## HOUSE BILL NO. 1174

## BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN AND TUCKER AND SENATORS MICHOT AND CHAISSON

1	AN ACT					
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the					
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans					
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.					
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:					
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Forty-Six	Million Th	nree Hui	ndred Fifty	-Seven	
7	Thousand Seven Hundred Sixty-Two and No/100 (\$14	16,357,762	2.00) Do	ollars, or so	o much	
8	thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to d	lefray the	expense	s of the jud	diciary,	
9	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the Di	istrict Cou	irts, the	Criminal I	District	
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.					
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is here	eby allocat	ted to pr	ovide as fo	ollows:	
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT					
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general super over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and adminitional conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appoint as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications individual cases, and in addition, has criminal and other appelled Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of discipagainst lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of judges, and fact questions affecting its own appellate jurisdiction authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate a of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training to College and works to improve the administration of justice.	strative rule to any coun ntments of at for writs to ate jurisdicti olinary proce on for the dis tion. It has in nd update the	es not in rt. The storneys review ion. The eedings scipline nherent are Code			
25 26 27 28 29	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Locand promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to us efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integri of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper performance of all courts under its authority.	e public resity, and com	sources petence			
30	Goal: To protect the rule of law.					
31 32 33 34	<b>Objective:</b> To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. <i>General Performance Information:</i>	seek review	w in the <b>2006</b>	2007		
34 35	Total Filings	2595	3042	2497		
36 37	Total Appeals Filed	15 2296	12	16 2320		
38	Total Writs Filed Total Dispositions Rendered	2386 2734	2862 3325	2320 2645		

HB NO. 1174 ENROLLED

1 **Goal:** To promote the rule of law. 2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 **Objective:** To resolve cases in a timely manner. General Performance Information: 2005 2006 2007 Percentage of noncriminal case applications acted on within Supreme Court standard of 120 days of filing 77.6% 72.2% 93.1% Percentage of criminal case applications acted on within Supreme Court standard of 120 days of filing 59.1% 22.3% 29.3% Percentage of pro se post conviction 12 applications acted on within Supreme 13 6.6% 5.9% 7.7% Court standard of 120 days of filing 14 Percentage of bar disciplinary filings 15 acted upon within Supreme Court 16 standard of 120 days of filing 100.0% 70.2% 62.9% 17 Percentage of opinions rendered within 18 Supreme Court standard of 84 days 19 from argument 60.0% 69.6% 70.0% 20 **Goal:** To ensure the public trust. 21 22 23 24 25 **Objective:** To facilitate public access to Supreme Court decisions. General Performance Information: 2005 2006 2007 Percentage of written opinions available to the public within 5 days of decision 100% 100% 100% 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 **Objective:** To inform the public of operations and activities. General Performance Information: 2005 2006 2007 65 Number of outreach programs 28 27 Number of media releases on court decisions 68 74 82 Number of media releases on other matters 18 12 19 Number of recipients of releases on court decisions 64 64 64 34 35 Number of recipients of releases on other 2950 3048 36 37 38 39 40 Objective: To ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of the bench. General Performance Information: 2005 2006 2007 Average number of hours acquired 41 through continuing legal education 25.65 27.15 37.58 per judge Number of complaints filed against 44 judges and justices of the peace 486 477 531 45 Number of complaints against judges 46 and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in calendar year 493 579 48 49 Objective: To ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of the 50 51 52 53 54 General Performance Information: 2005 2006 2007 Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per lawyer 17.34 16.19 19.89 Number of complaints filed against lawyers 3113 2662 2712 55 Number of complaints filed against lawyers 56 resolved or disposed of in calendar year 3025 2456 2241 57 Payable out of the State General Fund: 58 01 Salaries of Chief Justice and six (6) 59 Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, 60 as provided by R.S. 13:102 \$ 881,619

	HB NO.	1174	<u>E</u> 1	<b>ENROLLED</b>	
1 2 3	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	9,669,363	
4 5 6 7 8	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.			
9		A. Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	4,139,712	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Court and the and months and the support of the support of the and the	am Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme in the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council e Judiciary Commission. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies akes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving ministration of justice. The Office provides payroll and other fiscal services Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system, and ets the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system. The all Administrator's Office provides technological services to courts and the street of the Trial Court Case Management Information System. It also manages thow judgeship system, and monitors cases under advisement. The Office less outreach services to state and local courts, staffs the Committee on all Ethics, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and diciary.			
23		B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	1,735,504	
24 25 26 27 28	body e screen author	am Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional stablished under Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, investigate, and prosecute complaints of judicial misconduct. As part of its rity, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure, suspension, al from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct.			
29		C. Court Reporters as required by R.S. 13:981	\$	311,196	
30		D. Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	136,094	
31 32 33	04	Expenses of Committee on Professional Ethics and Grievances including disbarment proceedings, R.S. 37:211 et seq.	\$	3,000	
34 35 36 37	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,254,597	
38 39 40	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	1,803,446	
41 42 43	Legisle	am Description: The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an Act of the ature in 1855 to serve the legal information needs of the public, the state ary, and the practicing bar.			
44 45 46 47	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated at	\$	171,900	

	HB NO. 1	174	<u>E</u>	NROLLED
1 2 3 4	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,710,742
5 6 7 8	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,429,385
9	10	Judicial College	\$	203,473
10 11		m Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the ne Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.		
12 13 14 15 16	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or less estimated at	\$	7,295,014
17 18	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424
19 20	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records	\$	60,000
21 22 23 24	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System	\$	1,194,756
25 26 27	15	Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	2,042,014
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35	local F formuld system manda reporti fiscal re	Im Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist INS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocation a; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and ting the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and ing performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic eports and financial accountability; and generally supervising and assisting INS processes in other ways.		
36	16	Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	11,639,163
37 38 39 40 41 42	through and inc defende Clients	th R.S. 13:5304, provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, sentives with case processing to place low-level, nonviolent drug-involved ants in community-based, judicially supervised rehabilitation programs. are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational, ment, and treatment requirements set by the court.		
43	17	Court Appointed Special Advocates	<u>\$</u>	3,872,595
44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	promot homes, Service and su qualifie the jud which	am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to be timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. It is are provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train pervise community advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, and advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to lege, advocating for the best interest of the children, monitoring cases to they have been assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the ination of the best interest of the children involved.		
53	TOTAL -	GENERAL FUND	<u>\$</u>	49,696,997

	HB NO. 1174			NROLLED
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	18	Administrative expenses of the office of the Clerk of the Louisiana Supreme Court attributable to the selection process of attorney chairman of the Medical Review Panel as provided for in R.S. 40:1299.47 to be paid out of the Patient's Compensation Fund	\$	10,000
8 9 10 11 12	19	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less estimated at	\$	6,000,000
13 14 15 16	establi. ary-rel	<b>Am Description:</b> The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was shed by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salated expenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a fundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.		
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	created for trac well as CMIS Additio & Corr	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court Case Management Information Fund, for the Case Management Information System, Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, be it more or less estimated at  am Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was at by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system cking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. and information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety rections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and convictions as provided under C.Cr.P. 887(F).	\$	2,077,811
32 33		STATE GENERAL FUND TATUTORY DEDICATIONS	<u>\$</u>	8,087,811
34 35 36	21	Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable Out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Social Services	\$	4,000,000
37 38 39	22	Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Social Services	\$	2,936,000
40 41		STATE GENERAL FUND DUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFER	<u>\$</u>	6,936,000
42	TOTAL S	SUPREME COURT	\$	64,720,808

HB NO. 1174 ENROLLED

## 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

1

23456789 Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts. 10 Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide 11 12 meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources 14 efficiently. 15 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law. 16 Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions 17 made by lower tribunals. 18 19 20 General Performance Information: 2007 2005 2006 2802 2593 2790 Total appeals filed Total writs filed 5273 5263 5111 7749 7388 Total dispositions rendered 7586 23 Goal: To promote the rule of law. 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 **Objective:** To resolve cases expeditiously. General Performance Information: 2005 2006 2007 Average number of days from lodging of the appeal to argument: Time Standard = no more than 175 days. 137 Criminal cases 163 173 Civil cases 175 193 153 183 **Total** 169 145 32 33 34 Average number of days from argument to rendering of the opinion: Time Standard = no more than 70 Criminal cases 33 35 36 Civil cases 42 43 37 **Total** 37 39 36 37 Goal: To preserve public trust. **Objective:** To facilitate public access to the decisions of the courts of appeal. 39 General Performance Information: 40 2005 2006 2007 Percentage of written opinions available 42 to the public within 5 days of decision 100% 100% 100% 43 Payable out of the State General Fund: 44 01 Salaries of fifty-three (53) Judges of 45 the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:311 \$ 6,296,837 46 02 Salaries and expenses of operation and 47 maintenance of the Court of Appeal, 48 First Circuit \$ 9,165,211 49 03 Salaries and expenses of operation and 50 maintenance of the Court of Appeal, 51 **Second Circuit** \$ 5,046,875 52 04 Salaries and expenses of operation and 53 maintenance of the Court of Appeal, 54 Third Circuit \$ 7,608,304

	HB NO. 1174			<b>ENROLLED</b>		
1 2 3	05	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fourth Circuit	\$	6,962,630		
4 5 6	06	Salaries and expenses of operation and maintenance of the Court of Appeal, Fifth Circuit	\$	5,250,141		
7	TOTAL	COURTS OF APPEAL	\$	40,329,998		
8	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS				
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	genero judicio juvenio in Orlo juvenio courts The di city, po distric where jurisdi has ju superv The Fo domes Rouge	am Description: There are forty district courts in Louisiana that have all jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those all districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and the courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except teans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and the jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty general jurisdiction have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. It is strict courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by the arish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The the courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes and parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has action of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal Court of Orleans Parish the prisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general prisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. It amily Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many the cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in respective parishes.				
27 28 29 30 31 32	access provid indepe	on Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to be equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial endence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the				
33	Goal:	To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.				
34 35 36 37 38	and conver	To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, nient.  al Performance Information:				
37 38 39 40	ac	ntage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating ctions taken in FY 2006-2007 to improve compliance with e Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)	<b>20</b> 0	2%		
41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Objective: costs of acc of money, affordable.	To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the cess to the trial court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and				
46 47 48		ntage of surveyed district court judges indicating ctions taken in FY 2006-2007 to assist	200	)/		
19		o se litigants	<i>7</i> 9.	2%		

12 Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner. 3 Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. 456789 General Performance Information: 2006 2007 Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition 55 59 data to CMIS Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition data to CMIS 86% 92% 10 2007 11 12 13 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2006-2007 to reduce delays and improve the 14 99.7% timeliness of case processing 15 Percentage of surveyed district chief judges indicating that their 16 17 courts had taken steps within FY 2006-2007 to address compliance with the Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA) 99.8% 18 Objective: To enhance jury service. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 General Performance Information: 2007 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2006-2007 99.6% to make jury service more convenient or effective **Goal:** To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions. 26 27 28 29 30 **Objective:** To inform the community of the court's structure and function. General Performance Information: 2007 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public 99.8% outreach services in FY 2006-2007 Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of 33 comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public. 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 2007 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2006-2007 to improve 99.7% employee training and development Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating 42 actions taken in FY 2006-2007 to ensure timely 43 enforcement of arrest warrants, summons, and subpoenas 99.6% 45 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating 46 actions taken in FY 2006-2007 to install or implement technologies 99.9% 48 Payable out of the State General Fund: 49 01 Salaries of one hundred eighty-nine 50 (189) District Judges as provided 51 by R.S. 13:691 21,015,994 52 02 Office and travel expenses of District 53 Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694 1,268,200 54 03 Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of 55 Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, 56 as provided by R.S. 13:691 \$ 1,569,194

**ENROLLED** 

HB NO. 1174

	HB NO.	1174	<u>E</u> ]	NROLLED
1 2 3 4 5	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:694	\$	78,400
6 7 8 9	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1	\$	109,642
10 11	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000
12 13 14 15	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	5,576,550
16 17 18 19 20 21	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	388,837
22 23 24 25	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	396,072
26 27 28 29	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	254,116
30 31	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	48,013
32 33	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court	<u>\$</u>	35,000
34	SUB	ΓΟΤΑL	<u>\$</u>	30,750,018
35 36 37 38	to provid Twenty-I	however, that of the monies appropriated herein sufficient funds le for the salary, benefits, and associated expenses for a new Fourth Judicial District in Jefferson Parish, in the event that Sens Regular Session of the Legislature is enacted into law.	judge	ship for the

	HB NO. 1	174	<u>E</u>	NROLLED
1	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	exclusiv offenses law in s from its charges dischar jurisdic	m Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has be jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and a committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance a commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or and the power to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate tion of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these		
12 13 14	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,457,109
15 16 17 18	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish and the state's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 13:694 and R.S. 42:851, respectively	\$	617,056
19 20	C.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	273,900
21 22	D.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	442,838
23 24 25	E.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	325,440
26 27	F.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,000
28 29	G.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	71,468
30 31	H.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	55,676
32 33	I.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	802,712
34	J.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	593,266
35	K.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	171,924
36	L.	Sanity Commissions	\$	162,172
37 38	M.	Board of Jury Commissioners	\$	332,112
39	SUBT	OTAL	\$	5,315,673
40	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges		
41 42	A.	Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,569,194
43 44	В.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	448,341
45 46	C.	Office expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694	\$	100,800
47	SUBT	OTAL	\$	2,118,335
48	TOTAL D	DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	38,184,026

HB NO. 1174 **ENROLLED** 

AS

1 2	03-8173	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE E REQUIRED BY STATUTE	XPENSES
3 4 5	municip	<b>m Description:</b> The category includes forty-seven city courts, one pal court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish Ascension Parish).	
6 7 8 9 10 11	provide manner judicial adherer	a Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiana is to access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain a independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and note in the public.	
12	Goal:	To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.	
13 14 15 16 17	the cost terms o and affo	<b>ive:</b> To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make its of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in f money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, ordable.  If Performance Information:	
18			2007
19 20 21	act	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2006-2007 to assist pro litigants	99.6%
22 23		To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its es in a timely and expeditious manner.	
24 25 26 27 28 29 30	<b>Genera</b> Percent ind FY	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges licating that their courts had taken steps within 2006-2007 to reduce delays and improve the teliness of case processing	<b>2007</b> 99.7%
30 31 32 33 34 35	Percent cot cot	tage of surveyed city/parish chief judges indicating that their urts had taken steps within FY 2006-2007 to address inpliance with the Adoption and Safe Families Act (ASFA)  To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity	99.5%
36	_	To inform the community of the court's structure and function.	
37 38 39 40	Percent	Il Performance Information:  tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that  ir courts regularly provided public education and public	2007
41		treach services in FY 2006-2007	99.8%
42 43 44	adjust c	ive: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to court operations as necessary.  Il Performance Information:	
45 46 47 48	act	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2006-2007 to improve ployee training and development	<b>2007</b> 99.9%
49 50 51 52	act enf	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2006-2007 to ensure timely forcement of arrest warrants, summons, and opoenas	99.7%
53 54 55	act	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating tions taken in FY 2006-2007 to install or plement technologies	99.7%
	21104		

implement technologies

	HB NO. 1174		EN	ENROLLED	
1	Payable out of the State General Fund:				
2 3	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$	2,171,354	
4 5 6 7	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respectively	\$	350,227	
8	TOTAL C	OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	2,521,581	
9 10	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EXTREQUIRED BY STATUTE	PENS	SES NOT	
11	Payable or	ut of the State General Fund:			
12 13	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	486,389	
14 15 16	cases in	<b>m Description:</b> The program tracks and monitors child abuse and neglect a the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support Families in Need of Services Program.			
17 18	02 03	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters For the expenses of the Judges'	\$	84,960	
19	03	Assistance Program	\$	30,000	
20 21		<b>m Description:</b> The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and ssistance to judges with substance abuse problems.			
22	TOTAL C	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	\$	601,349	
23	Se	ction 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropri	iation	s, from the	
24	State Gene	eral Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be re	duceo	d by a total	
25	amount of	Two Million Four Hundred Thirty-eight Thousand Two Hundre	ed Fif	fty-one and	
26	No/100 (\$	\$2,438,251.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Juc	licial	Budgetary	
27	Control B	oard or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.			
28	Se	ction 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or his duly	auth	orized and	
29	appointed	agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein	provi	ided, or for	
30	so much th	hereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid	l out	of the state	
31	general fu	and, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference	e ove	er all other	
32	warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants				
33	for expens	ses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant	provi	ded by this	
34	Act.				
35	B.	The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in t	he na	ame of the	
36	judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in				
37	the state.				

HB NO. 1174 ENROLLED

C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest earnings, are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary; however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state general fund on or before September 1, 2009.

- D. For Fiscal Year 2008-2009, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.
- E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.
- F. The program descriptions, general performance indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part 6 General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.
- G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office relative to the administration of pay, retirement, or other benefits to any such employees. Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in this Act.

Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2008; if vetoed by the governor
and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1,
2008, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

**ENROLLED** 

HB NO. 1174

APPROVED: \_\_\_\_\_