					
50 51	through continuing legal education	22.50	2 4 2 7	20.00	
31	per judge	33.59	34.25	30.08	
52 53	Number of complaints filed against				
53	judges and justices of the peace	495	529	545	
54	Number of complaints against judges				
55					
55	and justices of the peace resolved or	.=-		<b>500</b>	
56	disposed of in the calendar year	477	601	582	
57	<b>Objective:</b> To ensure the highest professional of	conduct into	egrity and con	nnetence of the	
58	bar.	onduct, IIIt	eginy, and con	inperence of the	
50					
59	General Performance Information:				
60		2014	2015	2016	
61	Average number of hours acquired through				
62	continuing legal education per lawyer	14.92	15.12	15.08	
63		3,040	2,950	2,922	
64	Number of complaints filed against lawyers	3,040	2,950	2,922	
64					
	Number of complaints filed against lawyers				
65	resolved or disposed of in calendar year	3,140	3,046	2,673	

	HLS 17RS	S-651	_	ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):		
2 3 4	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102	\$	1,125,128
5 6 7	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	10,654,654
8 9 10 11 12	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.		
13	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	5,278,486
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	adminis Louisia. of new j provide. retiremol technol advisem	m Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Suptration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judicial Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommended independent of the Judicial Council and the Judicial Assistance of the Judicial Assistance of the Supreme Court and Appellate Court humogical services to courts; and, manages the Trial Court Case Management dicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, manages outreach services to state and local courts, staffs the Committee forms numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.	iciary ( ations f dminis stration an res t Inform nonito	Commission of for the creation strator's Office n of a judicial cource system; nation System.
24	B.	Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	2,068,854
25 26 27 28	Article of judic	m Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional bow, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and pital misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supremion, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical	prosec ie Cou	ute complaints rt the censure,
29	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	420,020
30	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	158,650
31 32	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$	3,000
33 34 35 36	05 06	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at  Law Library of Louisiana for salaries,	\$	1,416,000
38 39		services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	2,060,337
40 41		<b>m Description:</b> The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal informati y, and is open to members of the bar and public.	on nee	eds of the state
42 43 44 45	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	146,663
46 47 48 49	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,365,669

	HLS 17R	S-651		ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1 2 3	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it		
4		more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984
5	10	Judicial College	\$	303,725
6 7		<b>Im Description:</b> The Judicial College was established by order of the Supercontinuing legal education to Louisiana judges.	oreme Co	ourt in 1976 to
8 9 10 11	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or		
12		less estimated at	\$	19,013,380
13 14	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	204,000
15 16	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000
17 18 19	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case		
20		Management Information System	\$	1,368,548
21	15	Information Technology	\$	1,104,532
22 23 24	16	Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,354,780
25 26 27 28 29 30	develop the use and ma indicat	am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist to bing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implement of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and ors and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and firm the supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.	nenting, d rmal cas reportin	and mandating es; developing g performance
31	17	Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	17,145,514
32 33 34 35 36	provide low-lev progra	am Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:5301 and integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with casel, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially sugms. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with education trequirements set by the court.	ise proce pervised	essing to place rehabilitation
37	18	Court Appointed Special Advocates	\$	6,958,734
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	childre Childre screen, advoca interess	Im Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promo in in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance en's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA po train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the stesserve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, to of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and ad in the determination of the best interest of the children involved.	with the rograms trial ju advocat	provisions of which recruit, dge, qualified ing for the best
45	TOTAL -	GENERAL FUND	<u>\$</u>	74,680,658
46 47 48 49 50	19	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less estimated at	\$	6,223,724

84,921,583

19

32

1 2 3	in 198	cam Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was e 5 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and e is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in	lcommissioner	s. The funding
4	20	Payable out of the State General Fund		
5		from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court		
6		Case Management Information Fund, for		
7		the Case Management Information System,		
8		Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal		
9		Procedure, be it more or less estimated at	<u>\$</u>	4,017,201
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Court juveni transf Additi is fun	ram Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and le, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and conal information will also be available from the Department of Public ded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic con P.887(F).	nd managing c ceived from con executive bra Safety & Corre	criminal, civil, urts statewide, nch agencies. ections. CMIS
17		- STATE GENERAL FUND		
18	BY S	STATUTORY DEDICATIONS	<u>\$</u>	10,240,925

#### 20 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

TOTAL SUPREME COURT

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

**Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

33 34	<b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable of made by lower tribunals.	opportunity for mul	ti-judge revie	w of decis
35	General Performance Information:			
36	· ·	2014	2015	2016
37	Total appeals filed	2,050	2,053	1,783
38	Total writs filed	4,325	4,048	3,670
39	Total dispositions rendered	5,741	5,019	4,761

40 Goal: To promote the rule of law. 41 **Objective:** To resolve cases expeditiously. 42 43 44 45 General Performance Information: 2014 2015 2016 Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument: 46 47  $Time\ Standard = no\ more\ than\ 175\ days.$ Criminal cases 151 143 162 48 49 Civil Cases 147 155 149 Median number of days for all cases 149 146 158 Average number of days from argument to rendering of the opinion:  $Time\ Standard = no\ more\ than\ 70\ days.$ Criminal cases 38 43 43 Civil cases 43 42 44 Median number of days for all cases 43 43 43

	HLS 17R	S-651				-	ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1	Goal:	To preserve public trust.					
2 3 4 5 6		ive: To facilitate public access to the all Performance Information:	decisions of	the courts of a	ppeal.		
4			2014	2015	2016		
6		tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
7	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:					
8	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judge	es				
9		and forty-eight (48) Judges of	211			Φ	7.012.546
10		the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	311			\$	7,912,546
11	02	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
12		maintenance of the Court of A	ppeal,			Φ.	10.460.06
13		First Circuit				\$	10,469,867
14	03	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
15		maintenance of the Court of A					
16		Second Circuit				\$	5,868,157
17	04	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
18		maintenance of the Court of A					
19		Third Circuit				\$	8,930,244
20	05	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
21		maintenance of the Court of A					
22		Fourth Circuit				\$	8,347,759
23	06	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
24		maintenance of the Court of A					
25		Fifth Circuit				\$	6,022,679
26	TOTAL (	COURTS OF APPEAL				<u>\$</u>	47,551,252
27	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS					
28		am Description: There are forty-one					
29 30		l jurisdiction over all matters within					
31		l districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24 e courts have exclusive jurisdiction ov					
31 32 33	Orlean	s Parish where there are separate cour	ts exercising	civil, crimina	l, and juvenile	e	
33 34		ctions, respectively. In certain cases, i oncurrent jurisdiction with justices of t					
34 35		generally have appellate jurisdiction					
36 37		pal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except					
38		opellate jurisdiction over justices of the The Civil District Court of Orleans Pa					
39	that pa	rish. The Criminal District Court of	Orleans Par	ish has jurisdi	iction over al	11	
40 41		al cases in the parish. It also has ge pal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish					
42	Parish	has exclusive jurisdiction of many d	omestic case	es in the pari	sh. The four	r	
43 44		e courts located in Caddo, East Baton sclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in				S	
77	nave ex	iciusive jurisuiciion oj juveniie cases ti	i ineir respe	cuve parisnes	•		

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

45 46 47

50

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	General Performance Information:  Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to improve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	<b>2014</b> 97.9%	<b>2015</b> 93.8%	<b>2016</b> 81.3%
8 9 10 11 12	<b>Objective:</b> To encourage all responsible public costs of access to the trial court's proceedings at of money, time, or the procedures that must affordable. <b>General Performance Information:</b>	and records	- whether mea	sured in terms
13 14 15 16	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist self-represented	2014	2015	2016
17	litigants	97.9%	97.9%	85.4%
18 19	<b>Goal:</b> To meet all responsibilities to everyone a timely and expeditious manner.	affected by	the court and	its activities in
20 21	<b>Objective:</b> To encourage timely case manage <i>General Performance Information:</i>	ment and pi	rocessing.	
22 23	Number of parishes reporting criminal	2014	2015	2016
24	disposition data to CMIS	64	64	64
25 26 27	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS  Percentage of surveyed district court chief	100%	100%	100%
28 29 30	judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness			
31	of case processing	91.7%	97.9%	87.5%
32 33 34	Objective: To enhance jury service.  General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
35 36 37	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to make		2013	2010
38	jury service more convenient or effective	93%	100%	90.7%
39 40	<b>Goal:</b> To provide due process and equal protection before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in			
41 42	<b>Objective:</b> To recognize new conditions or operations as necessary.	r emerging	events and to	o adjust court
43 44	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
45	Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
46 47	judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to improve employee			
48	training and development	95.8%	87.5%	85.4%
49 50	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in			
51	FY 2015-2016 to install or implement			
52	technologies	95.8%	100%	91.7%
53 54	<b>Goal:</b> To maintain judicial independence, whits governmental relations and accountability to			le of comity in
55 56	<b>Objective:</b> To inform the community of			
57 58	Danagataga of summand district	2014	2015	2016
58 59	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regular	rly		
60	provided public education and public		0.7.05	0.5.
61	outreach services in FY 2015-2016	89.6%	97.9%	87.5%

	HLS 17R	S-651		ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
2 3 4	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety-one (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	27,187,586
5 6 7	02	Office and travel expenses of District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	\$	1,285,250
8 9 10	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,992,807
11 12 13 14 15	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	80,500
16 17 18 19	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1	\$	117,697
20 21	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000
22 23 24 25	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S.42:851	\$	7,471,735
26 27 28 29 30 31	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	569,959
32 33 34 35	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	470,893
36 37 38 39	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	304,827
40 41	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	51,118
42 43 44	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000
45	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	39,577,372

## 13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

1

23456789

**Program Description:** The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

10 11 12	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,850,464
13 14	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$ 74,750
15 16	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$ 736,127
17 18	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 288,063
19 20	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 454,091
21 22 23	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 503,908
24 25	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 10,600
26 27	Н.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 75,371
28 29	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 57,311
30 31	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$ 1,007,453
32	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$ 733,824
33	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$ 212,661
34	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$ 200,000
35	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	\$ 434,248
36	SUBT	OTAL	\$ 6,638,871
37	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges	
38 39	A.	Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,992,807
40 41	В.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 569,374

	HLS 17RS-651				ORIGINAL HB NO. 620
1 2 3	C. Office and travel expenses of Ju Court Judges as provided by R.S. and R.S. 13:694, respectively		•	<u>\$</u>	103,500
4	SUBTOTAL			<u>\$</u>	2,665,681
5	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	48,881,924		
6 7	03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALARIES BY STATUTE	AND O	FFICE EX	PENSES AS	REQUIRED
8 9 10	<b>Program Description:</b> The category includes court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orl Parish).				
11 12 13 14 15	Mission Statement: The mission of the city and access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in provide equality, fairness and integrity in the independence and accountability, and to reach a procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling	n a timely eir procee a fair and ji	and expeditio dings, to ma ust result by ac	nus manner, to intain judicial therence to the	
16	Goal: To establish a more open and accessible	system of	justice.		
17 18 19	<b>Objective:</b> To encourage all responsible public costs of access to the court's proceedings and r money, time, or the procedures that must be follows:	ecords - w	hether measur	red in terms of	
20 21 22 23	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016	
22 23 24	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist pro se litigants	100%	96.2%	96.2%	
25 26	<b>Goal:</b> To meet all responsibilities to everyone a a timely and expeditious manner.	affected by	the court and	its activities in	
27 28	Objective: To encourage timely case manager General Performance Information:	ment and pi	rocessing.		
29 30 31 32 33 34	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing	<b>2014</b> 284.6%	<b>2015</b> 88.5%	<b>2016</b> 92.3%	
35 36	Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while its governmental relations and accountability to			le of comity in	
37	Objective: To inform the community of the co	ourt's struct	ure and functi	ion.	
38 39 40 41 42 43 44	General Performance Information:  Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2015-2016	<b>2014</b> 92.3%	<b>2015</b> 92.3%	<b>2016</b> 88.5%	
45	<b>Objective:</b> To recognize new conditions or				
46 47	operations as necessary.  General Performance Information:			·	
48 49	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief	2014	2015	2016	
50 51 52 53	judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to improve employee training and development Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief	94.2%	98.1%	96.2%	
54 55 56	judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to install or implement technologies	92.3%	96.2%	90.4%	

	HLS 17R	S-651		DRIGINAL IB NO. 620
1	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
2 3	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$	2,757,560
4 5 6 7	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively	<u>\$</u>	444,777
8	TOTAL (	OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	3,202,337
9 10	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EX REQUIRED BY STATUTE	PEN	SES NOT
11	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
12 13	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	614,938
14 15	_	am Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and neglect Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need o		
16	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters	\$	88,244
17 18	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	\$	63,238
19 20		am <b>Description:</b> The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and with substance abuse problems.	! other	assistance to
21	TOTAL (	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	766,420
22	03-8175	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES		
23	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:		
24	01	Legal representation of children in child protection cases	<u>\$</u>	2,070,853
25 26 27 28 29	in Child order i funding	Im Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation of Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administer to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection is required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.	n ·s	
30	TOTAL N	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES	<u>\$</u>	2,070,853
31	Section	on 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly	√ autł	norized and
32	appointed	agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein	ı prov	vided, or for
33	so much t	hereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid	d out	of the state
34	general fi	and, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference	ce ov	er all other
35	warrants,	except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the s	tate a	nd warrants
36	for expens	ses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant	prov	ided by this
37	Act.			

- B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.
- 3 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
- 4 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
- 5 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
- 6 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state
- 7 general fund on or before September 1, 2018.
- 8 D. For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in
- 9 this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year
- in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the
- 11 Supreme Court.
- E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of
- authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected,
- subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the
- 15 Supreme Court.
- 16 F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators,
- objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and
- are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and
- 19 objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by
- 20 Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.
- G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial
- branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the
- 23 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
- 24 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the
- administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the
- 26 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary
- 27 Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be
- considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency
- employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 3. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017; if vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

# COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2016-2017 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2017-2018 as contained in the original bill.

Operating Budget		Appropriation Request		
Courts and Programs	FY 2016-2017	FY 2017-2018		
Supreme Court				
Total Supreme Court	\$ 63,673,627	\$ 74,680,658		
Courts of Appeal				
Total Courts of Appeal	\$ 45,101,587	\$ 47,551,252		
District Courts				
Total District Courts	\$ 46,311,638	\$ 48,881,924		
Other Courts				
Total Other Courts	\$ 3,132,025	\$ 3,202,337		
Other Programs				
Total Other Programs	\$ 634,064	\$ 766,420		
Non-Judicial State Expenses				
Total State Expenses	\$ 2,070,853	\$ 2,070,853		
Total State General Fund				
and Interagency Transfer				
All Line Items	<u>\$160,923,794</u>	<u>\$ 177,153,444</u>		
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$ 10,407,485</u>	\$ 10,240,925		
Total Funding	<u>\$171,331,279</u>	<u>\$ 187,394,369</u>		

HLS 17RS-651

ORIGINAL
HB NO. 620

#### **DIGEST**

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 620 Original

2017 Regular Session

Henry

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2017-2018 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2017-2018 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$187,394,369 from the following sources: \$177,153,444 out of the State General Fund (Direct); and, \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$ 84,921,583
(2)	Courts of Appeal	47,551,252
(3)	District Courts	39,577,372
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	6,638,871
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,665,681
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	3,202,337
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	766,420
(8)	Non-Judicial State Expenses	2,070,853

TOTAL <u>\$ 187,394,369</u>

Effective July 1, 2017.