	OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE	AUDITOR				
Legislative		Fiscal Note On:			218	
Auditoris		Bill Text Version: O Opp. Chamb. Action:	DRIGINAL			
		Sub. Bill For.:				
Sucative (He		Proposed Amd.:				
Date:	November 10, 2005 2:52 PM	Author: HEITMEIER				
Dept./Agy.:	Board of Liquidation, City Debt, City of New Orleans					
Subject:	Ad Valorem Tax	Analyst: Renee Roberie				

TAX/AD VALOREM

OR NO IMPACT LF See Note

Page 1 of 1 Limits increases in millage rates by the Board of Liquidation, City Debt, city of New Orleans until 75% of retained funds have been used for debt service.

Purpose of Bill: This measure would prohibit the Board of Liquidation from increasing millage rates to cover debt service in 2006 until seventy-five percent of retained funds have been used for debt service.

EXPENDITURES	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	<u>2008-09</u>	<u>2009-10</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

According to an official with the Board of Liquidation, the board has \$28 million in retained funds. This measure would require them to use \$21 million of those funds before increasing the millage rate on ad valorem taxes to pay principal and interest and redemption premiums for 2006. This measure only applies to the 2006 debt service.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.



Dual Referral Rules

<u>House</u>

6.8(F) >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change

13.5.1 >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost

□ 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease

Guer David 96.

David K. Greer **Director, Performance Audit**