Regular Session, 2013

HOUSE BILL NO. 192

BY REPRESENTATIVES EDWARDS AND ABRAMSON

(On Recommendation of the Louisiana State Law Institute)

Prefiled pursuant to Article III, Section 2(A)(4)(b)(i) of the Constitution of Louisiana.

1	AN ACT
2	To amend and reenact Code of Civil Procedure Articles 43, 45, 1702(A), 1951, and 1979,
3	relative to the continuous revision of the Code of Civil Procedure; to provide for
4	exceptions to the general rules of venue; to provide for application of rules to
5	determine proper venue when two or more articles conflict; to require the proof
6	supporting confirmation of a default judgment to be placed into the court record; to
7	require that certain conditions be met before a final judgment may be amended; to
8	provide for exceptions; to require the court to specify its reasons for granting a
9	motion for new trial; and to provide for related matters.
10	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
11	Section 1. Code of Civil Procedure Articles 43, 45, 1702(A), 1951, and 1979 are
12	hereby amended and reenacted to read as follows:
13	Art. 43. Exceptions to general rules
14	The general rules of venue provided in Article 42 are subject to the
15	exceptions provided in Articles 71 through 85 Section 2 of Chapter 2 of Title 1 of
16	Book 1 of this Code and otherwise provided by law.
17	* * *
18	Art. 45. Conflict between two or more articles in Chapter
19	The following rules determine the proper venue in cases where two or more
20	articles in this Chapter may conflict:
21	(1) Article 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, or 83, 84, 86, or 87 governs the venue
22	exclusively, if this article conflicts with any of Articles 42 and 71 through 77;

Page 1 of 4

CODING: Words in struck through type are deletions from existing law; words <u>underscored</u> are additions.

HB NO. 192 ENROLLED

(2) If there is a conflict between two or more of Articles 78 through , 79, 80,
81, 82, 83, 84, 86, or 87, the plaintiff may bring the action in any venue provided by
any applicable article; and
(3) If Article Articles 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, or 83 is not, 84, 86, and 87 are not
applicable, and there is a conflict between two or more of Articles 42 and 71 through

Comment - 2013

Articles added to the Code of Civil Procedure after its 1960 enactment were not included in Article 45. The 2013 amendment adds Articles 84, 86, and 87 to the list of those articles governing venue exclusively if they conflict with the general venue articles. Article 85, providing for actions against domestic corporations with a revoked charter and franchise, has not been included because it provides for multiple venues including Article 42(2) and its exceptions provided in Article 43.

77, the plaintiff may bring the action in any venue provided by any applicable article.

* * *

Art. 1702. Confirmation of default judgment

A. A judgment of default must be confirmed by proof of the demand that is sufficient to establish a prima facie case and that is admitted on the record prior to confirmation. The court may permit documentary evidence to be filed in the record in any electronically stored format authorized by the local rules of the district court or approved by the clerk of the district court for receipt of evidence. If no answer is filed timely, this confirmation may be made after two days, exclusive of holidays, from the entry of the judgment of default. When a judgment of default has been entered against a party that is in default after having made an appearance of record in the case, notice of the date of the entry of the judgment of default must be sent by certified mail by the party obtaining the judgment of default to counsel of record for the party in default, or if there is no counsel of record, to the party in default, at least seven days, exclusive of holidays, before confirmation of the judgment of default.

24. 24. 24.

Comments - 2013

(a) The 2013 amendment to the first sentence in Article 1702(A) adds a new requirement that all of the proof required to establish a *prima facie case* supporting confirmation of a default judgment must be placed into the court record prior to judgment. The change follows La. Const. Art. 1 §19, which grants litigants "the right of judicial review based upon a complete record of all evidence upon which the judgment is based." The amendment is also consistent with jurisprudence holding

HB NO. 192 **ENROLLED**

that "to prevent reversal on appeal, both the plaintiff and the trial judge should be

1

31

32

33

34

35

36

2 vigilant to assure that the judgment rests on admissible evidence that establishes a 3 prima facie case." Arias v. Stolthaven New Orleans, LLC, 9 So.3d 815, 820 (La. 4 2009). 5 (b) Although there is a presumption that a default judgment is supported by 6 sufficient admissible evidence, this presumption may be rebutted through the 7 defendant's utilization of appellate review of the record upon which the judgment 8 was rendered. Without a complete record of the evidence presented to the trial court, 9 meaningful appellate review of default judgments may be impaired. Prior to 10 jurisprudence holding that a simple recitation in the default judgement that "the court 11 reviewed the proof of the demands" is a substitute for the introduction in the record 12 of the evidence considered by the trial court in rendering the judgment is no longer 13 valid. 14 (c) To avoid encumbering the court records with documentary evidence, the 15 2013 amendment provides an option to the trial court to admit documentary evidence 16 in the record in an electronically stored form. See Code of Evidence, Article 1003.1. 17 Art. 1951. Amendment of judgment 18 19 A final judgment may be amended by the trial court at any time, with or 20 without notice, on its own motion or on motion of any party: 21 (1) To alter the phraseology of the judgment, but not the substance; or 22 (2) To correct errors of calculation. 23 On motion of the court or any party, a final judgment may be amended at any 24 time to alter the phraseology of the judgment, but not its substance, or to correct 25 errors of calculation. The judgment may be amended only after a hearing with notice 26 to all parties, except that a hearing is not required if all parties consent or if the court 27 or the party submitting the amended judgment certifies that it was provided to all 28 parties at least five days before the amendment and that no opposition has been 29 received. 30

Comment - 2013

Article 1951 has been changed to require a hearing before a final judgment may be amended, unless the parties consent to the amendment or no opposition is filed after notice. The notice referenced in the Article is to counsel for named parties and self-represented parties entitled to notice under Article 1913. The court may direct notice to other interested persons, such as those entitled to notice in succession proceedings under Article 3305 and 3335.

37

1 Art. 1979. Summary decision on motion; maximum delays 2 The court shall decide on a motion for a new trial within ten days from the time it is submitted for decision. The time may be extended for a specified period 3 4 upon the written consent or stipulation of record by the attorneys representing all parties. When the court grants a motion for a new trial, it shall specify each of its 5 6 reasons in the order. 7 Comment - 2013 8 The last sentence was added to require the court to state all of its reasons in 9 an order granting a new trial. The change was taken from a similar provision in FRCP 59(D). The specification of reasons for granting a new trial may facilitate 10 11 appellate review by supervisory writ or subsequent trial court proceedings. SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

ENROLLED

HB NO. 192

APPROVED: _____