## SENATE FLOOR AMENDMENTS

2025 Regular Session

Amendments proposed by Senator Duplessis to Engrossed Senate Bill No. 218 by Senator Duplessis

- 1 AMENDMENT NO. 1
- 2 On page 1, line 3, after "930.4(H)," delete "and"
- 3 AMENDMENT NO. 2
- 4 On page 1, line 3, after "930.8(A)(7)," insert "and 930.11,"
- 5 AMENDMENT NO. 3
- 6 On page 1, line 7, after "relative to" delete "time"
- 7 AMENDMENT NO. 4
- 8 On page 1, line 10, after "930.4(H)," delete "and"
- 9 AMENDMENT NO. 5
- 10 On page 1, line 11, after "930.8(A)(7)" insert ", and 930.11"
- 11 AMENDMENT NO. 6
- On page 1, line 16, after "<u>verdict</u>" and before the period "<u>.</u>" insert "<u>, subject to the</u>
  provisions of Article 930.11"
- 14 AMENDMENT NO. 7
- On page 2, line 17, after "<u>verdict</u>" and before the period "." insert ", subject to the
  provisions of Article 930.11"
- 17 AMENDMENT NO. 8
- 18 On page 2, after line 21, add the following:

| 19 | "* * *  |
|----|---|
| 20 | Art. 930.11. Limitations on finding of a nonunanimous jury verdict                  |
| 21 | A. If the court finds that the petitioner has proved their jury verdict was         |
| 22 | non-unanimous, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that the dissenting          |
| 23 | juror or jurors voted for an acquittal. The district attorney shall have an         |
| 24 | opportunity to object under Article 927 on the basis that the dissenting juror or   |
| 25 | jurors dissented from the verdict because he voted guilty to a different charge     |
| 26 | as part of a responsive verdict under Article 814. If the court finds the state has |
| 27 | proved so by clear and convincing evidence, the petition shall be dismissed.        |
| 28 | B. If either the petitioner or the state's proof of the jury verdict count          |
| 29 | relies solely on testimonial evidence, the court shall hold an evidentiary hearing  |
| 30 | upon motion of the opposing party.  |
| 31 | C. Where a petitioner's conviction is vacated based solely on a                     |
| 32 | nonunanimous jury verdict, the state shall not be subject to the time limitation    |
| 33 | in Article 582, but shall instead have three years from the date the application    |
| 34 | for post-conviction relief was granted to commence the second trial.                |
| 35 | <b>D.</b> If a court grants relief under this Article, the petitioner shall remain  |
| 36 | in custody pending a new trial "  |