

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE
Fiscal Note



Fiscal Note On: SB 274 SLS 16RS 320

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action:

Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.:

Table with 2 rows and 2 columns. Row 1: Date: April 22, 2016 8:43 AM, Author: DONAHUE. Row 2: Dept./Agy.: Division of Administration, Analyst: Alan M. Boxberger. Subject: Requires the production of a standstill budget.

FISCAL CONTROLS OR SEE FISC NOTE GF EX Page 1 of 1
Provides for the requirement of the division of administration to produce a standstill budget. (7/1/16)

Present law provides that the budget office shall furnish guidelines to allow each budget unit to establish a continuation budget, defined as that funding level which reflects the financial resources necessary to carry on all existing rograms and functions at the current level of service in the ensuing fiscal year. Proposed law retains present law and requires the budget office to also prepare a standstill budget and requires that both budgets be submitted to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget at the first meeting in January of each year. Proposed law provides for the elements of a standstill budget, defines the required basic contents, assumptions and exclusions of a standstill budget. Proposed law provides for implementation of the standstill budget in the executive budget contents and format of the executive budget. Proposed law is effective July 1, 2016.

Table with 7 columns: EXPENDITURES, 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20, 2020-21, 5 -YEAR TOTAL. Rows include State Gen. Fd., Agy. Self-Gen., Ded./Other, Federal Funds, Local Funds, and Annual Total. Similar structure for REVENUES.

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Proposed law may result in one-time SGF expenditures associated with programming the state budget database used by the Office of Planning and Budget (OPB) to prepare and publish the Executive Budget Document. The LFO believes that proposed law will require the existing database reports produced for the executive budget to be modified so as to include data representing the proposed standstill budget in the same manner that it currently represents the continuation budget. Such programming may be possible within the existing staff expertise and resources of OPB, may require programming efforts through the Office of Technology Services, or may require modifications by an outside contractor. The breadth of any such expenditures is unknown, but not believed to represent a significant cost or workload adjustment as the material difference in the standstill budget includes the exclusion of merit increases/performance adjustments and inflation adjustments. Both of these adjustment types are currently readily identifiable within the existing budget documents and producable within the existing database. To the extent that numerous pieces of legislation may modify reporting requirements and contents of the executive budget documents and process, additional resources may be required (presumed to be SGF).

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

Senate Dual Referral Rules House
13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H} 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H} 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Evan Brasseaux
Evan Brasseaux
Staff Director