The original instrument and the following digest, which constitutes no part of the legislative instrument, were prepared by Sharon F. Lyles.

DIGEST 2015 Regular Session

SB 159 Engrossed

Heitmeier

<u>Proposed law</u> authorizes any regional transit authority created by law to let a single contract combining the design and construction phases for any new ferry project on the Mississippi River. <u>Proposed law</u> defines a "design-builder" as a person or entity contractually obligated to deliver the design and construction of a new Mississippi River ferry.

<u>Proposed law</u> requires that the authority adopt a resolution establishing the design-build program for any new ferry. Provides that the resolution shall:

- (1) Require that a design-builder be duly registered, licensed, or otherwise qualified to perform design and construction service as required by law and registered to do business in the state.
- (2) Provide for a technical review committee which shall include at least one maritime engineer.
- (3) Provide for public announcement procedures for solicitation of interested design-build competitors, scope of service requirements, requests for statements of qualifications, criteria and rating procedures, requirements for cost proposals, requirements concerning how the technical review committee shall grade, judge, and rank the technical proposals and make recommendations to the authority's governing authority, and requirements for the selection process for the award of the design-build contract.
- (4) Require that a notice of intent to select a single legal entity for design-build services and to request letters of interest and statements of qualifications from qualified firms or teams shall be distributed by the authority through advertisement in the official journal of the authority, by appearance on the authority's Internet home page, if any, and by other means to ensure adequate response, including newspapers, trade journals, and other forms of media which may be appropriate for specialty services. Provides relative to the content of such notice.

<u>Proposed law</u> additionally establishes the following procedures and limitations regarding the designbuild contract:

- (1) Statements of qualifications from at least two qualified design-build competitors must be received in order to proceed with a request for technical proposals.
- (2) Technical proposals shall be requested from no fewer than two of the qualified design-build competitors.
- (3) The authority may use a private design professional or its own staff to develop a description of the project and the required scope of services.

- (4) The technical review committee shall grade, judge, and rank the technical proposals and make a recommendation to the authority for the awarding of the contract.
- (5) The final selection of the design-build competitor to whom the contract shall be awarded shall be made by the authority's governing authority. Such selection shall be made upon the basis of the best design for the purposes set forth in the request for qualifications and the best cost for that design, taking into account costs of construction and operation and maintenance of that design. Competitors may submit alternate designs and costs to ensure the greatest number of options.

<u>Proposed law</u> requires that legal challenge to the choice of the designer-builder be filed and served within seven calendar days after the award of the contract. Requires that any such challenge be limited to fraud, bias for pecuniary or personal reasons not related to the interests of the taxpayers, or arbitrary and capricious selection of the successful designer-builder.

<u>Proposed law</u> provides that once the design-builder has been chosen, a contract for a stipulated maximum total cost may be executed, as provided in the authority's award resolution.

<u>Proposed law</u> authorizes increases or decreases in the final cost of the contract to account for inflation if provided for in the contract, for changes in the scope of the work, or for other conditions of which the design-builder had no knowledge or should not have had knowledge as a reasonable possibility concerning the design and construction provided any change is related to the original project and scope of services.

<u>Proposed law</u> supersedes conflicting provisions of law relative to bidding for public contracts.

<u>Proposed law</u> authorizes the Department of Transportation and Development (DOTD) to give priority and advance to construction as expeditiously as possible any bridge project that replaces a tunnel.

<u>Proposed law</u> authorizes use of any source of funds for such projects or as matching funds for federal-aid funds, including the secretary's emergency fund.

<u>Proposed law</u> authorizes use of any contract method provided by law, including design-build or construction management at risk.

<u>Present law</u> authorizes DOTD to combine the design and construction phases for a transportation facility or facilities, including but not limited to highways, interchanges, or bridges into a single contract.

<u>Proposed law</u> adds ferries on the Mississippi River and tunnels to the illustrative list of transportation facilities.

<u>Proposed law</u> requires DOTD to consider a design-build contract to replace the tunnel on LA 23 and to submit a written report of its recommendation to the House and Senate committees on

transportation, highways, and public works not later than February 1, 2016.

Effective upon signature of the governor or lapse of time for gubernatorial action.

(Amends R.S. 48:250.2(A); adds R.S. 38:2225.2.5, R.S. 48:232.1 and 250.2(D))

Summary of Amendments Adopted by Senate

Committee Amendments Proposed by Senate Committee on Transportation, Highways, and Public Works to the original bill

1. Makes discretionary the prioritization and advancement to construction of any bridge project where a bridge replaces a tunnel.