DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 226 Engrossed

2019 Regular Session

Jimmy Harris

Abstract: Provides relative to expert witnesses testifying on the issue of memory and eyewitness identification and the admissibility of such testimony.

<u>Present law</u> authorizes a witness qualified as an expert to testify in the form of an opinion or otherwise if:

- (1) The expert's scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will help the trier of fact understand the evidence or determine a fact in issue.
- (2) The testimony is based on sufficient facts or data.
- (3) The testimony is the product of reliable principles and methods.
- (4) The expert has reliably applied the principles and methods to the facts of the case.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that <u>present law</u> shall not limit the parties in calling expert witnesses on testifying on the issue of memory and eyewitness identification. Further provides that such testimony is admissible at the discretion of the trial judge, and the expert shall not offer an opinion as to whether a witness's eyewitness identification is accurate.

Effective upon signature of governor or lapse of time for gubernatorial action.

(Amends C.E. Art. 702)

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

The Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Administration of Criminal</u> Justice to the original bill:

1. Add to <u>proposed law</u> that an expert's testimony admitted under <u>proposed law</u> shall not offer an opinion as to whether a witness's eyewitness identification is accurate.