

Dept./Agy.: Insurance

Addiol.

Subject: Pharmacy Benefit Manager transparency and compensationAnalyst: Anthony ShamisINSURANCE/HEALTHEG INCREASE SG EX See NotePage 1 of 1

Provides for transparency and compensation practices relative to pharmacy benefit managers

<u>Proposed law</u> authorizes the Commissioner of Insurance to examine the books or records of a pharmacy benefit manager (PBM) to determine the accuracy of its annual transparency report; the individual and aggregate amount paid by a health insurance issuer to a PBM for drugs, devices or services provided by a pharmacist or pharmacy; and the individual and aggregate amount a PBM paid to a pharmacist or pharmacy for drugs, devices, or services.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that the commissioner may review and approve the compensation program of a PBM or person acting on behalf of a PBM with a health insurance issuer, pharmacy services administrative organization, pharmacy, or pharmacist, or any person acting on their behalf, to ensure that reimbursement for drugs, devices, and services paid to the pharmacist or pharmacy is fair and reasonable.

EXPENDITURES	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	INCREASE	
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

<u>Proposed law</u> is anticipated to increase SGR expenditures by an indeterminable amount within the LA Department of Insurance (LDI) in FY 26, associated with the examination of PBM rebate transparency reports for accuracy, as well as review and approval of a PBM's compensation program to ensure that reimbursement for drugs, devices, and services paid to a pharmacist or pharmacy is fair and reasonable.

Information provided by LDI indicates that review and approval of PBM compensation programs is a new function, and that they do not have adequate staff or technical knowledge to perform the reviews within their current structure. In addition, it is difficult to determine a cost of implementation, as this measure authorizes the commissioner to review and approve PBM compensation plans, but does not require it. Depending on the scope of reviews, and approvals, the fiscal impact could range from implementing this program through a service contract (estimated \$150,000) rather than additional staff. As an illustrative example, if the expectation is to review and approve every provider agreement prior to its effective date, then an additional four to six full-time employees (FTEs) would be required because of the specialized nature of the work. According to LDI, there are over 2,000 licensed pharmacies in the state and approximately 30 PBMs.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules
x 13.5.1 >= 9	5100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}
13.5.2 >= 9	500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}

House 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

song

Patrice Thomas Deputy Fiscal Officer