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Requires a license plate on the front of a motor vehicle for identification purposes

Proposed law defines "permanent registration license plate" to include front and rear display of plates. Present law requires every permanent registration license plate to be securely fastened to the vehicle to which it is assigned and to be clearly visible and legible. Proposed law retains present law and adds a requirement that every permanent registration license plate be displayed on both the front and rear of the vehicle. Proposed law directs the Dept. of Public Safety and Corrections, office of motor vehicles, to promulgate administrative rules and regulations to implement the provisions of this Act. Proposed law directs the LA State Law Institute to alphabetize and renumber the definitions in present law (R.S. 32:1) as amended by the provisions of this Act.

| EXPENDITURES | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Gen. Fd. | \$2,156,751 | \$2,156,751 | \$2,156,751 | \$2,156,751 | \$2,156,751 | \$10,783,755 |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Annual Total | \$2,156,751 | \$2,156,751 | \$2,156,751 | \$2,156,751 | \$2,156,751 | \$10,783,755 |
| REVENUES | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
| State Gen. Fd. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Annual Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

## EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed law will result in an annual increase of approximately $\$ 2.1 \mathrm{M}$ SGF in expenditures within the Department of Public Safety (DPS), Office of Motor Vehicle (OMV) associated with issuing additional license plates.
The expenditure for the issuance of an additional license plate will depend upon the number of registrations that come due each year. DPS reports the average number of plates issued each fiscal year is 758,851 . Proposed law is estimated to double the number of plates issued to approximately 1.5 M annually, for an annual increase of 758,851 additional plates. The anticipated costs in fiscal years beyond FY 25 are detailed below:

| $\$ 1,553,634$ | Manufacturing (717,114 standard plates $\times \$ 1.95=\$ 1,398,373 ; 41,737$ personalized plates $\times \$ 3.72=\$ 155,261)$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\$ 318,717$ | Expiration Decal (758,851 anticipated annual registration renewals $\times \$ 0.42=\$ 318,717$ ) |
| $\$ 284,400$ | Inventory Cost (79 Field Offices $\times \$ 300$ rental expense $\times 12$ Months $=\$ 284,400$ ) |
| $\$ 2,156,751$ | Total Increase |

Note: The bill is silent on the effective date. Therefore, this note assumes that the provisions in the bill are prospective and not retroactive. To the extent that the bill is applied retroactively, then existing registered vehicles will need to receive an additional license plate. OMV reports that if applied retroactively, then each existing registered vehicle will need to be issued two new plates. The LFO is working with OMV to determine why two new plates must be issued for existing registered vehicles as opposed to only one. To the extent only one additional plate needs to be issued instead of two, FY 25 SGF expenses will increase by an additional $\$ 13 \mathrm{M}$. To the extent two additional plates need to be issued for existing registered vehicles, FY 25 SGF expenses will increase by an additional \$28.8 M. See calculations below:
$\$ 17,503,116 \quad$ Manufacturing ( $8,081,234$ standard plates $\times \$ 1.95=\$ 15,758,406 ; 469,008$ personalized plates $\times \$ 3.72=\$ 1,744,710$ ) $\$ 3,591,102$ Expiration Decal (4,275,121 registered vehicles $\times \$ 0.42 \times 2$ license plates $=\$ 3,591,102$ )
$\$ 5,472,155 \quad$ Postage ( $4,275,121$ registered vehicles $\times \$ 1.28=\$ 5,472,155$ )
$\$ 1,900,000$ Additional allocation needed to accommodate the ordering of dual license plates each fiscal year.
$\$ 284,400$ Inventory Cost (79 Field Offices $\times \$ 300$ rental expense $\times 12$ Months $=\$ 284,400$ )
$\$ 28,750,773 \quad$ FY 25 Total Increase ( $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ in subsequent fiscal years)

## REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure. Proposed law does not contemplate OMV collecting additional fees for issuing and/or registering a second license plate.
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