2017 Regular Session

HOUSE BILL NO. 620

BY REPRESENTATIVES HENRY, BARRAS, BROADWATER, FOIL, JACKSON, JOHNSON, LEGER, AND MAGEE AND SENATORS ALARIO AND LAFLEUR

1	AN ACT								
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the								
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans								
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.								
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:								
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Eighty-Seven Million Three Hundred Ninety-								
7	Four Thousand Three Hundred Sixty-Nine No/100 (\$187,394,369.00) Dollars, or so much								
8	thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,								
9	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District								
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.								
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:								
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT								
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.								
25 26 27 28 29	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.								
30	Goal: To protect the rule of law.								
31 32 33 34	Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for litigants to seek review in the Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tribunals. <i>General Performance Information:</i> 201420152016								
35 36 37 38	2014 2015 2016 Total Filings 2,716 2,365 2,283 Total Appeals Filed 12 6 9 Total Writs Filed 2,496 2,172 2,092 Total Dispositions Rendered 2,592 2,486 2,142								

HB NO	. 620
-------	-------

1	Goal: To promote the rule of law.				
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann <i>General Performance Information:</i>	er.			
4	General i eljernance injernanom	2014	2015	2016	
5	Percentage of noncriminal case		2010	2010	
6	applications acted on within Supreme				
7	Court standard of 120 days of filing	91.8%	97.1%	94.1%	
8	Percentage of criminal case applications				
9	acted on within Supreme Court				
10	standard of 120 days of filing	30.7%	36.1%	48.7%	
11	Percentage of pro se post conviction				
12	applications acted on within Supreme				
13	Court standard of 120 days of filing	2.1%	3%	26%	
14	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings				
15 16	acted upon within Supreme Court	05 20/	070/	02 (0/	
17	standard of 120 days of filing	95.2%	97%	93.6%	
18	Percentage of opinions rendered within				
19	Supreme Court standard of 84 days from argument	81.5%	75.8%	66.7%	
17	from argument	01.370	/ 5.8/0	00.770	
20	Goal: To ensure the public trust.				
21	Objectives. To facilitate multip access to Sum	ome Court	degisions		
21 22	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr <i>General Performance Information:</i>	eme Court	uccisions.		
$\frac{22}{23}$	General i erjormance injormation;	2014	2015	2016	
24	Percentage of written opinions available	2014	2015	2010	
25	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
20	to the public within 5 days of decision	10070	10070	10070	
26	Objective: To inform the public of operation	s and activi	ties.		
27	General Performance Information:				
28	5 5	2014	2015	2016	
29	Number of outreach programs	58	100	60	
30	Number of media releases on court decisions	64	66	78	
31	Number of media releases on other matters	25	17	24	
32	Number of recipients of releases on				
33	court decisions	1,485	1,632	1,775	
34	Number of recipients of releases on other				
35	matters	3,633	4,700	6,010	
36	Objective: To ensure the highest professional	aanduat in	togrity and a	matanaa	
30 37	of the bench.	conduct, In	negrity, and co	Sinpetence	
38	General Performance Information:				
39	General I erjormance injormation.	2014	2015	2016	
40	Average number of hours acquired	2017	2015	2010	
41	through continuing legal education				
42	per judge	33.59	34.25	30.08	
43	Number of complaints filed against				
43 44	judges and justices of the peace	495	529	545	
45	Number of complaints against judges				
46	and justices of the peace resolved or				
47	disposed of in the calendar year	477	601	582	
48					
10					
49 50	Objective: To ensure the highest professional	conduct, in	itegrity, and co	ompetence	
50 51	of the bar.				
51 52	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016	
52 53	Average number of hours acquired through	2014	2015	2016	
54	continuing legal education per lawyer	14.92	15.12	15.08	
54 55	Number of complaints filed against lawyers	<i>3,040</i>	2,950	2,922	
56	Number of complaints filed against lawyers	2,070	2,200	2,722	
57	resolved or disposed of in calendar year	3,140	3,046	2,673	
	1 5 5	,	,	,	
58	Payable out of the State General Fund (Di	rect):			
59	01 Salaries of one (1) Chief Justic	e and six	(6)		
60	Associate Justices of the Supre				
61	as provided by R.S. 13:102		,		\$1,125,12
~ -	as provided of 10.5, 15,102				÷1,120,12

HB NO. 620

	IID NO. (<u>CORRECTE</u>		- ENKOLLE
1 2 3	02	Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	10,654,654
4 5 6 7 8	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.		
9	А	. Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office	\$	5,278,486
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	admini of Lour creatio Admini admini human Manag judges courts,	am Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council and isiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes on of new judgeships and for improving the administration of istrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the state of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Office system; technological services to courts; and, management Information System. The Judicial Administrator's Office hip system, monitors cases under advisement, provides outreach staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics, and performs numerous legand the Judiciary.	the Judician s recommen of justice. e Judiciary, Court and A ges the Tria also manag services to s	ry Commission dations for the The Judicial including the ppellate Court al Court Case ges the ad hoc state and local
21	В	. Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	2,068,854
22 23 24 25 26	under 1 comple	am Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a const Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, uints of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recomm usure, suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retiremen educt.	investigate, nend to the S	and prosecute Supreme Court
27	С	. Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems	\$	420,020
28	D	. Dues to National Center for State Courts	\$	158,650
29 30	04	Louisiana Attorney Disciplinary Board, as per Louisiana Supreme Court Rule XIX	\$	3,000
31 32 33 34	05	Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,416,000
35 36 37	06	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, and equipment	\$	2,060,337
38 39		am Description: The Law Library of Louisiana serves the legal info ry, and is open to members of the bar and public.	ormation ne	eds of the state
40 41 42 43	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	\$	146,663
44 45 46 47	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	\$	1,365,669
48 49 50 51	09	Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,469,984

	HB NO.	620 <u>COI</u>	RRECTED COPY	- ENROLL
1	10	Judicial College	\$	303,725
2 3		am Description: <i>The Judicial College was established vide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.</i>	by order of the Supremo	e Court in 1976
4 5 6 7	11	State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or		
8		less estimated at	\$	19,013,380
9 10	12	Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54	\$	204,000
11 12	13	Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expense of storage of appellate court records	s \$	60,000
13 14 15	14	Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case		
16		Management Information System	\$	1,368,548
17	15	Information Technology	\$	1,104,532
18 19 20	16	Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FIN)	ne	2,354,780
21 22 23 24 25 26	by dev manda cases; report	am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Pro- beloping and implementing a needs-based allocation for uting the use of a uniform data system for tracking, ma developing and mandating the use of programmatic star ing performance indicators and measures; requiring and ial accountability; and, generally supervising and assist	rmula; developing, imp inaging, and reporting idards; developing, imp I monitoring periodic fis	lementing, and FINS informal dementing, and scal reports and
27	17	Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$	11,745,514
28 29 30 31 32	13:53 proces superv	am Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 04, provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sing to place low-level, nonviolent drug-involved defended rehabilitation programs. Clients are regularly test tional, employment, and treatment requirements set by	sanctions, and incent ndants in community-b ted and monitored for c	ives with case ased, judicially
33	18	Court Appointed Special Advocates	<u>\$</u>	2,965,884
34 35	of chil of Chi	am Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance P dren in need of care in permanent, safe and stable hon ildren's Code articles 424-426. Services are provided t, screen, train and supervise community advocates.	nes, in accordance with through local CASA p Upon appointment by	the provisions rograms which the trial judge,
36 37 38 39	qualifi advoci	ied advocates serve children by providing independe ating for the best interest of the children, monitoring ca lvising and assisting the judge in the determination of th	ises to which they have	been assigned,
36 37 38 39 40	qualifi advoct and ad		ises to which they have	been assigned,
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	qualifi advoct and ad	 ating for the best interest of the children, monitoring callwising and assisting the judge in the determination of th GENERAL FUND Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, 	ises to which they have	been assigned, ldren involved.
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	qualifi advoca and ad TOTAL	ating for the best interest of the children, monitoring ca dvising and assisting the judge in the determination of th - GENERAL FUND Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges'	ises to which they have	been assigned, ldren involved.

47 Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was established by the
 48 Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related expenses to judges and
 49 commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable filing fee assessed on civil filings as provided
 50 in R.S. 13:10.3.

20	Payable out of the State General Fund
	from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court
	Case Management Information Fund, for
	the Case Management Information System,
	Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal
	Procedure, be it more or less estimated at

\$ 4,017,201

Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F).

14	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND		
15	BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS	\$	10,240,925
16	21 Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable		
17	out of the State General Fund through Interagency		
18	Transfers from the Department of Children and		
19	Family Services	\$	5,400,000
20	22 Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of		
21	the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers		
22	from the Department of Children and Family		
23	Services	\$	3,992,850
24	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND		
24	THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS	\$	9,392,850
23		Ψ	7,572,050
26	TOTAL SUPREME COURT	\$	84,921,583
27	03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL		

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

Goal: To protect the rule of law.

Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions made by lower tribunals. *General Performance Information:*

	2014	2015	2016
Total appeals filed	2,050	2,053	1,783
Total writs filed	4,325	4,048	3,670
Total dispositions rendered	5,741	5,019	4,761
	Total appeals filed Total writs filed	2014Total appeals filed2,050Total writs filed4,325	2014 2015 Total appeals filed 2,050 2,053 Total writs filed 4,325 4,048

Goal: To promote the rule of law.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Genera Averag appeal Time S Crimin Civil C	tive: To resolve cases expeditiously. al Performance Information: we number of days from lodging of the to argument: tandard = no more than 175 days. al cases cases a number of days for all cases	2014 151 149 149	2015 143 147 146	2016 162 155 158	
10 11 12 13 14 15	render Time S Crimin Civil co	te number of days from argument to ing of the opinion: tandard = no more than 70 days. al cases ases n number of days for all cases	38 44 43	43 43 43	43 42 43	
16	Goal:	To preserve public trust.				
17 18 19 20 21	Gener Percen	tive: To facilitate public access to the al <i>Performance Information:</i> tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	decisions of 2014 100%	the courts of 2015 100%	appeal. 2016 100%	
22	Payable o	out of the State General Fund:				
23 24 25	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:			\$	7,912,546
26 27 28	02	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A First Circuit			\$	10,469,867
29 30 31	03	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Second Circuit			\$	5,868,157
32 33 34	04	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit			\$	8,930,244
35 36 37	05	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fourth Circuit			\$	8,347,759
38 39 40	06	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A Fifth Circuit			<u>\$</u>	6,022,679
41	TOTAL O	COURTS OF APPEAL			<u>\$</u>	47,551,252
40						

42 **03-8172 DISTRICT COURTS**

 $\begin{array}{r} 43\\ 44\\ 45\\ 46\\ 47\\ 48\\ 49\\ 50\\ 51\\ 52\\ 53\\ 54\end{array}$

Program Description: There are forty-one district courts in Louisiana that have general jurisdiction over all matters within their territorial limits, except in those judicial districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th Judicial Districts) where family and juvenile courts have exclusive jurisdiction over certain types of cases and except in Orleans Parish where there are separate courts exercising civil, criminal, and juvenile jurisdictions, respectively. In certain cases, the forty-one general jurisdiction courts have concurrent jurisdiction with justices of the peace and parish courts. The district courts generally have appellate jurisdiction of criminal cases tried by city, parish, municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts, except in certain cases. The district courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal District Court

7 8 9

10

11

12

14

15

of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

13 Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient.

15	accessible, and convenient.			
16 17 18 19 20 21	General Performance Information: Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to improve compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act	2014	2015	2016
22	(ADA)	97.9%	93.8%	81.3%
23 24 25 26 27	Objective: To encourage all responsible public the costs of access to the trial court's proceedin in terms of money, time, or the procedures that and affordable. <i>General Performance Information:</i>	igs and reco must be fol	ords - whether lowed - reason	measured hable, fair,
28		2014	2015	2016
29 30 31 32	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist self-represented litigants	97.9%	97.9%	85.4%
33 34	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to every activities in a timely and expeditious manner.	one affecte	ed by the cou	irt and its
35 36	Objective: To encourage timely case manager	ment and p	processing.	
37	General Performance Information:	2014	2015	2016
38	Number of parishes reporting criminal			
39	disposition data to CMIS	64	64	64
40 41	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal	100%	1000/	100%
42	disposition data to CMIS Percentage of surveyed district court chief	100%	100%	100%
43	judges indicating that their courts had			
44	taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to			
45	reduce delays and improve the timeliness			
46	of case processing	91.7%	97.9%	87.5%
47 48	Objective: To enhance jury service. <i>General Performance Information:</i>			
49		2014	2015	2016
50 51	Percentage of surveyed district court chief			
51	judges indicating that their court had			
53	taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to make jury service more convenient or effective	93%	100%	90.7%
54 55 56	Goal: To provide due process and equal probusiness before the court; and to demonstrate decisions.			

57 58 59 Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 60 2014 2015 2016 61 Percentage of surveyed district court chief 62 judges indicating actions taken in 63 FY 2015-2016 to improve employee 64 85.4% 95.8% 87.5% training and development

1 2 3 4	jua FY	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating actions taken in 7 2015-2016 to install or implement chnologies	95.8%	100%	91.7%	
5 6		To maintain judicial independence, wh overnmental relations and accountabil			of comity	
7 8 9 10		tive: To inform the community of the al Performance Information:				
10 11 12 13	juo pr	tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts regula ovided public education and public treach services in FY 2015-2016	2014 arly 89.6%	2015 97.9%	2016 87.5%	
14	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:				
15 16 17	01	Salaries of one hundred ninety (191) District Judges as provid by R.S. 13:691			\$	27,187,586
18 19 20	02	Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively			\$	1,285,250
21 22 23	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judg Civil District Court, Orleans H as provided by R.S. 13:691			\$	1,992,807
24 25 26 27 28	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil D Court, Parish of Orleans, for s of stenographers, clerks, law b stationery, telephone, and like as provided by R.S. 13:698	salaries books,		\$	80,500
29 30 31 32	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Repo of the Twentieth Judicial Dist including retirement contribut provided by R.S. 13:966.1	rict Court,		\$	117,697
33 34	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Parish, as provided by R.S. 13			\$	10,000
35 36 37 38	07	State share of Group, Workers Compensation, General Liabil and Property Insurance Premin as provided by R.S.42:851	lity,		\$	7,471,735
39 40 41 42 43 44	08	Salaries of two (2) commission the Nineteenth Judicial District one (1) commissioner of the F Judicial District as provided b R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	ct and Fifteenth		\$	569,959
45 46 47 48	09	Office expenses for the Judici Expense Fund of the Nineteer District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713			\$	470,893

1 2 3 4	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	304,827
5 6	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	51,118
7 8 9	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	<u>\$</u>	35,000
10	SUB	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	39,577,372

11 13 Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans

Program Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has exclusive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and offenses committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by law in some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from its commissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges and the power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, or to hold for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction of all cases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court of New Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.

20 21 22	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$ 1,850,464
23 24	B.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$ 74,750
25 26	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$ 736,127
27 28	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 288,063
29 30	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$ 454,091
31 32 33	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 503,908
34 35	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 10,600
36 37	H.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 75,371
38 39	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$ 57,311
40 41	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$ 1,007,453
42	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$ 733,824
43	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$ 212,661
44	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$ 200,000

CORRECTED COPY - ENROLLED

	HB NO. 620		COR	RECTED	СОРУ	/ - ENR	OLLE
1	N. Board of Jury Commissioners				\$	434	,248
2	SUBTOTAL				<u></u>	6,638	<u>,871</u>
3	14 Juvenile and Family Court Judg	jes					
4 5	A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juveni Judges as provided by R.S. 13:6		rt		\$	1,992	,807
6 7	B. Salaries of four (4) Family Cour as provided by R.S. 13:691	rt Judg	es		\$	569	,374
8 9 10	C. Office and travel expenses of Ju Court Judges as provided by R.S. and R.S. 13:694, respectively			amily	<u>\$</u>	103	<u>,500</u>
11	SUBTOTAL				\$	2,665	<u>,681</u>
12	TOTAL DISTRICT COURTS				<u>\$</u>	48,881	<u>,924</u>
13 14	03-8173 OTHER COURTS - SALA REQUIRED BY STATUTE	RIES	AND	OFFICE	E EXP	ENSES	AS
15 16 17	Program Description: The category inclu municipal court (New Orleans), one traffic con court (Ascension Parish).						
18 19 20 21 22 23	Mission Statement: The mission of the city an provide access to justice, to meet all responsib manner, to provide equality, fairness and integri judicial independence and accountability, and adherence to the procedural and substantive confidence in the public.	oilities in ity in the ' to reac	n a time ir procee h a fair	ly and exped edings, to ma and just rea	ditious iintain sult by		
24	Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.						
25 26 27 28	Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the court's proceedings and records - whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed - reasonable, fair, and affordable. <i>General Performance Information:</i>						
29 30 31 32	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2015-2016 to assist pro se litigants	2014 100%		2015 5.2%	2016 96.2%		
33 34	Goal: To meet all responsibilities to every activities in a timely and expeditious manner.	one affe	cted by	the court a	ind its		
35 36	Objective: To encourage timely case manager <i>General Performance Information:</i>	nent and	l proces	sing.			
37 38 39	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts had	2014		2015	2016		
40 41 42	taken steps within FY 2015-2016 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing	e 84.6%	0	8.5%	92.3%		
43 44	Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while in its governmental relations and accountability	e observ	ring the p				
45 46	Objective: To inform the community of the co <i>General Performance Information:</i>	ourt's str	ucture a	nd function.			
40 47 48 49 50 51 52	Percentage of surveyed city/parish court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2015- 2016	2014 92.3%		2015	2016 88.5%		
54	2010	14.5/0	94		00.270		

HB NO. 620

CORRECTED COPY - ENROLLED

1 2 3	operatio	ive: To recognize new conditions or exons as necessary. I Performance Information:	merging even	ts and to adju	st court	
4	D		2014	2015	2016	
$ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4 \\ 5 \\ 6 \\ 7 \\ 8 \\ 9 \\ 10 \\ 11 \\ 12 \\ \end{array} $	jua FY tra Percent jua FY	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief lges indicating actions taken in 2015-2016 to improve employee ining and development tage of surveyed city/parish court chief lges indicating actions taken in 2015-2016 to install or implement hnologies	94.2%	98.1% 96.2%	96.2% 90.4%	
12		ut of the State General Fund:	/2.3/0	/0.2/0	<i>y</i> 0.170	
14 15	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Cour Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1			\$	2,757,560
16 17 18 19	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, Traffic and one (1) Parish Cour Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, resp	t 2492,		\$	444,777
			•		<u> </u>	
20	TOTAL C	OTHER COURTS REQUIRED E	BY STATU	ТЕ	<u>\$</u>	3,202,337
21 22	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALA REQUIRED BY STATUTE	RIES ANI	OFFICE	E EXPEN	ISES NOT
23	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund:				
24 25	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protect Care Monitoring Program	ive		\$	614,938
26 27 28	Orlean	m Description: <i>The program tracks of s Parish Juvenile Court. It also provid s Program.</i>				
29	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court F	Reporters		\$	88,244
30 31	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program		<u>\$</u>	63,238	
 Program Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to judges with substance abuse problems. 						
34	TOTAL C	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIR	RED BY ST	ATUTE	<u>\$</u>	766,420
35	03-8175	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EX	PENSES			
36	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund:				
37	01	Legal representation of children	n in child pr	otection ca	ses <u>\$</u>	2,070,853
38 39 40 41 42	<i>in Child Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administration, in</i> <i>order to advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court administers</i> <i>funding to provide qualified legal representation for children in child protection</i>					
43	TOTAL N	ION-JUDICIAL STATE EXPEN	ISES		<u>\$</u>	2,070,853

CORRECTED COPY - ENROLLED

HB NO. 620

Section 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appropriations, from the
 State General Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall be reduced by a total
 amount of Sixteen Million Two Hundred Twenty Nine Thousand Six Hundred Fifty and
 No/100 (\$16,229,650.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adopted by the Judicial Budgetary
 Control Board or as approved by the Louisiana Supreme Court.

6 Section 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her duly authorized and 7 appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or 8 for so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the 9 state general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all 10 other warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and 11 warrants for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant 12 provided by this Act.

B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the
judiciary in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located
in the state.

16 C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously 17 appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest 18 earnings are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the 19 judiciary; however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable 20 to the state general fund on or before September 1, 2018.

D. For Fiscal Year 2017-2018, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and HB NO. 620

1

2

objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

3 G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other 4 judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation 5 upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the 6 Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office 7 relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees. 8 Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, 9 the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's 10 Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or 11 other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in 12 this Act.

Section 4. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2017; if vetoed by the
governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective
on July 1, 2017, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is
later.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2016-2017 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2017-2018 as contained in the original bill.

Operating Budget	Appropriation			
		Request		
Courts and Programs	FY 2016-2017	FY 2017-2018		
Supreme Court				
Total Supreme Court	\$ 63,673,627	\$	74,680,658	
Courts of Appeal				
Total Courts of Appeal	\$ 45,101,587	\$	47,551,252	
District Courts				
Total District Courts	\$ 46,311,638	\$	48,881,924	
Other Courts				
Total Other Courts	\$ 3,132,025	\$	3,202,337	

HB NO. 620	CORRECTED	CORRECTED COPY - ENROLLED		
Other Programs				
Total Other Programs	\$ 634,064	\$ 766,420		
Non-Judicial State Expenses				
Total State Expenses	<u>\$ 2,070,853</u>	<u>\$ 2,070,853</u>		
Total State General Fund				
and Interagency Transfer				
All Line Items	<u>\$160,923,794</u>	<u>\$ 177,153,444</u>		
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$ 10,407,485</u>	<u>\$ 10,240,925</u>		
Total Funding	<u>\$171,331,279</u>	<u>\$ 187,394,369</u>		

SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA

APPROVED: _____