hi ana	LEGISLATIVE FISCAL Fiscal Note	OFFICE								
		Fiscal Note On:	SB	667	SLS	14RS	1803			
::Legiliative	Bill Text Version: ENGROSSED									
Fisculation		Opp. Chamb. Action:								
		Proposed Amd.:								
TUSTIES DIES		Sub. Bill For.:								
Date: April 16, 2014	5:34 PM	Author: ADLEY								
Dept./Agy.: Natural Resources										
Subject: Site Remediation		Analyst: Matthew LaBruyere								

MINERALS

EG NO IMPACT See Note

Page 1 of 1

Provides for procedures relative to remediation of oilfield sites and exploration and production sites. (8/1/14)

Present law provides that when a party files a limited admission for environmental damage, the court will refer the matter to DNR, office of conservation, to conduct a public hearing to approve or structure a plan, which the department determines to be the most feasible plan to evaluate or rededicate the environmental damage. Proposed law provides that for cases tried to a jury, the court shall instruct the jury regarding this presumption if requested by a party. Proposed law provides that only awards for additional remediation in excess of the requirements of the plan adopted by the court are not required to be paid into the registry of the court. Proposed law provides for remediation damages only for the following: 1) The cost of funding the feasible plan adopted by the court. 2) The cost of additional remediation if required by a contractual provision providing for remediation to original condition or some other remediation standard. 3) The cost of correcting or repairing any environmental damage caused by unreasonable or excessive operations based on rules, regulations, lease terms and standards applicable at the time of the activity under questions, provided that such damage is not duplicative of other damages. 4) The cost of nonremediation damages. Proposed law will not be construed to alter the traditional burden of proof or to imply the existence of extent of damages in any action, nor will it affect an award of attorney fees or costs. Effective August 1, 2014.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	2016-17	<u>2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>5 -YEAR TOTAL</u>
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	<u>2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	2016-17	<u>2017-18</u>	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.



Dual Referral Rules

House 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}

 $6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 \text{ SGF Fiscal Cost } \{H \& S\}$ 

6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}

13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}



John D. Carpenter **Legislative Fiscal Officer**