2015 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 766

BY REPRESENTATIVE ADAMS

1	AN ACT
2	To amend and reenact R.S. 17:3139.2(introductory paragraph), 3139.5, and 3139.6(1),
3	relative to public colleges and universities; to remove institutional performance
4	criteria as conditions on institutions receiving certain exceptions and exemptions
5	from state regulations of their operations; to provide certain financial solvency
6	criteria on the receipt of such exceptions and exemptions; to provide relative to the
7	exceptions and exemptions that an institution may receive; and to provide for related
8	matters.
9	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
10	Section 1. R.S. 17:3139.2(introductory paragraph), 3139.5, and 3139.6(1) are hereby
11	amended and reenacted to read as follows:
12	§3139.2. Performance agreements; objectives
13	Effective beginning with the 2011 Fiscal Year, any Any public postsecondary
14	education institution, including professional schools, may enter into an initial
15	performance agreement with the Board of Regents in order to be granted limited
16	operational tuition autonomy and flexibility as provided in R.S. 17:3139.5
17	17:3139.5(A) in exchange for committing to meet established targets for the
18	following performance objectives as applicable to the institution as determined by
19	the Board of Regents:
20	* * *
21	§3139.5. Autonomies granted Tuition autonomy; operational autonomy contingent
22	on audit findings
23	A. Notwithstanding any other provision of law to the contrary, each
24	institution that enters into a performance agreement shall be granted the authorities
25	and autonomies as provided in this Section Subsection. However, nothing herein
26	shall suspend the requirements of R.S. 39:1593.1.

Page 1 of 12

CODING: Words in struck through type are deletions from existing law; words <u>underscored</u> are additions.

(1) For the 2010-2011 Fiscal Year, pursuant to policies adopted by the institution's management board and in addition to the authority provided in R.S. 17:3351(A)(5)(e), the authority to increase tuition and mandatory fee amounts by up to five percent annually.

- (2) For the 2011-2012 Fiscal Year, if the Board of Regents has determined that the institution has met the short-term targets established in the performance agreement, in addition to the authority provided in R.S. 17:3351(A)(5)(e), the authority to increase tuition and mandatory fee amounts by up to five percent annually.
- (3) Beginning with the 2012-2013 Fiscal Year and thereafter, if the Board of Regents has determined that the institution has met the short-term targets established in the performance agreement and demonstrated progress on long-term targets, the institution shall be authorized to:
- (a) Increase tuition and fee amounts by up to ten percent annually, without legislative approval, until the institution reaches the average tuition and fee amounts of its peer institutions. Tuition and fee amounts for peer institutions shall be weighted based upon the median household income in Southern Regional Education Board states in which respective peer institutions are located. The median household income in such states shall be compared with the median household income in Louisiana, and any differences between the average of the states shall be factored into the allowable tuition and fee amount increase for the respective institution.
- (b) Upon reaching the average tuition and fee amounts as specified in Subparagraph (a) of this Paragraph, increase tuition and fee amounts as necessary to maintain tuition and fee amounts as close to that average as practical.
- (4) Each postsecondary education management board shall establish criteria for waiving any tuition or mandatory fee increase as authorized in this Section in cases of financial hardship. Information relative to such waivers and the criteria and procedures for obtaining a waiver shall be made available to all prospective students in a timely manner such that each student is informed of the availability of a waiver

prior to the student making a final decision concerning attendance at any public institution of postsecondary education.

<u>B.</u> (5) Operational autonomies: (1)(a) Base level. Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, any institution that is determined by the Board of Regents to have met the short-term targets established in the performance agreement may be granted the autonomies as provided in this Subparagraph; however, no institution shall be granted such an autonomy until after the division of administration determines that for the following year the institution possesses the capacity relevant to the autonomy including, at a minimum, a review of the most recent fiscal audit by the legislative auditor meets the requirements of this Paragraph may exercise until July 1, 2020, the autonomies provided by this Subsection subject to the limitations provided in this Paragraph.

(b) Subsequent to a postsecondary management board granting approval to an institution in its system to exercise operational autonomies, the division of administration shall approve the exercise of such autonomies to all institutions in the system governed by the management board, provided the system received for its most recent audit, a financial audit with an unmodified opinion, where the financial statements were free of material misstatements and material weaknesses, and the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows were represented fairly in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. If the system did not receive for the most recent audit, a financial audit with an unmodified opinion, where the financial statements were free of material misstatements and material weaknesses, and the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows were represented fairly in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles, then the division of administration shall approve the exercise of such autonomies to all institutions in the system, except for any institution which was responsible for the finding of non-compliance at the system level.

(c) If an institution granted the right to exercise operational autonomies pursuant to Subparagraph (b) of this Paragraph subsequently receives an audit with a material weakness through a financial audit, the institution shall be required to

1 develop and implement a corrective action plan for approval by the management 2 board. The institution shall be required to demonstrate to the management board that 3 the necessary corrective actions were taken within six months from the date the audit 4 finding was reported, or the institution will lose the authority to exercise the 5 autonomies granted for the remainder of the period that this authority is in effect. 6 The corrective action plan and post-implementation report shall be submitted to the 7 division of administration and the Board of Regents. 8 (2) The operational autonomies that may be granted pursuant to this 9 Subsection are: 10 (i) (a) Authority to retain any funds which remain unexpended and 11 unobligated at the end of the fiscal year for use at the institution's discretion pursuant 12 to R.S. 17:3386, and subject to the prior review and approval of the Joint Legislative 13 Committee on the Budget. 14 (ii) Authority to execute contracts up to a value of forty-nine thousand nine 15 hundred ninety-nine dollars within a twelve-month period in accordance with the 16 delegation of authority by the office of state procurement pursuant to R.S. 39:1488. 17 (iii) (b) Authority to identify and dispose of obsolete equipment, excluding 18 vehicles and items deemed by federal law to be of a dangerous nature, up to an 19 original acquisition value of five thousand dollars. Prior to exercising this autonomy 20 with respect to electronic devices, the postsecondary management board shall 21 provide certification to the division of administration that all such devices are 22 sanitized of any personally identifiable information. 23 (iv) Authority to be excluded from oversight or review by the office of 24 information technology, as provided in R.S. 39:15.3, for purchases with an academic 25 research or classroom instructional purpose. 26 (v) (c) Authority to be excluded by the division of administration 27 from its any table of organization any position that is fully funded by 28 nonappropriated funds. 29 (b) Intermediate level. Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary

and in addition to the base level autonomies granted pursuant to Subparagraph (a)

30

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

of this Paragraph, any institution that is determined by the Board of Regents to have met the short-term targets established in the performance agreement may be granted the autonomies as provided in this Subparagraph; however, no institution shall be granted such an autonomy until after the division of administration determines that for the following year the institution possesses the capacity relevant to the autonomy including, at a minimum, a review of the most recent fiscal audit by the legislative auditor, and the institution has met the Board of Regents' requirements for significantly streamlining its academic service delivery to students to meet regional workforce needs as provided in Item (vi) of this Subparagraph. Any autonomy granted pursuant to this Subparagraph shall be subject to the prior review and approval of the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget.

(i) Notwithstanding the provisions of R.S. 39:1702, authority to procure materials, supplies, equipment, and services through any purchasing agreements established by a not-for-profit cooperative buying organization located in the United States, if such purchasing agreements have been established pursuant to a competitive bid proposal process. Prior to joining a not-for-profit cooperative buying organization, the institution shall publish a notice of intent to join such notfor-profit cooperative buying organization in the official journal of the state and of the parish in which the institution is located. Prior to entering any purchasing agreement with a not-for-profit cooperative buying organization, the institution shall publish a notice of intent to enter such purchasing agreement through a centralized, electronic, interactive environment administered by the division of administration as provided in R.S. 39:1593 and on the institution's website and shall allow fifteen days for interested vendors to submit proposals for the materials, supplies, equipment, or services. The proposals submitted by interested vendors shall adhere to the request for proposal or solicitation issued by the cooperative buying organization. The institution shall review the proposals submitted by interested vendors and compare the proposals to the cooperative buying organization agreement to determine the lowest responsive and responsible vendor. The institution shall utilize the lowest responsive and responsible vendor for the procurement. For

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

purposes of this Item, lowest responsive and responsible bidder shall be defined as set forth in R.S. 39:1591.

(ii) Authority to directly administer minor facility capital outlay projects without oversight or control by the office of facility planning and control. For purposes of this Item, minor facilities projects shall mean, in addition to the authority provided in R.S. 39:128, those that do not require the use of and coordination between more than two trades or that do not require the use of the professional services of an architect or engineer pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 39:1482 and 1484.

(iii) Authority to join an existing cooperative purchasing agreement in accordance with R.S. 39:1702 and Item (i) of this Subparagraph. Prior to joining a not-for-profit cooperative buying organization, the institution shall publish a notice of intent to join such not-for-profit cooperative buying organization in the official journal of the state and of the parish in which the institution is located. Prior to entering any purchasing agreement with a not-for-profit cooperative buying organization, the institution shall publish a notice of intent to enter such purchasing agreement through a centralized, electronic, interactive environment administered by the division of administration as provided in R.S. 39:1593 and on the institution's website and shall allow fifteen days for interested vendors to submit proposals for the materials, supplies, equipment, or services. The proposals submitted by interested vendors shall adhere to the request for proposal or solicitation issued by the cooperative buying organization. The institution shall review the proposals submitted by interested vendors and compare the proposals to the cooperative buying organization agreement to determine the lowest responsive and responsible vendor. The institution shall utilize the lowest responsive and responsible vendor for the procurement. For purposes of this Item, lowest responsive and responsible bidder shall be defined as set forth in R.S. 39:1591.

(iv) Authority to use reverse auctions. For purposes of this Item, reverse auction means a competitive online solicitation process on the Internet for products,

supplies, services, and other materials in which vendors compete against each other in real time in an open and interactive environment.

(v) Authority for the director of purchasing at a college or university to make

- a determination to use a competitive request for proposal process as provided in R.S. 39:1593(C) without the approval of the commissioner of administration or the director of state purchasing.
- (vi) For purposes of this Subparagraph, for an institution to meet the requirement of significantly streamlining academic service delivery, the institution shall have acted on at least two items from a list approved by the Board of Regents, which shall include the following:
- (aa) The review of all of its programs and academic offerings and appropriate action to improve those programs and academic offerings through modification, consolidation, or elimination, including consideration of online delivery of academic offerings to meet workforce needs and maximize resources.
- (bb) The review and streamlining of all course offerings to align with program requirements and facilitate on-time graduation.
- (cc) If a two-year institution, the review of nonacademic programs and degrees and appropriate action to improve such programs and degrees through modification, consolidation, or elimination, including consideration of online delivery of academic offerings.
- (dd) If a four-year institution, raised the minimum composite score on the American College Test required for admission to at least two points higher than the Board of Regents baseline appropriate for its type of institution. This requirement shall be notwithstanding a student's grade point average. Opting not to participate in this requirement shall not preclude an institution from implementing minimum admission standards in accordance with Board of Regents policy.
- (c) High level. Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary and in addition to the base level and intermediate level autonomies granted pursuant to Subparagraphs (a) and (b) of this Paragraph, any institution that is determined by the Board of Regents to have met the short-term targets established in the performance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

agreement may be granted the autonomies as provided in this Subparagraph; however, no institution shall be granted such an autonomy until after the division of administration determines that for the following year the institution possesses the capacity relevant to the autonomy including, at a minimum, a review of the most recent fiscal audit by the legislative auditor and has a one hundred fifty percent of normal-time Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System graduation rate within five percent of the average graduation rate for its classification according to the Southern Regional Education Board.

(d)(i) Authority to participate in a pilot the higher education procurement code as established by the initial qualifying institution in each postsecondary educational system Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College to be and in place for an initial period of three years and approved by the division of administration. The initial qualifying institution in each Each postsecondary educational system management board may establish a pilot adopt the higher education procurement code, with amendments necessary to insert the name of the each management board into the procurement code and to implement the code but excluding any substantive changes, pursuant to rules and regulations adopted in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act. An institution granted this autonomy Any entity whose budget is appropriated through Schedule 19-Higher Education or 19E-LSU Health Sciences Center- Health Care Services Division may use this pilot the higher education procurement code in lieu of the Louisiana Procurement Code as provided in R.S. 39:15.3, 196 through 200, 1481 through 1526, and 1551 through 1755, subject to the prior review and approval of the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget. Any extension beyond the initial period of three years shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget for review and approval for a period not to exceed three years. Any changes to the pilot procurement plan after the approval for any three-year higher education procurement code after an initial five-year period shall be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget for approval. However, there shall be only one higher education procurement code except for nonsubstantive changes required to

implement the code. With the approval of the division of administration and the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget, a pilot procurement code may provide that other institutions under the same postsecondary education management board as the initial qualifying institution may utilize the pilot procurement code, provided the procurement is conducted under the auspices of a shared services model managed by the qualifying institution.

(ii) The division of administration shall maintain a list of all institutions participating in the higher education procurement code, which shall be published on its website.

(ii)(aa) (e)(i) Exemption from participation in the state's risk management program established by R.S. 39:1527 et. et seq. and administered by the office of risk management, pursuant to a determination by the division of administration that the institution or management board, as applicable, has the capacity to manage its own risk and a phased-in plan of implementation as determined by the institution in collaboration with the attorney general and the division of administration, subject to the prior review and approval of the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget. This exemption shall not include the coverage provided by the state's risk management program pursuant to R.S. 40:1299.39.

(bb) (ii) Nothing in this exemption shall abrogate, amend, or alter the authority of the attorney general or the Department of Justice under Article IV, Sections 1 and 8 of the Constitution of Louisiana or any other provision of law to represent the state and all departments and agencies of state government in all litigation arising out of or involving tort or contract. Any institution that is granted an exemption under this Item shall enter into an interagency agreement with the attorney general and pay the attorney general reasonable attorney fees and expenses incurred in representing the institution.

(cc) (iii) Nothing in this Item shall be construed as creating any independent or separate cause of action against the state. The state shall continue to be sued only through the exempt institution's management board and cannot be sued in addition to or separately from the exempt institution's management board in any cause of

action asserted against the exempt institution. Neither the state nor the <u>The</u> office of risk management shall <u>not</u> be responsible for payment of any judgment against the exempt institution's management board <u>rendered subsequent to the transfer of the applicable line of coverage</u>. The state's obligation to indemnify a covered individual as provided in R.S. 13:5108.1 shall not be performed by the office of risk management.

(dd) (iv) Any contract between the exempt institution's management board and its insurer shall name the state as an additional insured. Any provision in any contract between the exempt institution's management board and its insurer that conflicts with the provisions of this Section shall be deemed null and void.

(ce) (v) Nothing in this Item shall be construed to adversely affect any of the substantive and procedural provisions and limitations applicable to actions against the state, including but not limited to the provisions of R.S. 13:5106, 5107, 5108.1, and 5112, and R.S. 9:2800 which would continue to apply equally to any exempted institution. Those provisions that will not apply are those that are specifically excluded in this Section. Upon transfer of each line of coverage to the exempted institution under this Section, the provisions of R.S. 39:1527 et. et seq., as well as the provisions of R.S. 13:5106(B)(3)(c), shall not apply to the line of coverage so transferred, nor to any claims asserted against the exempted institution within the transferred line of coverage.

(iii) (f) Notwithstanding the provisions of R.S. 39:113, authority to administer all facilities projects funded with self-generated revenue, federal funds, donations, grants, or revenue bonds, including all projects falling under R.S. 39:128; however, excluding those projects falling under R.S. 39:128, these projects shall not be exempted from the capital outlay budget or any requirements as pertains thereto.

(iv) (g) Authority to invest funds as defined by R.S. 49:327(C), in addition to in municipal bonds issued by any state or political subdivision and those instruments laid out in R.S. 49:327(B)(1), in tax exempt bonds and other taxable governmental bonds issued by any state or a political subdivision or public corporation of any state, provided that such bonds are rated by a nationally

recognized rating agency as investment grade. The investment policy governing such investment as defined by R.S. 49:327(C)(1)(b) shall define the allocation of funds among instruments and the term of maturity of the instruments, subject to the prior review and approval of the investment advisory committee. If an institution pursuant to the Board of Regents' annual review is either no longer meeting its short-term targets or is determined by the division of administration to no longer possess the capacity relevant to this autonomy, or both, authority to invest additional funds shall be limited to those instruments defined by R.S. 49:327(B)(1) and (C), and shall exclude further investments in tax exempt bonds and other taxable government bonds issued by any state or a political subdivision or public corporation of any state.

(6)(a) Any operational autonomies granted to an institution pursuant to this Section shall terminate immediately upon revocation of the institution's six-year

(6)(a) Any operational autonomies granted to an institution pursuant to this Section shall terminate immediately upon revocation of the institution's six-year performance agreement by the Board of Regents. The Board of Regents shall notify the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget of any such revocation of a performance agreement.

(b) Any operational autonomy granted to an institution pursuant to this Section shall terminate immediately upon determination by the division of administration that an institution has failed to maintain the operational capacity relevant to that autonomy. The division of administration shall notify the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget of any institution's failure to maintain the operational capacity relevant to any previously granted operational autonomy.

(3)(a) Nothing in this Subsection abrogates, amends, or alters the authority of the attorney general or the Department of Justice under Article IV, Sections 1 and 8 of the Constitution of Louisiana or any other provision of law to represent the state and all departments and agencies of state government in all litigation arising out of or involving tort or contract. Any exempt institution under this Section shall enter into an interagency agreement with the attorney general and pay the attorney general reasonable attorney fees and expenses incurred in representing the institution.

(b) Nothing in this Subsection shall be construed as creating any independent or separate cause of action against the state. The state shall continue to be sued only

through the exempt institution's management board and cannot be sued in addition
to or separately from the exempt institution's management board in any cause of
action asserted against the exempt institution.

§3139.6. Monitoring; reporting; renewal

(1) The Board of Regents annually shall monitor and report to the legislature and the governor on each participating institution's progress in meeting the established targets for performance objectives as specified in R.S. 17:3139.2. At the end of the first six years and each subsequent six-year period, the Board of Regents shall determine whether to recommend renewal of an institution's performance agreement subject to the approval of the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget. Such determination shall be based on the recommendations of a review panel established by the Board of Regents to conduct a comprehensive review and evaluation of the institution's progress in meeting the performance objectives. The composition of the review panel shall be the same as similar to that is provided in R.S. 17:3138(C) as repealed by Act No. 251 of the 2012 Regular Session of the Legislature with the addition of two representatives from the business community, who each possess a postsecondary degree, one recommended by the speaker of the House of Representatives and one recommended by the president of the Senate.

* * *

Section 2. This Act shall become effective upon signature by the governor or, if not signed by the governor, upon expiration of the time for bills to become law without signature by the governor, as provided by Article III, Section 18 of the Constitution of Louisiana. If vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on the day following such approval.

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	
PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE	
GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA	

APPROVED:

Page 12 of 12