

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR **Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: HR **19** HLS 17RS

Author: MAGEE

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Date: April 19, 2017 11:13 AM Sub. Bill For .:

Dept./Agy.: Parish Governments

Analyst: Sarah Futch **Subject:** Penalties for Violating Parish Ordinances

CRIMINAL/PENALTIES

OR SEE FISC NOTE LF

Page 1 of 2

515

Allows for the imposition of community service as a possible penalty for a violation of any parish ordinance

Purpose of Bill: This bill provides that a maximum of 200 hours of community service may be imposed for a violation of any parish ordinance in addition to, or in lieu of, the fines and imprisonment in current law. It should be noted that current law (La. R.S. 33:1243) provides exceptions for violations of certain East Baton Rouge Parish ordinances and violations of any Jefferson Parish ordinances.

EXPENDITURES	<u> 2017-18</u>	<u>2018-19</u>	<u>2019-20</u>	<u>2020-21</u>	<u> 2021-22</u>	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	SEE BELOW	
Annual Total						

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

This bill's impact on local governmental expenditures statewide is indeterminable due to a lack of information regarding how this bill will change penalties being imposed on violators of parish ordinances statewide. Depending on how penalties being imposed change and the related costs of these penalties on local government, this bill could increase expenditures, decrease expenditures, or have no impact on expenditures. However, based on information obtained from several local government entities, this bill may have little to no impact on the expenditures of these entities.

Local governmental expenditures related to overseeing community service work may increase to the extent that judges do not currently impose community service on violators. However, this bill could decrease total expenditures assuming that community service is imposed in lieu of imprisonment and imprisonment costs more than community service oversight.

Based on information from the City Court of Houma, Caddo Parish, Ouachita Parish Police Jury, Caldwell Parish Police Jury, Caldwell Parish Sheriff's Office, Evangeline Parish Police Jury, and the Avoyelles Parish District Attorney's Office, it appears that this bill will have little to no impact on expenditures of these entities. (See next page for continuation)

REVENUE EXPLANATION

This bill's impact on local governmental revenues statewide is indeterminable due to a lack of information regarding how this bill will change penalties being imposed on violators of parish ordinances statewide. Depending on how penalties being imposed change and the related costs of these penalties on local government, this bill could increase revenues, decrease revenues, or have no impact on revenues. However, based on information obtained from several local government entities, this bill may have little to no impact on the revenues of these entities.

Local governmental revenues related to community service fees paid by offenders may increase to the extent that judges do not currently impose community service on violators. However, this bill could decrease total revenues assuming that community service fees are less than the fines that may otherwise have been imposed.

Based on information from the City Court of Houma, Caddo Parish, Ouachita Parish Police Jury, Caldwell Parish Police Jury, Caldwell Parish Sheriff's Office, Evangeline Parish Police Jury, and the Avoyelles Parish District Attorney's Office, it appears that this bill will have little to no impact on revenues of these entities. (See next page for continuation)

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	
13.5.1 >=	= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H}	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	M. G. Battle
13.5.2 >=	= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	\bigcirc 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Michael G. Battle
	Change {S&H}	or a Net Fee Decrease (S)	Manager, Advisory Services

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}



OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB** 19 HLS 17RS

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action:
Proposed Amd.:
Sub. Bill For.:

Date: April 19, 2017 11:13 AM

Dept./Agy.: Parish Governments

Subject: Penalties for Violating Parish Ordinances

Author: MAGEE

Analyst: Sarah Futch

Page 2 of 2

515

CONTINUED EXPLANATION from page one:

Expenditures/Revenues (cont):

One reason given by some of the entities above for the minimal fiscal impact is that judges already have the authority to impose community service work in lieu of fines, fees, and court costs or as part of a probation sentence.

<u>Se</u>	<u>nate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	
	13.5.1 >	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H	1}
	13.5.2 >	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	

Change {S&H}

<u>House</u> $= 6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$

6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Michael G. Battle

Manager, Advisory Services