



**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE**  
**Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: **SB 121** SLS 26RS 282  
 Bill Text Version: **REENGROSSED**  
 Opp. Chamb. Action: **w/ HSE FLOOR AMD**  
 Proposed Amd.:  
 Sub. Bill For.:

|   |         |                                |
|---|---------|--------------------------------|
| <b>Date:</b> May 29, 2026                                       | 8:58 AM | <b>Author:</b> MORRIS, JAY     |
| <b>Dept./Agy.:</b> Secretary of State                           |         |                                |
| <b>Subject:</b> Redistricting Louisiana Congressional Districts |         | <b>Analyst:</b> Kimberly Fruge |

CONGRESS REF SEE FISC NOTE GF EX Page 1 of 1

Provides for the redistricting of Louisiana congressional districts. (See Act)

Proposed law redraws district boundaries for the six congressional districts.

| EXPENDITURES        | 2026-27          | 2027-28    | 2028-29    | 2029-30    | 2030-31    | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
|---------------------|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| State Gen. Fd.      | <b>SEE BELOW</b> | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| Agy. Self-Gen.      | \$0              | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| Ded./Other          | \$0              | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| Federal Funds       | \$0              | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| Local Funds         | \$0              | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| <b>Annual Total</b> |                  | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b>    |

  

| REVENUES            | 2026-27    | 2027-28    | 2028-29    | 2029-30    | 2030-31    | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| State Gen. Fd.      | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| Agy. Self-Gen.      | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| Ded./Other          | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| Federal Funds       | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| Local Funds         | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | \$0        | <b>\$0</b>    |
| <b>Annual Total</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b> | <b>\$0</b>    |

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

Proposed law will result in a one-time expenditure of \$2.8 M SGF for the Secretary of State to mail out notifications to registered voters about changes to the congressional districts. The department estimates the costs of the notification card, printing, and postage at \$0.93 per notification. There are an estimated 3,000,678 voters in the state. The maximum cost exposure would thus equate to approximately \$2,790,631 for notification cards, assuming every voter required notification. **The department has an appropriation of \$2.8 M in the FY 26 budget to send mail notifications to registered voters about changes to the congressional districts. The LFO assumes the department will either send the notifications out before the end of the fiscal year or carryforward funds into FY 27. Therefore, no additional appropriation is needed to implement proposed law.**

The Secretary of State reports that it intends to distribute notifications to every voter. The legislation does not appear to require such notification. To the extent that the department sends notifications only to those voters impacted by redistricting, costs will decrease accordingly. The table below represents the potential costs based on the potential number of voters impacted by the redistricting of the congressional districts.

| Percentage of Voters | Number of Voters | Potential Costs |
|----------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| 100%                 | 3,000,678        | \$2,790,631     |
| 75%                  | 2,250,509        | \$2,092,973     |
| 50%                  | 1,500,339        | \$1,395,315     |
| 25%                  | 750,170          | \$697,658       |
| 10%                  | 300,068          | \$279,063       |

Proposed law may also result in a minimal increase in programming costs for the Secretary of State to update voting machines to reflect the new congressional districts. This cost can likely be absorbed within the department's existing operating budget.

**REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

|   |   |              |
|---|---|--------------|
| <u>Senate</u><br><input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}<br><input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H} | <u>Dual Referral Rules</u><br><input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}<br><input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S} | <u>House</u> |
|---|---|--------------|

  
**Patrice Thomas**  
 Deputy Fiscal Officer