

Dept./Agy.: Public Service Commission	
Subject: Energy Utility Reporting Transparency Act	Analyst: Richie Anderson

UTILITIES

EN NO IMPACT See Note

Page 1 of 1

Provides for creating a list of energy utility providers that provide annual consumption reporting mechanisms

Proposed law, known as the Energy Utility Reporting Transparency Act, would require the Public Service Commission (PSC) to publish a list of energy utility providers that do or do not offer annual consumption reporting mechanisms annually by March 1st. Additionally, proposed law requires utility companies to report to PSC annually by January 15th on whether they do or do not offer an annual consumption reporting mechanism.

EXPENDITURES	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. Proposed law requires the Public Service Commission (PSC) to publish a list of energy utility providers that do or do not offer annual consumption reporting mechanisms to property owners with multiple meters. PSC indicates that any workload increase associated with the proposed law can be absorbed using existing staff.

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules			
13.5.1 >= \$	100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}			
13.5.2 >= \$	500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			

Change {S & H}

<u>House</u>  $\square 6.8(E)(1) > = $100,000, SGE Eiscal Cost$ 

6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

rong

Patrice Thomas Deputy Fiscal Officer