## **RÉSUMÉ DIGEST**

## HB 126 2017 Regular Session Bacala

<u>Present law</u> requires that in specific garnishment proceedings, a judgment shall be rendered which provides for payment to seizing creditors.

<u>Proposed law</u> would have allowed the constable of a justice of the peace to receive a 6% fee for collecting money for execution of a writ of fieri facias, without either seizure or sale.

<u>Proposed law</u> would have changed <u>present law</u> to require that the sheriff, marshal, constable, or justice of the peace first receive payment for processing certain garnishment proceedings before payment is made to the seizing creditor.

(Proposed to amend R.S. 13:2590(A)(intro. para.), (B), and (C) and 3921(A); proposed to add R.S. 13:2590(D))

## **VETO MESSAGE**

"Please allow this letter to inform you that I have signed Senate Bill 43 from the 2017 Regular Session. Since the bill will become law, I have also exercised my authority to veto House Bill 126 by Representative Bacala. Signing both bills would create issues in the subsections La. R.S. 13:2590 as House Bill 126 amends the very same provisions that are subject to Senate Bill 43."