

---

## DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

---

HB 173 Engrossed

2018 Regular Session

Garofalo

**Abstract:** Modifies the prescriptive period for certain successors of decedents and adds a prescriptive period for actions by independent succession representatives.

Present law (R.S. 9:5630) provides that an action by a successor of a decedent not recognized in a judgment of possession against a third person who acquired an interest in immovable property by onerous title is prescribed in two years from the *finality* of the judgment of possession.

Proposed law provides that the action is prescribed in two years from the *rendering* of the judgment of possession.

Present law (R.S. 9:5632) provides that any action against succession representatives, tutors, and curators claiming defective legal procedure or noncompliance with the law in the alienation, encumbrance, or lease of movable or immovable property is prescribed two years from the making of the alienation, encumbrance, or lease.

Proposed law maintains present law but provides that the prescriptive period also applies to actions by independent succession representatives.

(Amends R.S. 9:5630(A) and 5632)

### Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

The Committee Amendments Proposed by House Committee on Civil Law and Procedure to the original bill:

1. Change the trigger for prescription from the recording of the judgment of possession in the conveyance records of the parish in which the property is located to the rendering of the judgment of possession.