### 2018 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 698

## BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, GARY CARTER, JACKSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO, LAFLEUR, MARTINY, AND MORRELL

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2018-2019

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; to provide for an effective date; and to provide for related
5	matters.
6	Be it enacted byf the Legislature of Louisiana:
7	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty Million One Hundred Seventy-Seven
8	Thousand One Hundred Sixty-Five No/100 (\$180,177,165.00) Dollars, or so much thereof
9	as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
10	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
11	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
12	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
13	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	<b>Program Description:</b> The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.

Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and
 promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to
 ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench

1 2 and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.

3 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

	1			
4	<b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable opportu	mity for lit	igants to seek	review in the
4 5	Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tril		Buillo to Seen	
6	General Performance Information:	o ununor		
6 7 8	General I erjormanee Injormation.	2015	2016	2017
Ŷ				
0	Total Filings	2,365	2,283	2,181
9	Total Appeals Filed	6	9	4
10	Total Writs Filed	2,172	2,092	1,997
11	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,486	2,142	2,039
10				
12	<b>Goal:</b> To promote the rule of law.			
10				
13	<b>Objective:</b> To resolve cases in a timely mann	er.		
14	General Performance Information:			
15		2015	2016	2017
16	Percentage of noncriminal case			
17	applications acted on within Supreme			
18	Court standard of 120 days of filing	97.1%	94.1%	88%
19	Percentage of criminal case applications			
20	acted on within Supreme Court			
21	standard of 120 days of filing	36.1%	48.7%	34.9%
22	Percentage of pro se post conviction			
$\frac{1}{23}$ 24	applications acted on within Supreme			
24	Court standard of 120 days of filing	3%	26%	20.8%
25	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings			
26	acted upon within Supreme Court			
27	standard of 120 days of filing	97%	93.6%	89.3%
28	Percentage of opinions rendered within			
29	Supreme Court standard of 84 days			
30	from argument	75.8%	66.7%	73.2%
20	ji oni ul gunient	/2.0/0	00.770	/ 5.2/0
31	Goal: To ensure the public trust.			
01	Gouil To ensure the public trust.			
32	<b>Objective:</b> To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court	decisions	
33	General Performance Information:	cine court	uccisions.	
33	General Terjormance Information.	2015	2016	2017
34	Demonstration of anithm and initial manifields	2015	2010	2017
36	Percentage of written opinions available	1000/	1000/	1000/
30	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%
27		1		
37	<b>Objective:</b> To inform the public of operations	s and activi	ties.	
38	General Performance Information:	2015	2016	2015
39		2015	2016	2017
10			<b>6</b> 0	-
40	Number of outreach programs	100	60	70
41	Number of media releases on court decisions	66	78	65
42	Number of media releases on other matters	17	24	18
43	Number of recipients of releases on			
44	court decisions	1,632	1,775	1,932
45	Number of recipients of releases on other			
46	matters	4,700	6,010	3,489
47	Objective: To ensure the highest professional of	conduct, int	egrity, and con	npetence of the
48	bench.			
49	General Performance Information:			
50		2015	2016	2017
51 52	Average number of hours acquired			
52	through continuing legal education			
53	per judge	34.25	30.08	29.03
55	Number of complaints filed against	54.25	50.00	27.05
55	judges and justices of the peace	529	545	533
56		529	545	555
57	Number of complaints against judges			
	and justices of the peace resolved or	(01	502	5.46
58	disposed of in the calendar year	601	582	546
50		1		0.1
59	<b>Objective:</b> To ensure the highest professional c	conduct, int	egrity, and con	npetence of the
60	bar.			
61	General Performance Information:			
62		2015	2016	2017
63	Average number of hours acquired through			

							112 1101 020
1 2		ttinuing legal education per lawyer of complaints filed against lawyers	15.12 2,950	15.0 2,92			
3 4 5	res	r of complaints filed against lawyers olved or disposed of in calendar year at of the State General Fund (Dir	<i>3,046</i> rect):	2,67	73 3,096	ī	
6 7 8	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justic six (6)Associate Justices of the		<u>F</u>	<u>Y 18 EOB</u>		<u>FY 19</u>
9 10		Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102		\$	1,125,252	\$	1,154,936
11 12 13	02	Salaries and other expenses of Supreme Court Proper and sala the Crier of the Supreme Court	ary of	\$	3,804,457	\$	7,898,581
14 15 16 17 18 19	03	Expenses of Judicial Administr Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in A Section 25 of the Constitution Louisiana and under the provis R.S. 13:32 et seq.	article V, of				
20 21	A.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office		\$	4,519,857	\$	4,809,236
22	Progra	<b>m Description:</b> The Judicial Adm	inistrator's	Office	assists the S	upreme	Court in the

Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme Court in the 223 224 225 226 227 228 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system; and support for judicial branch reporting obligations. The Judicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors cases under advisement, provides support for initiatives to ensure access to the courts for limited English proficiency individuals; provides outreach services to state and local courts, applies for and administers grants to improve judicial administration; and staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics. The Judicial Administrator's office administers several programs, including the Supreme Court Drug Court / Specialty Court Office, the Louisiana Protective Order Registry, and CASA and FINS through the Division of Children and Families. The Judicial Administrator's office also provides staff support for the Louisiana Judicial College, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.

37 B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission 38 39 40

41

Program Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional body established under Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and prosecute complaints of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure,

\$

1,821,921

\$

1,930,613

42 43	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$ 355,504	\$ 370,225
44 45	D.	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$ 158,650	\$ 158,650
46 47 48	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$ 3,000	\$ 3,000
49 50 51 52 53	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$ 1,070,364	\$ 1,510,646

suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct.

1 2 3	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	¢	1 671 222	¢	1 754 471
3		and equipment	\$	1,671,322	\$	1,754,471
4 5		<b>m Description:</b> The Law Library of Louisiana so y, and is open to members of the bar and public.	erves th	e legal informa	tion ne	eds of the state
6 7 8	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution,				
9		be it more or less estimated as	\$	86,663	\$	86,663
10 11 12 13	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,365,669	\$	894,459
				, ,		,
14 15 16	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it				
17		more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984	\$	1,469,984
18	10	Judicial College	\$	33,072	\$	55,502
19 20		<b>m Description:</b> <i>The Judicial College was establis continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.</i>	shed by	order of the Sup	oreme (	Court in 1976 to
21 22 23 24	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or				
25		less estimated at	\$	18,155,129	\$	18,595,302
26 27	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424	\$	243,075
28 29 30	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000	\$	60,000
31 32 33	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case				
34		Management Information System	\$	1,048,254	\$	1,048,254
35	15	Information Technology	\$	1,054,733	\$	1,096,238
36 37 38 39	16	Payable out of State General Funds for Operational expenses of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	1,995,709	\$	1,995,709
40 41 42 43 44 45 46	develops the use of and mar indicato	<b>m Description:</b> The mission of the FINS Assistance ing and implementing a needs-based allocation form of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, a adating the use of programmatic standards; develop ors and measures; requiring and monitoring period nerally supervising and assisting local FINS proce	ula; de nd repo ping, imp dic fisca	veloping, implen rting FINS infor plementing, and I reports and fir	nenting rmal ca reporti	, and mandating ses; developing ng performance
46 47	17	Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts	\$	9,755,000	\$	15,155,000

48 Program Description: Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts, such as Drug/DWI Treatment Courts,
49 authorized in 1977 by R.S. 13:5301 - R.S. 13:5304, Reentry Courts, authorized in 2010 by R.S. 13:5401,
50 Mental Health Courts, authorized in 2013 by R.S. 13:5353 - 5358, and Veterans Treatment Courts,

123456

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

22 23 24 66.077.430

authorized in 2014 by R.S. 13:5361 - 5368, are proven and effective alternatives to traditional criminal justice interventions. These programs provide integrated treatment for substance use and mental health disorders, including the appropriate use of sanctions and incentives along with case management, to place participants in need of services into appropriate community-based and judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and treatment requirements pursuant to current best practices and the proven national model.

7 18 Court Appointed Special Advocates \$ 1,794,035 \$ 5,786,886

**Program Description:** The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to promote timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable homes, in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocating for the best interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the best interest of the children involved.

\$

51,491,999

\$

15 TOTAL - GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)

10	TOTTL	GERCERATE FORCE (DRICEOT)	Ψ	51,171,777	$\Psi$	00,077,150
16				<u>FY 18 EOB</u>		<u>FY 19</u>
17	19	Payable out of the State General Fund				
18		from Statutory Dedications, Judges'				
19		Supplemental Compensation Fund,				
20		R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less				
21		estimated at	\$	6,223,724	\$	6,223,724

**Program Description:** The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.

25			<u>FY 18 EOB</u>	<u>FY 19</u>
26	20	Payable out of the State General		
27		Fund from Statutory Dedications,		
28		Trial Court Case Management		
29		Information Fund, for the Case		
30		Management Information System,		
31		Article 887 (F) of the Code of		
32		Criminal Procedure, be it more or less		
33		estimated at	\$ 4,017,201	\$ 4,017,201

Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme
 Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil,
 juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide,
 transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies.
 Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS
 is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under
 C.Cr.P.887(F).

41 42	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS	<u>\$</u>	10,240,925	<u>\$</u>	10,240,925
43	TOTAL SUPREME COURT	<u>\$</u>	61,732,924	\$	76,318,355

44 **03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL** 

45 Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, 46 New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective 47 circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also 48 has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and 49 all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly 50 to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to
 all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana
 Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public
 resources efficiently.

1	Goal:	To protect the rule of law.					IID NO. 0	//0
2 3 4 5	<b>Object</b> tribuna	tive: To provide a reasonable opport	unity for r	nulti-judg	e review of	decisi	ons made by low	wer
4		al Performance Information:						
5			2015	201	6 2	017		
6	Total a	uppeals filed	2,053	1,78	33 1,	897		
6 7	Total w	vrits filed	4,048	3,67	70 3,	420		
8	Total a	lispositions rendered	5,019	4,76	61 4,	439		
9	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.						
10	Object	tive: To resolve cases expeditiously.						
11	Genero	al Performance Information:		• • •				
12 13	Averac	ge number of days from lodging of the	2015	201	<b>16</b> 2	017		
14		to argument:						
15		tandard = no more than 175 days.						
16		al cases	143	16		147		
17 18	Civil C Modia	lases n number of days for all cases	147 146	15 15		162 159		
10	Mealai	n number of days for all cases	140	1.	20	139		
19 20		ge number of days from argument to ing of the opinion:						
21		tandard = no more than 70 days.						
22 23	Crimin Civil co	al cases	43 43		13 12	43 44		
$\frac{23}{24}$		uses n number of days for all cases	43 43		+2 13	44 44		
25		To preserve public trust.						
26	Ohioa	tive. To facilitate public access to the	dooisions	f the cour	ta of annoal			
27		tive: To facilitate public access to the or al Performance Information:		or the cour	is of appeal			
28			2015	201	6 2	017		
29 30		tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100	% 10	00%		
31	Payable o	out of the State General Fund (Di	rect):	Б	V 10 FO	D		10
32	01	Salarian of firms (5) Chief Inda		<u></u>	Y 18 EO	B	<u>FY</u>	<u>19</u>
33 34	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judge	es					
34 35		and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	211	\$	7,913,15	9 5	8,123,0	100
				φ	7,913,13	9 .	o 0,123,0	00
36	02	Salaries and expenses of opera						
37		and maintenance of the Court	of			_		
38		appeal, First Circuit		\$	10,186,97	3 5	5 10,777,1	16
20	0.2							
39	03	Salaries and expenses of opera						
40		and maintenance of the Court	10	¢	5 707 (1	0	C 012 C	4.4
41		appeal, Second Circuit		\$	5,707,61	0 3	6,013,6	944
42	04	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion					
43	04	and maintenance of the Court						
44		appeal, Third Circuit	01	\$	8,739,43	7 4	<b>9</b> ,176,4	28
тт		appeal, Third Circuit		Ψ	0,757,75	/ .	,170,7	20
45	05	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion					
46		and maintenance of the Court						
47		appeal, Fourth Circuit		\$	8,121,40	8 5	8,602,3	96
		<b></b> /			, , , -		, ,-	
48	06	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion					
49		and maintenance of the Court						
50		appeal, Fifth Circuit		\$	5,919,95	<u>6</u>	6,295,5	17
<b>F</b> 1				¢	16 500 54	<b>`</b>		0.1
51	IOTAL (	COURTS OF APPEAL		<u>\$</u>	<u>46,588,54</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>48,988,1</u>	81

1	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS			
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	jurisdic (the 1st exclusiv there a respect jurisdic have ap	<b>m Description:</b> There are forty-one district courts in Louis tion over all matters within their territorial limits, except in , the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family ar ve jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and j ively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction of ction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The di pellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish,	those jud ad juveni. Orleans iuvenile courts ha strict cou municipo	dicial distr le courts h Parish wh jurisdictic ve concurt urts genero al, traffic, o	icts ave gere ons, rent ally and
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	jurisdic District Crimin parish. in Orle jurisdic Caddo,	' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts etion over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish t Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cas al District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over a It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the muni- eans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge etion of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juve East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have	courts ex es in tha ll crimina icipal an Parish enile cou	xist. The C t parish. al cases in d traffic co has exclus irts located	'ivil The the purt sive d in
18 19 20 21 22 23	Mission to justiv equality and acc	nile cases in their respective parishes. <b>n Statement:</b> The mission of the trial courts of Louisiand ce, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditiou y, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain j countability, and to reach a fair and just result by adheren- bstantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in th	s mannet udicial ir nce to the	r, to provi 1dependen e procedui	de ce
24	Goal:	To establish a more open and accessible system of justice			
25 26 27	and cor	<b>ive:</b> To encourage responsible parties to make court facil venient. <i>I Performance Information:</i>	lities safe	e, accessib	le,
28 29 30 31	Percen act	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2016-2017 to improve compliance with e Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	<b>2015</b> 93.8%	<b>2016</b> 81.3%	<b>2017</b> 83.3%
32 33 34 35	costs of of mor afforda		ner measu	ured in terr	ns
36 37 38 39	Percen	al <b>Performance Information:</b> tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2016-2017 to assist self-represented	2015	2016	2017
40	lit	igants	97.9%	85.4%	85.4%
41 42		To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the co- ely and expeditious manner.	ourt and i	ts activitie	es
43 44		ive: To encourage timely case management and processing and proces			
45 46		r of parishes reporting criminal disposition	2015	2016	2017
47 48 49	Percen	ta to CMIS tage of parishes reporting criminal disposition ta to CMIS	64 100%	64 100%	64 100%
<del>5</del> 0		tage of surveyed district court chief judges	2015	<b>2016</b>	<b>2017</b>
51 52 53	ina FY	licating that their courts had taken steps within 2016-2017 to reduce delays and improve the peliness of case processing	97.9%	87.5%	93.8%
54 55		ive: To enhance jury service. Al Performance Information:			
56 57 58	tha	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating tt their court had taken steps within FY 2016-2017 make jury service more convenient or effective	100%	90.7%	92.9%
59	Goal:	To provide due process and equal protection of the law to	o all who	have busi	ness

Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have businessbefore the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions.

							IID 1(0, 0)0
1	Object	ive: To recognize new conditions or emerging ever	nts and	l to adjust c	ourt opera	ation	IS
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	as neces						
3 4	Genera	l Performance Information:		2015	2016	2	2017
5	Percent	tage of surveyed district court chief judges indica	ting	2015	2010	2	.017
6		ions taken in FY 2016-2017 to improve employee					
7		ining and development		87.5%	85.4%	8	27.5%
8 Q		tage of surveyed district court chief judges indica ions taken in FY 2016-2017 to install or impleme					
10		hnologies	m	100%	91.7%	9	5.8%
				10070	21070	-	
11		To maintain judicial independence, while observin		principle c	of comity	in	
12	its gove	ernmental relations and accountability to the publi	c.				
13	Object	ive: To inform the community of the court's struc	ture a	nd function	1.		
14		l Performance Information:					
15	D			2015	2016	2	2017
16 17		tage of surveyed district court chief judges indica at their courts regularly provided public education					
18		d public outreach services in FY 2016-2017	'n	97.9%	87.5%	9	01.7%
		1					
19	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):					
20				<u>FY 18 I</u>	EOB		<u>FY 19</u>
21	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety-one					
22		(191) District Judges as provided					
23		by R.S. 13:691	\$	27,187	,585	\$	27,913,116
24	0.0						
24	02	Office and travel expenses of District					
25		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698	¢	1 205	250	¢	1 295 250
26		and R.S. 13:694, respectively	\$	1,285	,250	\$	1,285,250
27	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of					
28	03	Civil District Court, Orleans Parish,					
28 29		as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,992	807	\$	2,045,988
<i>L</i> )		as provided by R.S. 15.071	Ψ	1,992	.,007	φ	2,045,988
30	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District					
31	Ŭ Î	Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries					
32		of stenographers, clerks, law books,					
33		stationery, telephone, and like					
34		expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	80	,500	\$	80,500
					-		
35	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters					
36		of the Twentieth Judicial District Court	,				
37		including retirement contributions, as					
38		provided by R.S. 13:966.1	\$	114	,514	\$	115,348
39	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans					
40		Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10	,000	\$	10,000
4.1	07						
41	07	State share of Group, Workers'					
42		Compensation, General Liability, and					
43 44		Property Insurance Premiums as	\$	6,834	271	\$	7,018,364
44		provided by R.S.42:851	Φ	0,034	,271	Φ	7,018,304
45	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of					
46	00	the Nineteenth Judicial District and					
47		one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth					
48		Judicial District as provided by					
49		R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715,					
50		respectively	\$	569	,959	\$	584,251
		· ·		-	-		,

1 2 3 4	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	354,707	\$	401,401
5 6 7 8	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	221,305	\$	313,594
9 10	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	49,311	\$	49,311
11 12 13	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000	<u>\$</u>	35,000
14	SUBT	OTAL	\$	38,735,209	<u>\$</u>	39,852,123

15 13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

**Program Description:** The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal and Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

23 24 25	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,850,465	\$ 1,899,846
26 27 28	B.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as Provided by R.S. 13:698	\$ 74,750	\$ 74,750
29 30 31	C.	State's share of group insurance for personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$ 698,422	\$ 714,162
32 33 34 35	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 288,064	\$ 288,064
36 37 38	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 449,821	\$ 449,821
39 40 41 42	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 503,587	\$ 512,065
43 44 45	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 7,950	\$ 10,600
46 47 48	H.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 75,371	\$ 75,371

						11D 110. 070
1 2 3	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	57,312	\$	57,312
4 5 6	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	858,443	\$	912,741
7	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	626,646	\$	733,824
8	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	181,602	\$	212,661
9	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$	87,744	\$	90,236
10	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	<u>\$</u>	371,859	<u>\$</u>	389,163
11	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	6,132,036	<u>\$</u>	6,420,616
12	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges				
13 14 15	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,992,907	\$	1,899,846
16 17	B.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	569,374	\$	584,568
18 19 20 21	C.	Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	<u>\$</u>	103,500	<u>\$</u>	103,500
22	SUBT	OTAL	\$	2,665,781	\$	2,587,914
23	TOTAL D	DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	47,533,026	<u>\$</u>	48,860,653
24 25		OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND C FATUTE	)FFI(	CE EXPENSE	S AS	REQUIRED
26 27	<ul> <li>Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal and</li> <li>traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish).</li> </ul>					
20	Maria		,	<i>(</i> <b>1</b> · · · · <i>)</i>	• 1	

28 Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide 29 access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to 30 provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial 31 independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the 32 procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

**33 Goal:** To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs
 of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time,
 or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.
 *General Performance Information:*

38		2015	2016	2017	
39	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges				
40	indicating actions taken in FY 2016-2017 to assist				
41	pro se litigants	96.2%	96.2%	98.1%	

42 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

					1	ID 110. 070
1 2 3 4 5 6		ive: To encourage timely case management and part of the second s	processin	lg.		
$\frac{2}{3}$	Genera	u Ferjormance Information:	2015	2016	2017	
4		tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges				
6		licating that their courts had taken steps within 72016-2017 to reduce delays and improve the				
7		neliness of case processing	88.5%	92.3%	90.4%	
8 9		To maintain judicial independence, while observ mental relations and accountability to the public.	ving the j	principle of con	nity in its	
10		ive: To inform the community of the court's struc	cture and	function.		
11 12	Genera	al Performance Information:	2015	2016	2017	
13	Percen	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges	2015	2010	2017	
14 15		licating that their courts regularly provided				
16		blic education and public outreach services FY 2016-2017	92.3%	88.5%	88.5%	
17	Object	ive: To recognize new conditions or emerging evo	onts and t	to adjust court o	nerations	
18	as nece		cints and	to adjust court o	perations	
19 20	Genera	al Performance Information:	2015	2016	2017	
$\frac{20}{21}$	Percen	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges	2015	2010	2017	
21 22 23		licating actions taken in FY 2016-2017 to	98.1%	96.2%	92.3%	
24		prove employee training and development tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges	90.170	90.270	92.370	
25 26		licatingactions taken in FY 2016-2017 to install	96.2%	90.4%	90.4%	
20	or	implement technologies	90.270	90.4%	90.4%	
27	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):				
28			<u>F</u>	FY 18 EOB		<u>FY 19</u>
29 30	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$	2,757,559	\$	2,831,152
50		Judges as provided by R.S. 15.1875	φ	2,131,339	φ	2,031,132
31	02	Salaries of seven (7) Municipal and				
32		Traffic and one (1) Parish Court				
33 34		Judge as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5,				
35		respectively	\$	444,777	\$	410,347
		1		<u> </u>		<u> </u>
36		OTHER COURTS REQUIRED	¢	2 202 226	Φ	2 2 4 1 4 0 0
37 38	BY STAT	IUIE	<u>\$</u>	3,202,336	<u>\$</u>	3,241,499
39	03-8174	<b>OTHER COURTS - SALARIES</b>	AND	OFFICE E	XPEN	SES NOT
40		<b>REQUIRED BY STATUTE</b>				
41	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund (Direct):				
42			<u>F</u>	FY 18 EOB		<u>FY 19</u>
43	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective	¢	511 122	¢	5(0,014
44		Care Monitoring Program	\$	511,132	\$	560,014
45 46		<b>m Description:</b> <i>The program tracks and maintai</i> <i>Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in supp</i>				
47	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court				
48		Reporters	\$	71,178	\$	73,976
40	0.2					
49 50	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	\$	61,877	\$	63,634
		Assistance i logram	φ	01,077	φ	03,034
51 52		<b>m Description:</b> <i>The Judges' Assistance Progra</i> <i>dges with substance abuse problems.</i>	m provia	les counseling d	and other	assistance to
53	TOTAL O	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED				
54	BY STAT	TUTE	<u>\$</u>	644,187	<u>\$</u>	697,624

2

10

#### 1 03-8175 NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES

Developed out of the State Concred Fund (Direct):

<i>L</i>	rayable	out of the State General Fund (Direct).		
3			<u>FY 18 EOB</u>	<u>FY 19</u>
4	01	Legal representation of children in		
5		child protection cases	\$ 2,070,853	\$ 2,070,853

**Program Description:** As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection cases as required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.

11 TOTAL NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES <u>\$ 2,070,853</u> <u>\$ 2,070,853</u>

Section 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary
in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.
C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously
appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest
earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;
however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the State
General Fund on or before September 1, 2019.

D. For Fiscal Year 2018-2019, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

## HLS 18RS-460

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

6 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial 7 branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the 8 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary 9 Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the 10 administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the 11 State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be 12 13 considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency 14 employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act. 15 Section 3. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2018; if vetoed by the

16 governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2018, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

# DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 698 Original	2018 Regular Session	Henry
-----------------	----------------------	-------

**Abstract:** Appropriates funds for FY 2018-2019 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2018-2019 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$180,177,165 from the following sources: \$169,936,240 out of the State General Fund (Direct); and, \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$ 76,318,355
(2)	Courts of Appeal	48,988,181
(3)	District Courts	39,852,123
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	6,420,616
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,587,914
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	3,241,499
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	697,624
(8)	Non-Judicial State Expenses	 2,070,853
	TOTAL	\$ 180,177,165

Effective July 1, 2018.