

**LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE**  
**Fiscal Note**



Fiscal Note On: **SB 197** SLS 26RS 512  
 Bill Text Version: **ENROLLED**  
 Opp. Chamb. Action:  
 Proposed Amd.:  
 Sub. Bill For.:

<b>Date:</b> May 29, 2026	10:34 AM	<b>Author:</b> MORRIS, JAY
<b>Dept./Agy.:</b> Supreme Court		
<b>Subject:</b> Reduces Number of Judges on Fourth Circuit Court of		<b>Analyst:</b> Daniel Druilhet

COURTS EN SEE FISC NOTE GF EX Page 1 of 1  
 Reduces number of judges on the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal. (2/3-CA5s9) (8/1/26)

Current law provides that the Court of Appeal for the Fourth Circuit, domiciled in the city of New Orleans, shall have 12 judges; provides that eight judges shall be elected from the first district of the fourth circuit by qualified electors thereof; provides that one judge shall be elected from the second district by the qualified electors thereof; provides that one judge shall be elected from the third district by the qualified electors thereof; provides that two judges shall be elected from the circuit at large by the qualified electors thereof. Proposed law reduces the total number of judges in the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal from 12 to 10; reduces the number of judges elected from the first district from 8 to 7; reduces the number of judges elected from the circuit at large from 2 to 1; provides that it does not deprive any judge in office of the ability to serve the entire remainder of his or her term; provides that to effectuate its provisions, no further elections shall be held for Division G or the first district of the fourth circuit or for the at large seat currently held by Chief Judge Roland Belsome with a term expiring 12/31/31, whether at the end of the current term or due to a vacancy caused by death, resignation, retirement, or incapacity of the judge.

EXPENDITURES	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Annual Total</b>						
REVENUES	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Annual Total</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>

**EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

Proposed law will result in a decrease of approximately \$1.4 M per fiscal year, likely starting in FY 32, to reduce the number of judges on the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal. Proposed law is not scheduled to take effect until the active terms of the judge for Division G in the first district of the fourth circuit, and the impacted at-large seat are scheduled to end. To the extent that a vacancy occurs in either of the two impacted judicial seats (caused by death, resignation, retirement, or incapacity of the judge), prior to the end of the current term, expenditure impacts may occur earlier than FY 32.

Once implemented, the following is the expenditure impact that is expected for each of the two judgeships in the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal. The majority of funds within the projected decrease are from SGF, with a portion of judicial salaries and benefits covered by Statutory Dedications (Judge's Supplemental Compensation Fund):


\$169,407	Judge Salary
\$ 13,800	Judge's Supplemental Compensation Fund
\$ 76,892	Judge Benefits
\$180,000	(2) Law Clerk Salaries
\$ 83,689	Law Clerk Benefits
\$ 58,000	(1) Administrative Assistant Salary
\$ 31,233	Administrative Assistant Benefits
\$ 7,500	Travel
\$ 9,000	Staff Parking
<b>\$629,521</b>	

Additionally, with the elimination of two judgeships, the central staff division of the Fourth Circuit Court of Appeal may be reduced by two staff attorneys and an additional savings of \$180,000. Beginning in FY 32, after the terms of the impacted judicial seats end, for a total of two judgeships, expenditures are expected to decrease by \$1.4 M per fiscal year - (\$629,521 x 2) plus any reduction in staff attorneys (\$180,000).

**REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}		<input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
<input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H}		<input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

  
**Patrice Thomas**  
**Deputy Fiscal Officer**