

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: НВ **533** HLS 13RS 926

Bill Text Version: ENROLLED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd .:

Sub. Bill For .:

Date: July 31, 2013 3:23 PM **Author: KLECKLEY**

Dept./Agy.: DHH

Analyst: Shawn Hotstream **Subject:** Provider fees

FUNDS/FUNDING EN SD EX See Note Page 1 of 2

(Constitutional Amendment) Creates the Medical Assistance Trust Fund as a constitutional fund, creates accounts for each provider group paying fees into the fund, and provides for uses of the fund

Proposed Constitutional Amendment creates the Medical Assistance Trust Fund (MATF) as a constitutional fund, and requires the treasurer to establishes separate accounts within the fund for each healthcare provider group in which fees are collected according to current law. Any monies collected from those provider groups (nursing home provider, pharmacy providers, and ICF/DD providers) and interest earned on those monies shall be deposited into the account created for such provider group. Proposed amendment further provides that the legislature shall annually appropriate monies from the fund necessary to provide for the Medicaid rates no less than the average Medicaid rates established for FY 13-14. Rates may be adjusted annually by establishing a rate of inflation, or rebasing (which rates shall not be negative), to be applied to the base rates to establish the new base rate for the next fiscal year for each provider group that pays monies into the fund. Proposed amendment provides for limited conditions in which the base rate paid to these providers can be cut.

EXPENDITURES	2013-14	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	2016-17	2017-18	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Federal Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
					+0	40
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed constitutional amendment provides a floor on funding levels (reimbursement rates) in future fiscal years for nursing home providers, pharmacy providers, and ICF/DD providers, that is based on FY 13/14 funding rates and may be increased annually by establishing a rate of inflation or rebasing (which shall not be negative). The established base rate and annual rate enhancement applies only to the specific provider groups that pay fees into the MATF. The actual inflation factor is not specified in this measure, therefore any projected growth in payments for each provider is indeterminable. To the extent provider fee collections remain the same on an annual basis and the rates are increased by some amount through the payment formula, additional State General Fund match resources may be required.

Additionally, this measure provides limitations relative to future provider rate cuts, specifically by eliminating the ability to make targeted cuts to the specific providers that are currently assessed a provider fee. The governor may only cut the established base rates if a reduction is consented to in writing by two-thirds of the elected members of each house (while the legislature is in session), and the reduction does not exceed the average reduction applied to other Medicaid providers. If the legislature is not in session, the reduction must be approved by two-thirds of the members of the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget. The fiscal note assumes the average reduction language represents an average percentage cut.

See page 2

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Proposed Constitutional Amendment requires the treasurer to create separate accounts within the MATF fund by provider group. Creating accounts to capture nursing home provider fees, pharmacy provider fees, and ICF/DD provider fees, including interest earned on such fees, does not increase aggregate fees generated to the MATF on an annual basis. This measure simply separates revenues collected from each provider group into an individual account for that provider group, and requires payments to the specific providers from the specific account. Any other funds deposited into the MATF would be deposited into a general account.

For illustrative purposes, the MATF is projected to receive approximately \$123,423,470 in FY 14 (fees generated on providers under current law), and will be used as a state match source to draw federal match for Medicaid claims payments. Under this measure, the funds generated from the various providers would be separated and placed in sub accounts in the treasury as as reflected in the table below.

Provider Group	Nursing Homes	ICF/DD	<u>Pharmacy</u>	<u>Total</u>
Provider Fees	\$90,566,376	\$24,416,084	\$8,441,010	\$123,423,470

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>	John D. Cage
x 13.5.1	>= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S	$\mathbb{R}H$ \subseteq 6.8(F) >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S}	
_			John D. Carpenter
13.5.2	>= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	\sqcup 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Lacialativa Figaal Offices

13.5.2 > = \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Legislative Fiscal Officer



LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

ACT 439

533 HLS 13RS Fiscal Note On: HB 926

Bill Text Version: ENROLLED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For .:

Date: July 31, 2013 3:23 PM **Author:** KLECKLEY

Dept./Agy.: DHH

Analyst: Shawn Hotstream Subject: Provider fees

CONTINUED EXPLANATION from page one:

Page 2 of 2

Expenditure Explanation: Continued

The proposed constitutional amendment provides that the proposition be submitted to voters at the November 4, 2014 election, or the first statewide election occurring after the adoption of this Joint Resolution. The November 4, 2014 election is a statewide election, when all precincts in the state are scheduled to be opened. As a regular practice, the Secretary of State budgets for up to 10 constitutional amendments for the fall statewide elections.

<u>Senate</u> **Dual Referral Rules House** x 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}

 $\int 6.8(F) > = $500,000 \text{ Annual Fiscal Cost } \{S\}$

13.5.2 > = \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}

 $\int 6.8(G) > = $500,000 \text{ Tax or Fee Increase}$ or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

John D. Carpenter **Legislative Fiscal Officer**