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Adds substances to the listing of Schedule I controlled dangerous substances

The proposed legislation retains present law and adds fifteen substances to the list of Schedule I of the Uniform Controlled Dangerous Substance Law. Effective upon signature of governor or lapse of time for gubernatorial action.

| EXPENDITURES | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Gen. Fd. | INCREASE | INCREASE | INCREASE | INCREASE | INCREASE |  |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Annual Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| REVENUES | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
| State Gen. Fd. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW |  |
| Annual Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

The proposed legislation may result in an increase in state general fund expenditures if a defendant is convicted of unlawful production, manufacture, distribution, or dispensing or possession of prohibited stimulant products. The exact fiscal impact of the passage of this legislation is indeterminable, since it adds fifteen substances to the list of Controlled Dangerous Substances and it is not known how many people will be convicted of this crime. However, any offender sentenced to the custody of the Department of Public Safety and Corrections increases expenditures by $\$ 24.39$ per day per offender in local facilities. To the extent that offenders are sentenced and housed in state facilities, the cost per day per offender is $\$ 52.51$. Currently, the Department of Corrections houses approximately $50 \%$ of state offenders at the local level and $50 \%$ at the state level.

Current law (R.S. 40:966) provides that the penalty "...to produce, manufacture, distribute, or dispense or possess with the intent to produce, manufacture, distribute, or dispense..." is imprisonment with hard labor for not less than 5 years nor more than 30 years, at least 5 years served without benefit of parole, probation, or suspension of sentence. The penalty for possession of any controlled dangerous substance classified in Schedule I is imprisonment at hard labor for not more than 10 years.

For illustrative purposes, if 10 persons are convicted of this crime, with 5 offenders housed at the local level and 5 offenders at the state level, the annual cost of incarceration would be $\$ 44,512$ at the local level ( 5 offenders $\times \$ 24.39$ per day $x 365$ days) and $\$ 95,831$ at the state level ( 5 offenders $x \$ 52.51$ per day $x 365$ days) for a total cost of $\$ 140,343$ ( $\$ 44,512+$ $\$ 95,831)$.

## REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on state revenues as a result of this measure. However, any revenue generated through the imposition of fines as a result of conviction would accrue to local government entities.

Current law provides that the fine "...to produce, manufacture, distribute, or dispense or possess with the intent to produce, manufacture, distribute, or dispense..." is not more than $\$ 50,000$ and the fine for possession is not more than $\$ 5,000$.

| Senate | $\underline{\text { Dual Referral Rules }}$ | House | 6.8(F)(1) > = \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost $\{\mathrm{H}$ \& S $\}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13.5.1 $>=\$ 100,000$ Annual Fiscal Cost $\{\mathrm{S} \& \mathrm{H}\}$ |  |  | $\square 6.8(\mathrm{~F})(2)>=\$ 500,000$ State Rev. Reduc. $\{\mathrm{H}$ \& S $\}$ Coran Brasecacy |  |  |
| 13.5 | 500,000 Annual Tax or hange $\{\mathrm{S} \& \mathrm{H}\}$ |  | $6.8(\mathrm{G})>=\$ 500,000$ Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease \{S\} | Evan Brasseaux <br> Staff Director |  |

