HLS 19RS-190 ENGROSSED

2019 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 148

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, JACKSON, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO, LAFLEUR, AND MORRELL

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary for Fiscal Year 2019-2020

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; to provide for an effective date; and to provide for related
5	matters.
6	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
7	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty Two Million Nine Hundred Seven
8	Thousand Six Hundred Ninety Nine No/100 (\$182,907,699.00) Dollars, or so much thereof
9	as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
0	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
1	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
12	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
13	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
26	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and

promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts

under its authority.

1	Goal: To protect the rule of law.						
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Objective: To provide a reasonable opportu Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tril <i>General Performance Information:</i>		igants to seek	review in the			
5	General Terjormance Injormation.	2016	2017	2018			
6	Total Filings	2,283	2,181	2,117			
7	Total Appeals Filed	2,203	2,101	6			
8	Total Writs Filed	2,092	1,997	1,925			
9	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,142	2,039	2,344			
10	Goal: To promote the rule of law.						
11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann	er.					
12	General Performance Information:						
13		2016	2017	2018			
14	Percentage of noncriminal case						
15 16	applications acted on within Supreme	04.10/	0.00/	02 40/			
17	Court standard of 120 days of filing Percentage of criminal case applications	94.1%	88%	83.4%			
18	acted on within Supreme Court						
19	standard of 120 days of filing	48.7%	34.9%	34.1%			
20	Percentage of pro se post conviction	40.770	34.770	34.170			
20 21	applications acted on within Supreme						
22 23	Court standard of 120 days of filing	26%	20.8%	23%			
2 3	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings	20,0	20.070	20,0			
24 25 26	acted upon within Supreme Court						
25	standard of 120 days from filing	93.6%	89.3%	85%			
26	Percentage of opinions rendered within						
27	Supreme Court standard of 84 days						
28	from argument	66.7%	73.2%	74%			
29	Goal: To ensure the public trust.						
30	Objectives. To facilitate public access to Supr	oma Caurt	dagisians				
31	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr <i>General Performance Information:</i>	eme Court	decisions.				
32	General Terjormance Injormation.	2016	2017	2018			
33	Percentage of written opinions available	2010	2017	2010			
34	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%			
35	Objective: To inform the public of operations and activities.						
36	General Performance Information:						
37		2016	2017	2018			
38	Number of outreach programs	60	70	84			
39	Number of media releases on court decisions	78	65	56			
40	Number of media releases on other matters	24	18	20			
41	Number of recipients of releases on	2 /	10	20			
42	court decisions	1,775	1,932	2,054			
43	Number of recipients of releases on other	1,7,70	1,202	2,007			
44	matters	6,010	3,489	5,835			
15				0.1			
45 46	Objective: To ensure the highest professional of	conduct, inte	egrity, and con	npetence of the			
47	bench.						
48	General Performance Information:	2016	2017	2018			
49	Average number of hours acquired	2010	2017	2010			
50	through continuing legal education						
51	per judge	30.08	29.03	29.47			
52	Number of complaints filed against	20.00	23.00	2>.,,			
53	judges and justices of the peace	545	533	543			
54	Number of complaints against judges						
55	and justices of the peace resolved or						
56	disposed of in the calendar year	582	546	428			
57	Objectives Transport 111 Control	1					
57 58	Objective: To ensure the highest professional c	conduct, inte	egrity, and con	npetence of the			
59	bar. General Performance Information:						
60	General 1 erjormance injormation:	2016	2017	2018			
61	Average number of hours acquired through	2010	201/	2010			
62	continuing legal education per lawyer	15.08	14.76	14.75			
63	Number of complaints filed against lawyers	2,922	2,795	2,534			
64	Number of complaints filed against lawyers		•	•			
65	resolved or disposed of in calendar year	2,673	3,096	2,692			

1			<u>]</u>	FY 19 EOB		FY 20	
2 3 4 5	01	Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, as provided by R.S. 13:102	\$	1,125,252	\$	1,125,252	
6 7 8	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	4,963,652	\$	7,838,391	
9 10 11 12 13 14	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.					
15 16	Α.	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	4,758,857	\$	5,297,755	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme Court in the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system; and support for judicial branch reporting obligations. The Judicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors cases under advisement, provides support for initiatives to ensure access to the courts for limited English proficiency individuals; provides outreach services to state and local courts, applies for and administers grants to improve judicial administration; and staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics. The Judicial Administrator's office administers several programs, including the Supreme Court Drug Court / Specialty Court Office, the Louisiana Protective Order Registry, and CASA and FINS through the Division of Children and Families. The Judicial Administrator's office also provides staff support for the Louisiana Judicial College, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary.						
32	В.	Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	1,918,921	\$	2,105,692	
33 34 35 36	Article of judio	tim Description: The Judiciary Commission of Loui V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept cial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may r sion, removal from office, or involuntary retireme	, screen ecomm	, investigate, and end to the Supre	l prose me Co	cute complaints urt the censure,	
37 38	C.	Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	355,504	\$	375,767	
39 40	D	Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	164,749	\$	169,950	
41 42 43	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$	3,000	\$	3,000	
44 45 46 47 48	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,166,951	\$	1,295,129	
49 50 51	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	1,728,322	\$	1,847,306	
52 53		am Description: The Law Library of Louisiana s ry, and is open to members of the bar and public.	serves ti	he legal informa	tion ne	eds of the state	

1 2 3 4	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	86,663	\$	86,663	
5 6 7 8	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	916,846	\$	935,183	
9 10 11 12	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984	\$	1,469,984	
13	10	Judicial College	\$	33,072	\$	49,579	
14 15		m Description: The Judicial College was establized continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.	shed b	y order of the Sup	oreme (Court in 1976 to	
16 17 18 19 20	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	18,155,129	\$	19,296,743	
21 22	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	231,546	\$	280,350	
23 24 25	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000	\$	60,000	
26 27 28 29	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,048,254	\$	1,292,795	
30	15	Information Technology	\$	1,107,733	\$	1,246,008	
31 32 33 34	16	Payable out of State General Funds for Operational expenses of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	1,995,709	\$	2,256,389	
35 36 37 38 39 40 41	developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and reporting performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and financial accountability; and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.						
42	17	Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts	\$	9,755,000	\$	10,054,396	
Program Description: Louisiana Drug and Specialty Courts, such as Drug/DWI Treatment Courts, authorized in 1977 by R.S. 13:5301-5304, Reentry Courts, authorized in 2010 by R.S. 13:5401, Mental Health Courts, authorized in 2013 by R.S. 13:5353 - 5358, and Veterans Treatment Courts, authorized in 2014 by R.S. 13:5361 - 5368, are proven and effective alternatives to traditional criminal justice interventions. These programs provide integrated treatment for substance use and mental health disorders, including the appropriate use of sanctions and incentives along with case management, to place participants in need of services into appropriate community-based and judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, employment, and treatment requirements pursuant to current best practices and the proven national model.							

1	18	Court Appointed Special Advocates	\$	1,794,035	<u>\$</u>	2,552,873
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	childre Childre screen, advoca interess	am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistan in need of care in permanent, safe and stable en's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided train and supervise community advocates. Up tes serve children by providing independent factual tof the children, monitoring cases to which they haven the determination of the best interest of the children.	home l throi oon ap l inform ve been	s, in accordance ugh local CASA pr ppointment by the nation to the judge, n assigned, and ad	with the ogram trial advoc	he provisions of as which recruit, judge, qualified ating for the best
9	TOTAL -	GENERAL FUND (DIRECT)	\$	52,839,179	\$	59,639,205
10 11 12 13 14	19	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less estimated at	\$	6,223,724	\$	6,223,724
15 16 17	in 1985	am Description: The Judges' Supplemental Competito fund salary supplements and salary-related expetis a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil fili	enses t	o judges and comn	ission	ers. The funding
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	20	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court Case Management Information Fund, for the Case Management Information System, Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, be it more or less estimated at	<u>\$</u>	4,017,201	<u>\$</u>	4,017,201
26 27 28 29 30 31 32	Court i juvenild transfe Additio	am Description: The Case Management Information 1993 to provide a statewide information system, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective arred to the CMIS repository, and made available and information will also be available from the Depeted from a court cost assessed on all criminal and	em for orders ole to partme	tracking and ma s. Data is received courts and execu ent of Public Safety	naging from c tive bi & Co	criminal, civil, courts statewide, ranch agencies. rrections. CMIS
33 34		STATE GENERAL FUND FATUTORY DEDICATIONS	<u>\$</u>	10,240,925	<u>\$</u>	10,240,925
35 36 37 38 39	21	Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and Family Services	\$	5,400,000	\$	5,400,000
40 41 42 43 44	22	Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and Family Services	\$	3,992,850	\$	3,992,850
45 46 47		STATE GENERAL FUND THROUGH RAGENCY TRANSFERS	<u>\$</u>	9,392,850	<u>\$</u>	9,392,850
48	TOTAL S	SUPREME COURT	<u>\$</u>	72,472,954	\$	79,272,980

HLS 19RS-190 **ENGROSSED** HB NO. 148

03-8171 **COURTS OF APPEAL**

1

8

10

12

13

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower tribunals.

14 15	tribunals. General Performance Information:	ortainty for inc	ew or dec	
16	General Terjormance Injormation.	2016	2017	2018
17	Total appeals filed	1,783	1,897	1,827
18	Total writs filed	3,670	3,420	3,345
19	Total dispositions rendered	4,761	4,439	4,431

20 Goal: To promote the rule of law.

21	Objective: To resolve cases expeditiously.			
22	General Performance Information:			
23	· ·	2016	<i>2017</i>	2018
24	Average number of days from lodging of the			
25	appeal to argument:			
26	Time $Standard = no more than 175 days$.			
27	Criminal cases	162	147	153
28	Civil Cases	155	162	148
29	Median number of days for all cases	158	159	149
30	Average number of days from argument to			
31	rendering of the opinion:			
32	Time $Standard = no more than 70 days$.			
33	Criminal cases	43	43	44
34	Civil cases	42	44	44
35	Median number of days for all cases	43	44	44

36 Goal: To preserve public trust.

37 Objective: To facilitate public access to the decisions of the courts of appeal.

38	General Performance Information:			
39		2016	<i>2017</i>	2018
40 41	Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%

42	Payable o	out of the State General Fund (Direct):		
43			FY 19 EOB	<u>FY 20</u>
44 45 46	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judges and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:311	\$ 7,913,159	\$ 7,913,159
47 48 49	02	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, First Circuit	\$ 10,286,973	\$ 11,081,160
50 51 52	03	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Second Circuit	\$ 5,807,610	\$ 6,158,296
53 54 55	04	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Third Circuit	\$ 8,839,437	\$ 9,237,081

	HLS 19R	S-190			<u>E</u>)	NGROSSED HB NO. 148
1 2 3	05	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Circuit	\$	8,221,408	\$	8,812,936
4 5 6	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit	\$	6,019,956	\$	6,385,178
7	TOTAL C	COURTS OF APPEAL	\$	47,088,543	\$	49,587,810
8	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS				
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	general judicial juvenile Orlean jurisdic have ap mayors Civil D The Crain the p court ir jurisdic Caddo,	m Description: There are forty-one district of jurisdiction over all matters within their term of districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judic ecourts have exclusive jurisdiction over certains Parish where there are separate courts exercise tions. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts expellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city of courts, except in certain cases. The distriction over justices of the peace in parishes whe istrict Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of iminal District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of Orleans Parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction of Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Bate action of many domestic cases in the parish. The East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishile cases in their respective parishes.	ritorial ial Disti n types ing civil sdiction s. The a n parish ct cour re no po f all ci r diction n over th on Rou four jun	limits, except in tricts) where family of cases and except, criminal, and juve courts have concurtistrict courts genet, municipal, trafficts also have appearish courts exist. Vil cases in that part over all criminal courts exist. The municipal and trust of the municipal and the parish courts located venile courts located wenile courts located.	hose and pt in enile rrent rally and ellate The rish. eases raffic usive eed in	

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

General Performance Information:

31

32 33 34

50

53

		2016	2017	2018
)	Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
'	judges indicating actions taken in			
,	FY 2017-2018 to improve compliance			
)	with the Americans with Disabilities Act			
)	(ADA)	81.3%	83.3%	87.5%

Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable.

General Performance Information:

	2016	<i>2017</i>	2018
Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
judges indicating actions taken in			
FY 2017-2018 to assist self-represented			
litigants	85.4%	85.4%	91.7%

Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing.

54	General Per	formance	Inforn	nation:

	2016	<i>2017</i>	2018
Number of parishes reporting criminal			
disposition data to CMIS	64	64	64
Percentage of parishes reporting criminal			
disposition data to CMIS	100%	100%	100%

1 2 3 4 5 6	ju	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts had	2016		2017	2018		
5	de	ten steps within FY 2017-2018 to reduct lays and improve the timeliness case processing	87.5%	9	3.8%	87.5%		
7 8 9		ive: To enhance jury service. al Performance Information:	2016		2017	2018		
10 11 12 13 14	juo tak ma	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their court had ken steps within FY 2017-2018 to lke jury service more convenient or ective	90.7%	C	2.9%	88.4%		
15 16	Goal:	To provide due process and equal prote the court; and to demonstrate integrity	ction of the	e law t	o all who	have busi		
17 18	Object	ive: To recognize new conditions o ons as necessary.	_				ourt	
19 20	Genera	al Performance Information:	2016		2017	2018		
21 22 23 24 25 26	jud FY tra Percen	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in 2017-2018 to improve employee sining and development tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating actions taken in	85.4%	8	7.5%	85.4%		
27 28	FY	72017-2018 to install or implement Phnologies	91.7%	9	5.8%	95.8%		
29 30		To maintain judicial independence, whernmental relations and accountability t			principle	e of comity	y in	
31 32		ive: To inform the community of the cal Performance Information:	ourt's struc	cture a	and funct	ion.		
33 34 35 36 37	Percen juo reg an	tage of surveyed district court chief lges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in	2016		2017	2018		
38 39		v 2017-2018 ut of the State General Fund (Di	87.5%	9	1.7%	89.6%		
40	1 ayaote o	at of the State General Lana (Di	icci).		FY 19	EOB		<u>FY 20</u>
41	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety						
42 43		(191) District Judges as provid by R.S. 13:691	ed	\$	27,18	87,585	\$	27,187,585
44 45 46	02	Office and travel expenses of I Judges as provided by R.S. 13: and R.S. 13:694, respectively		\$	1 29	85,250	\$	1,285,250
	0.2		C	Ф	1,20	33,230	Φ	1,203,230
47 48 49	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judge Civil District Court, Orleans Pa as provided by R.S. 13:691		\$	1,99	92,807	\$	1,992,807
50 51 52 53 54	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil Di Court, Parish of Orleans, for sa of stenographers, clerks, law be stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 1	laries ooks,	\$;	80,500	\$	80,500
55 56 57	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Repo of the Twentieth Judicial Distr including retirement contributi	rters	,				
58		provided by R.S. 13:966.1		\$	1	14,514	\$	117,507

	HLS 19R	S-190			<u>E</u>	NGROSSED HB NO. 148
1 2	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
3 4 5 6	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	6,834,271	\$	6,950,507
7 8 9 10 11 12	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	569,959	\$	580,720
13 14 15 16	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713		400,707	\$	405,383
17 18 19 20	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	286,234	\$	290,891
21 22	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	49,311	\$	49,311
23 24 25	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000	\$	35,000
26	SUBT	TOTAL	\$	38,846,138	\$	38,985,461
27	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans				
28 29 30 31 32 33 34	of the t Orlean with as the pow cases b	am Description: The Criminal District Court for the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors is, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some othe sistance from its commissioners, has the power of cover to hold preliminary examinations, with authority the fore the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of New Orleans and has general supervisors.	t, and er cou ommit by to be ion of	offenses committe ort. The court, thro ting magistrates in ail or discharge, o all cases tried bej	ed with ough it. all fel r to ho fore the	nin the parish of s magistrate and ony charges and ld for trial, in all e Municipal and
35 36 37	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,850,465	\$	1,850,465
38 39 40	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as Provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750	\$	74,750
41 42 43	C.	State's share of group insurance for personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	733,422	\$	733,422
44 45 46 47	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	288,064	\$	293,811

	HLS 19RS	5-190			<u>E</u> :	NGROSSED HB NO. 148
1 2 3	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	449,821	\$	456,097
4 5 6 7	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	503,587	\$	512,929
8 9 10	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	7,950	\$	10,600
11 12 13	Н.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	75,372	\$	76,845
14 15 16	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	57,312	\$	58,422
17 18 19	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	910,443	\$	996,431
20	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	695,417	\$	771,026
21	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	204,258	\$	223,442
22	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$	87,744	\$	90,008
23	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	\$	391,859	\$	422,539
24	SUBT	OTAL	\$	6,330,464	\$	6,570,787
25	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges				
26 27 28	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,850,465	\$	1,850,465
29 30	В.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	569,374	\$	569,374
31 32 33 34	C.	Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	\$	103,500	\$	103,500
35	SUBT	OTAL	\$	2,523,339	\$	2,523,339
36	ТОТА	L DISTRICT COURTS	\$	47,699,941	<u>\$</u>	48,079,587
37 38	03-8173	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES REQUIRED BY STATUTE	AN	D OFFICE	EXP	PENSES AS
Program Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipal and traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish court (Ascension Parish).						
Mission Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial						

HLS 19RS-190

1 independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public. 3 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice. 4 5 6 7 8 9 **Objective:** To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. General Performance Information: 2016 2017 2018 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief 10judges indicating actions taken in FY 2017-2018 to assist pro se litigants 98 1% 94 1% 96.2% 12 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in 13 a timely and expeditious manner. 14 Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. 15 16 17 General Performance Information: 2018 2016 2017 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief 18 19 judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2017-2018 to 20 21 reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing 92.3% 90.4% 82.4% Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in $\overline{23}$ its governmental relations and accountability to the public. 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 **Objective:** To inform the community of the court's structure and function. General Performance Information: 2016 2017 2018 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2017-2018 88.5% 88.5% 78.4% 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 2016 2017 2018 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2017-2018 to improve employee training and development 96.2% 92.3% 78.4% 40 Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges 41 indicating actions taken in FY 2017-2018 to install 42 $or \ implement \ technologies$ 90.4% 90.4% 78.4% 43 Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct): 44 **FY 19 EOB** FY 20 45 01 Salaries of sixty (60) City Court 46 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875 \$ 2,757,559 \$ 2,757,559 47 02 Salaries of seven (7) Municipal and 48 Traffic and one (1) Parish Court 49 Judge as provided by R.S. 13:2492 and 2563.5, respectively 50 399,682 399,682 51 TOTAL OTHER COURTS REQUIRED 52 BY STATUTE \$ 3,157,241 \$ 3,157,241

OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXPENSES NOT 1 03-8174 2 REQUIRED BY STATUTE 3 Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct): 4 **FY 19 EOB FY 20** 5 01 Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective 6 Care Monitoring Program 536,132 595,770 7 8 Program Description: The program tracks and maintains child abuse and neglect cases in the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support of the Families in Need of Services Program. 9 Orleans Parish Juvenile Court 10 Reporters 77,178 79,985 11 03 For the expenses of the Judges' 12 Assistance Program 61,877 63,473 13 Program Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to judges with substance abuse problems. 15 TOTAL OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED 16 BY STATUTE \$ 675,187 739,228 17 NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES 03-8175 18 Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct): 19 **FY 19 EOB** FY 20 20 Legal representation of children in child protection cases 21 \$ 2,070,853 \$ 2,320,853 22 23 24 25 Program Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal representation in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection cases as required to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility. 27 TOTAL NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES 28 \$ 2,070,853 \$ 2,320,853 29 Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the State 30 General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total amount 31 of Nine Million Four Hundred Ninety-Two Thousand Nine Hundred Eighty and No/100 32 (\$9,492,980) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board 33 or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court. 34 Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and 35 appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for 36 so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state 37 general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other 38 warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants 39 for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this 40 Act.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1 B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary 2 in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state. C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously 3 4 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest 5 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; 6 however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the State 7 General Fund on or before September 1, 2020. 8 D. For Fiscal Year 2019-2020, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in 9 this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year 10 in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the 11 Supreme Court. 12 E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of 13 authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, 14 subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the 15 Supreme Court. 16 The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, 17 objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and 18 are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and 19 objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by

G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 10 of Part G, General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

- 1 Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2019; if vetoed by the governor
- 2 and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1,
- 3 2019, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2019-2020 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2018-2019 as contained in the original bill.

	Operating Budget	Appropriation Request
Courts and Programs	FY 2018-2019	FY 2019-2020
Supreme Court		
Total Supreme Court	\$ 62,232,029	\$ 69,032,055
Courts of Appeal		
Total Courts of Appeal	\$ 47,088,543	\$ 49,587,810
District Courts		
Total District Courts	\$ 47,699,941	\$ 48,079,587
Other Courts		
Total Other Courts	\$ 3,157,241	\$ 3,157,241
Other Programs		
Total Other Programs	\$ 675,187	\$ 739,228
Non-Judicial State Expenses		
Total State Expenses	\$ 2,070,853	\$ 2,070,853
Total State General Fund		
and Interagency Transfer		
All Line Items	<u>\$162,923794</u>	\$ 172,666,774
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$ 10,240,925</u>	\$ 10,240,925
Total Funding	<u>\$173,164,719</u>	<u>\$ 182,907,699</u>

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 148 Engrossed

2019 Regular Session

Henry

Abstract: Appropriates funds for FY 2019-2020 for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the supreme court, courts of appeal, district courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates funds for Fiscal Year 2019-2020 for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government with total funding of \$182,907,699 from the following sources: \$163,273,924 out of the State General Fund (Direct); \$10,240,925 from statutory dedications out of the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund and the Trial Court Case Management Fund; and \$9,392,850 from interagency transfers for Court Appointed Special Advocates and Drug Court. Appropriations from State General fund (Direct) shall be reduced by \$9,492,980 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.

Funding for the ordinary operating expenses of the judicial branch of government is provided in the original bill as follows:

		FY 19 EOB	FY 20 Original
(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$ 72,472,954	\$79,272,980
(2)	Courts of Appeal	47,088,543	49,587,810
(3)	District Courts	38,846,138	38,985,461
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	6,330,464	6,570,787
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	2,523,339	2,523,339
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	3,157,241	3,157,241
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	675,187	739,228
(8)	Non-Judicial State Expenses	2,070,853	2,070,853
	TOTAL	\$173,164,719	<u>\$182,907,699</u>

Effective July 1, 2019

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

The Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Appropriations</u> to the original bill:

- 1. Provide an additional \$250,000 for the legal representation of children in child protection cases.
- 2. Add requirement that appropriations from State General Funds (Direct) contained in this Act be reduced by a total amount of \$9,492,980 pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the La. Supreme Court.