



OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR
Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: HB 156 HLS 14RS 860
Bill Text Version: ENROLLED
Opp. Chamb. Action:
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Date: May 6, 2014 10:05 AM
Author: MACK
Dept./Agy.: Twenty-First Judicial Court
Subject: Transfer of surplus monies
Analyst: Richard Aultman

COURTS EN SEE FISC NOTE LF EX Page 1 of 1
Provides with respect to the transfer of surplus monies from jury funds to the Criminal Court Fund of the 21st Judicial District Court

Purpose of Bill: This measure provides for the transfer of surplus monies in special funds of each parish within the Twenty-First Judicial District to the Twenty-First Judicial District Court at the end of each calendar year for deposit into the court's criminal court fund.

Table with columns: EXPENDITURES, REVENUES, 2014-15, 2015-16, 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19, 5-YEAR TOTAL. Rows include State Gen. Fd., Agy. Self-Gen., Ded./Other, Federal Funds, Local Funds, and Annual Total.

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There may be an additional \$621,000 in local government funds available to be spent as a result of this measure.

According to an official with the 21st Judicial District Court, this measure will have no impact on expenditures, because it transfers any surplus from one fund to another, however it transfers surplus funds to an account that will allow the funds to be spent.

The amount of overage in each fund varies from year to year depending upon the number of defendants who pay the fee and the number of trials each year.

Tangipahoa Parish had an income of \$140,000 and an expense of \$44,000 in 2012. For 2013 Tangipahoa Parish had an income of \$108,000 and expenses of \$41,000.

Livingston Parish had an income of \$137,620 and an expense of \$104,132 in 2012. For 2013 Livingston Parish had an income of \$118,590 and an expense of \$160,903.

St. Helena's overage is insignificant each year.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on local government revenues as a result of this measure.

This measure has no anticipated fiscal impact on revenues, because the funds are being transferred between accounts. This measure does not include an additional revenue.

Senate Dual Referral Rules House
13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}
13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}
6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}
6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}
Joy Irwin Director of Advisory Services