

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB** 159 HLS 24RS

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action:

Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.:

Date: March 23, 2024 6:17 PM

Author: BACALA

Dept./Agy.: Courts/Clerks/Judiciary

Subject: Post-Conviction Relief Analyst: Daniel Druilhet

OR +\$1,451,179 GF EX See Note

CRIMINAL/PROCEDURE
Provides relative to post conviction relief

Page 1 of

490

Current law requires that when a decision of an appellate court becomes final, the clerk of court shall transmit a certified copy of the decree to the court from which the appeal was taken, and when received by the lower court, filed and executed. Proposed law requires that after the defendant's conviction and sentence become final, the clerk of the court of appeal is mandated to send an electronic copy of the appellate record free of cost to any defendant imprisoned who has requested a copy of his record, and that failure of the clerk of the court of appeal to do so does not extend the time to file an application for post-conviction relief or constitute a cause of action, grounds to vacate the sentence or remand the case for resentencing; requires the court of appeal to redact all information not subject to public disclosure, along with names, addresses, and identities of jurors who participated in the case; allows an aggrieved party to file a motion for redaction if the safety of that person or the public requires further redaction, or if a redaction would violate a constitutional right of the defendant; allows the court of appeal to remand the motion for redaction to a lower court for the purpose of receiving evidence and ruling on the motion; removes provisions allowing for first claims of factual innocence filed by petitioners on or before December 31, 2022, that would otherwise be barred due to time limitations or procedural objections.

| EXPENDITURES | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
|---------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|
| State Gen. Fd. | \$1,451,179 | \$913,526 | \$950,067 | \$988,069 | \$1,027,592 | \$5,330,433 |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Annual Total | \$1,451,179 | \$913,526 | \$950,067 | \$988,069 | \$1,027,592 | \$5,330,433 |
| REVENUES | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
| State Gen. Fd. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Annual Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

The <u>proposed law</u> is anticipated to increase annual expenditures by \$1.45 M SGF in FY 25 and future fiscal years in the five clerks of court for the courts of appeal and the supreme court associated with hiring an attorney in each of the five clerks of court and one part-time staff attorney and one records clerk in the Louisiana Supreme Court. The additional staff is needed to handle the increased volume of post-conviction relief cases.

A breakout of the anticipated cost by expenditure category is as follows:

F/T Attorneys \$701,365 (5 @ \$140,273 each - \$87,452 salary and \$52,821 benefits)

P/T Attorney \$120,377 (1 @ \$85,000 salary and \$35,377 benefits)
P/T Records Clerk \$56,648 (1 @ \$40,000 salary and \$16,648 benefits)

Personnel Cost. \$878,390 Ongoing Salary Expenses*

Equipment \$148,118
Professional Services \$43,500
Software/Storage \$381,171
FY 25 One time cost \$572,789

Total FY 25 Cost \$1,451,179

*Estimates in subsequent years include a 4% annual increase.

A breakout of the anticipated FY 25 cost by court is as follows:

1st Circuit \$208,900
2nd Circuit \$208,900
3rd Circuit \$244,787
4th Circuit \$268,640
5th Circuit \$272,304
Supreme Court \$247,948

Total FY 25 Cost \$1,451,479

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

| <u>Senate</u> x 13.5.1 >= | <u>Dual Referral Rules</u> \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H} | House | Some Mamor |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H} | 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S} | Patrice Thomas Deputy Fiscal Officer |