
DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

Robideaux

HB No. 1225

Abstract: Requires the four state retirement systems (Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS); Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana (TRSL); Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System (LSERS); and State Police Retirement System (STPOL)) to apply certain amounts of excess investment returns to their outstanding debt and limits creation of additional liabilities through benefit increases.

Proposed law generally requires increased payments to outstanding debts of state retirement systems and restricts the creation of additional system liabilities by limiting the amount and frequency of benefit increases.

Debt Payments from Excess Returns

Proposed law, as more fully explained below, generally requires each system to apply to its oldest debt a portion of each year's excess investment returns. The amount paid will increase each year in proportion to the growth in the system's actuarial value of assets.

Present law (R.S. 11:102) establishes the calculation of employer contribution rates for state retirement systems. A portion of the rate is calculated based on the that year's required amortization payment on outstanding system debt. Proposed law retains present law.

Teachers and State Employees

Both LASER and TRSL have remaining unfunded accrued liability that existed as of June 30, 1988 (IUAL). For each system, the IUAL debt has been consolidated into an amortization based called the Original Amortization Base (OAB) and the debts of the system between 1988 and 2009 have been consolidated into an amortization base called the Experience Account Amortization Base (EAAB).

Present law for LASERS (R.S. 11:102.1) requires the first \$50 million of the system's excess returns to be applied to the OAB. Further requires the next \$50 million of excess returns to be applied to the EAAB. Present law for TRSL (R.S. 11:102.2) requires the first \$100 million of the system's excess returns be applied to the OAB. Further requires the next \$100 million of excess returns to be applied to the EAAB.

Proposed law indexes these required payments to the percentage increase in the system's actuarial value of assets for the preceding year. Each year the maximum amount to be applied by the

system to its OAB and EAAB shall equal the prior year's maximum payment increased by the percentage increase in the actuarial value of assets, if any.

Proposed law further requires that upon complete liquidation of either the OAB or the EAAB, the system shall continue to apply to the remaining debt the same indexed payments it would have made to the fully liquidated debt. Upon complete liquidation of both the OAB and the EAAB, the system shall continue to pay the full amount of indexed payments to its oldest outstanding debt.

School Employees and State Police

Both LSERS and STPOL have completely paid their IUAL. Proposed law requires that in any year that LSERS or STPOL has excess investment returns above its actuarially assumed rate of return, the system must apply a certain portion of such returns to its oldest outstanding debt. Requires LSERS to pay the first \$15 million of such excess returns to its oldest debt. Requires STPOL to pay the first \$5 million of such excess returns to its oldest debt. Further requires that the amount paid each year be increased by the percentage increase in the system's actuarial value of assets for the preceding year. Each year the maximum amount to be applied by the system to its oldest debt shall equal the prior year's maximum payment increased by the percentage increase in the actuarial value of assets, if any. Once the oldest debt has been completely liquidated, requires the system to apply remaining sums and subsequent payments to the next oldest debt, until all system debts are completely liquidated.

Reamortization of Debt

Present law provides for reamortization of remaining debt after application of excess funds to the OAB or the EAAB of a system. Proposed law provides that beginning with the June 30, 2014, valuation, such debts shall not be reamortized after application of payments pursuant to present and proposed law.

All Four State Systems

Present law establishes an experience account in each state system. For LSERS and STPOL, the accounts are credited with one half of the system's excess returns above its assumed actuarial rate of return. For LASERS and TRSL, the accounts are credited with one half of the excess returns above the system's assumed actuarial rate of return *after* payments are made to the OAB and the EAAB.

Proposed law, as explained in more detail below, modifies the amount of excess returns that may be credited to a system's experience account. Further requires that any amounts not credited to the experience account because of limits in proposed law be applied to the oldest debt of the system. For LASERS and TRSL, requires these amounts to first be applied to the OAB until it is fully liquidated, then to the EAAB until it is fully liquidated, and then to the oldest debt of the system.

Further provides that after application of any such payment pursuant to proposed law, the remaining debt shall not be reamortized.

Experience Accounts

Experience accounts are accounts established pursuant to present law to fund permanent benefit increases for retirees of state systems.

Present law authorizes credits to a system's experience account in an amount up to that necessary to grant two permanent benefit increases. Proposed law retains present law for a system that is 85% funded or better. If a system is less than 85% funded, proposed law authorizes credits up to the amount necessary to grant one permanent benefit increase pursuant to proposed law.

Present law provides that the experience account is credited with interest attributable to the amount in the account during the prior year. Further provides that the account be debited for the portion of the system's net investment loss attributable to the balance in the account during the prior year. Proposed law retains present law.

Present law provides that a benefit increase funded by the account is limited to the lesser of 3% or the consumer price index (U.S. city average for all urban consumers (CPI-U)) for the preceding calendar year.

Proposed law provides that a benefit increase funded by the account is limited to the lesser of the following:

- (1) The CPI-U for the twelve month period ending on the system's valuation date.
- (2)
 - (a) If the system is 85% funded or greater, 3%.
 - (b) If the system is at least 75% funded but less than 85% funded and the legislature has not granted a benefit increase in the preceding year, 2.5%.
 - (c) If the system is at least 65% funded but less than 75% funded and the legislature has not granted a benefit increase in the preceding year, 2%.
 - (d) If the system is at least 55% funded but less than 65% funded and the legislature has not granted a benefit increase in the preceding year, 1.5%.
 - (e) If the system is less than 55% funded, no benefit increase shall be granted.

Present law for LASERS and TRSL provides that if the system does not attain an actuarial rate of return of at least 8.25%, a benefit increase pursuant to present law is limited to the lesser of 2% or the CPI-U. Proposed law retains present law.

Present law for LSERS and STPOL provides that if the system does not attain its actuarial rate of return, a benefit increase pursuant to present law is limited to the lesser of 2% or the CPI-U. Proposed law retains present law.

Present law for LASERS and TRSL further provides that no benefit increase shall be granted in

a year in which the system is less than 80% funded and the system fails to meet its actuarially assumed rate of return. Proposed law retains present law.

Present law for each system establishes a portion of each retiree's benefit upon which a benefit increase is calculated. The portions are as follows:

- (1) For LASERS and TRSL, the amount is the first \$70,000 of a retiree's benefit, indexed to the CPI-U for the prior calendar year.
- (2) For LSERS and STPOL, the amount is the first \$85,000 of a retiree's benefit, indexed to the CPI-U for the prior calendar year.

Proposed law retains present law for all benefit increases granted prior to July 1, 2015.

Proposed law provides that for any benefit increase granted on or after July 1, 2015, the increase shall be calculated on the first \$60,000 of a retiree's benefit, indexed to the CPI-U for the twelve month period ending on the system's valuation date.

Present law for STPOL authorizes a supplemental benefit increase of 2% for retirees and beneficiaries who are age 65 and older. Proposed law retains present law.

Present law provides that the amount of such supplemental benefit shall be based on the first \$85,000 of a retiree's annual benefit, indexed to the CPI-U for the prior calendar year. Proposed law retains present law for any such benefit granted prior to July 1, 2015.

Proposed law further provides that for any supplemental increase granted on or after July 1, 2015, the increase shall be calculated on the first \$60,000 of the retiree's benefit, indexed to the CPI-U for the twelve month period ending on the system's valuation date.

Authorization of Benefit Increases

Present constitution (La. Const. Art. X, Sec. 29) requires alteration or enactment of benefit provisions for members of a public retirement system, plan, or fund subject to legislative authority by an Act of the legislature.

Present law in each system's experience account provides that the board of trustees grant the benefit increase authorized by present law. Proposed law provides that the legislature grant the increase.

Present constitution provides that a benefit provision with an actuarial cost must receive a two-thirds vote of the elected members of each house of the legislature in order to become effective.

Present law in each system's experience account provides that a benefit increase be enacted by adoption of a resolution by majority vote of the elected members of each house of the legislature. Proposed law repeals present law.

Effective if and when SB Nos. 16, 18, 19, and 21 of the 2014 Regular Session of the Legislature become effective.

(Amends R.S. 11:102(B)(3)(d)(v) through (viii), 102.1(B)(3)(b), (4) and (5), and (C)(4) and (5), 102.2(B)(3)(b) and (4) and (C)(4) and (5), 542(A)(2) and (3), (C)(1) through (3), and (F)(1), 883.1(A)(2) and (3), (C)(1) through (3), (F), and (G)(1), 1145.1(A), (C)(1) through (3), and (D), and 1332(A), (C)(1) through (3), (D), and (F); Adds R.S. 11:102.1(B)(6) and (C)(6) and 102.2(B)(5) and (C)(6))