

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **SB** 72 SLS 14RS 365

Analyst: Patrice Thomas

Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action:
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Date: March 31, 2014 7:10 AM

Author: WHITE

Dept./Agy.: Judicial/Supreme Court

Subject: Removes Verified Petitions From Certain Proceedings

Page 1 of 1

CHILDREN'S CODE EG NO IMPACT See Note Removes the requirement that certain petitions be verified. (8/1/14)

In the Children's Code, <u>present law</u> requires that petitions filed in child in need of care (CINC) proceedings, family in need of services (FINS) proceedings, juvenile delinquency proceedings and involuntary termination of parental right proceedings be verified. Proposed law removes requirement that such petitions be verified. Proposed law is effective 08/01/2014.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2014-15</u>	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure. Proposed legislation removes the requirement that petitions must be verified (sworn) by the petitioner in juvenile court proceedings for child in need of care (CINC), family in need of services (FINS), juvenile delinquency and involuntary termination of parental right cases. Removing the verification requirement on petitions will not impact governmental expenditures, as this measures is not anticipated to change court procedures in juvenile court proceedings.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>louse</u>	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	Eimn	Brasseaux
13.5.1 >= \$	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H	1}	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ State Rev. Reduc. } \{H \& S\}$		
13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee			6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Evan Brasseaux Staff Director	
(Change {S&H}		or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Stall Director	