DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

Talbot HB No. 218

Abstract: Provides that a court shall set a matter with preference if it is seeking a declaratory judgment as to the constitutionality of the expenditure of state funds, notwithstanding a pending petition for injunction or mandamus.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C.P. Arts. 1871 and 1872) provides procedures for declaratory judgments authorizing the court to declare rights, status, and other legal relations for parties affected by a statute, municipal ordinance, contract, or franchise.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C.P. Art. 1878) authorizes supplemental relief based on a declaratory judgment whenever necessary and proper.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains <u>present law</u> and provides that in any action or proceeding seeking a declaratory judgment as to the constitutionality of the expenditure of state funds, the court shall set the matter with preference and proceed to hear and determine the matter expeditiously, notwithstanding resolution of a pending petition for injunction sought pursuant to Article 3601 or a petition for mandamus sought pursuant to Article 3862.

<u>Present law</u> (C.C.P. Art. 3601 and R.S. 13:4062) requires that an injunction be issued in cases where irreparable injury, loss, or damage may otherwise result to the applicant, or in other cases specifically provided by law, and <u>present law</u> provides that no court shall have jurisdiction to issue any temporary restraining order, preliminary injunction, or permanent injunction against any state department, board, or agency to compel the expenditure of state funds when the director of such department, board, or agency or the governor certifies that the expenditure of such funds would have the effect of creating a deficit or be in violation of the requirements placed upon the expenditure of such funds by the legislature.

<u>Proposed law</u> retains <u>present law</u> but repeals the duplicate provisions of <u>present law</u> (R.S. 13:4062).

(Amends C.C.P. Art. 1878; Repeals R.S. 13:4062)

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Civil Law and Procedure</u> to the original bill.

- 1. Deleted all provisions proposing to amend C.C.P. Art. 3601 relative to injunctions and the traversal of a certification offered by a state department, board, or agency in opposition to the issuance of an injunction.
- 2. Added provisions specifying that a court shall set a matter with preference if it is seeking a declaratory judgment as to the constitutionality of the expenditure of state funds, notwithstanding a pending petition for injunction or mandamus.