

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

234 SLS 24RS Fiscal Note On: SB 364

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action:

Proposed Amd.:

REVISED Sub. Bill For .:

Date: April 5, 2024

5:10 PM

Author: MIGUEZ

Dept./Agy.: Statewide

Analyst: Chris Henry **Subject:** Contract Prohibitions

OR SEE FISC NOTE GF EX See Note **CONTRACTS** Page 1 of Provides relative to prohibition on certain governmental entity contracts with companies that discriminate against firearm

and ammunition industries. (8/1/24)

Proposed law creates a prohibition on governmental entities from contracting with companies that discriminate against the firearm and ammunition industries. Prohibitions are created in both the State Public Bid Law (Title 38) and the State Procurement Code (Title 39). Provides for definitions related to the prohibition. Proposed law only applies to contracts with a value of \$100,000 or more, paid wholly or partially with public funds, and with a company that has at least 10 full-time employees. Proposed law does not apply to a contract that is with a sole-source provider or if the governmental entity does not receive bids from companies which are able to provide the written verification that they do not discriminate against these industries.

EXPENDITURES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW					
Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW					
Ded./Other	SEE BELOW					
Federal Funds	SEE BELOW					
Local Funds	SEE BELOW					
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	SEE BELOW					
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other Federal Funds	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0
•						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed law may result in an indeterminable increase in state and local governmental expenditures across all means of finance. Proposed law restricts public entities from contracting with companies that discriminate against the firearm and ammunition industries. The Office of State Procurement is currently unaware of any supplier which would potentially be excluded from state contracts as a result of the proposed law. If this remains the case, little to no impacts would be incurred, however, if a company which does meet the definition of discriminating against firearm and ammunition industries submits the lowest qualifying bid to provide a good or service, the governmental entity would instead be required to select the next-lowest, albeit higher, qualifying bid in its place.

Voluntarily or involuntarily removing companies which provide goods and services to the government sector from future bidding will likely result in less competition among remaining bidders. In turn, this may result in a marginal but indeterminable increase in overall costs if the remaining bidders marginally increase bid rates with the knowledge that competition has been diminished.

The total impact of proposed law on state expenditures cannot be estimated. Each procurement advertised by the state could potentially be impacted, but the universe of companies that discriminate against firearm and ammunition industries that also participate in providing services to public entities cannot be quantified.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated significant direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure. The Office of State Procurement does add that the LaCarte Purchasing Card (state credit card for authorized employees) generates a 1.7% program rebate on purchases. If financial institutions are excluded or choose not to bid for this service, OSP could realize a loss in this revenue from a reduction in the rebate percentage.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	House	
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	Jotuce Mamors
	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}	6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Patrice Thomas Deputy Fiscal Officer