DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 386 Original

2018 Regular Session

Jackson

Abstract: Provides for the delay for the return of security deposits for residential leases, and provides, in part, for interest and damages related to the failure to return security deposits.

<u>Present law</u> (R.S. 9:3251) provides for the return of a security deposit within one month after the termination of a lease and allows for the retention of a portion of the deposit to remedy any default.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains these provisions but clarifies language.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that if the lessee fails to provide an address for the return of the deposit, he does not forfeit his right to the return.

<u>Present law</u> (R.S. 9:3251) provides for the transfer of the deposit to a successor in interest of the leased premises.

Proposed law deletes these provisions.

Proposed law provides for the abandonment of the security deposit if not claimed within three years.

<u>Proposed law</u> (R.S. 9:3251.1) provides that a security deposit is a security interest, but the lessor is not required to hold the deposit in a separate account or pay interest to the lessee and proposed law sets forth the ranking of a claim to the deposit.

<u>Present law</u> (R.S. 9:3252) provides for venue and damages for the willful failure to comply with statutory requirements to return the deposit.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains the venue provision but moves it to R.S. 9:3253 and provides a new damage provision in R.S. 9:3253.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides the time period for the return of the deposit and requires a written statement accounting for the retention of any funds.

Present law (R.S. 9:3253) provides for costs and attorney fees.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains these provisions but moves it to R.S. 9:3254 and provides for the damages which may be awarded for a lessor's failure to comply with this Part.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that damages may equal \$300 or twice the amount of the portion of the deposit wrongfully retained, whichever is greater. <u>Proposed law</u> also gives the court discretion in the awarding of damages for technical violations.

Present law (R.S. 9:3254) provides for the nullity of any waiver of a lessee's rights.

Proposed law retains these provisions but modernizes the terminology.

Effective on January 1, 2019.

(Amends R.S. 9:3251-3254)