

## LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

**163** HLS 19RS Fiscal Note On: HR 729

Bill Text Version: ENROLLED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.: Sub. Bill For.:

**Date:** June 18, 2019 7:56 AM

Dept./Agy.: Department of Natural Resources

**Subject:** Relative to the storage of carbon dioxide

**Author: CREWS** 

**Analyst:** David Neef

CONSERVATION/COMMISSR

EN NO IMPACT See Note

Relative to responsibilities for actions related to sequestration of carbon dioxide

Page 1 of 1

Present law requires that a storage operator shall be held or deemed responsible for the performance of any actions required by the commissioner.

Present law defines a "storage operator" as the person authorized by the commissioner to operate a storage facility. A storage container can, but need not be, the owner of carbon dioxide injected into a storage facility. Ownership of carbon dioxide and use of geologic storage is a matter of private contract between the storage operator and owner, shipper, or generator of carbon dioxide, as applicable.

Proposed law specifies that unless the person is the owner or operator of the facility or activity regulated, the owner, shipper, or generator of carbon dioxide shall not be deemed responsible for the performance of any actions required by the commissioner.

EXPENDITURES	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$0</b>	\$0

## **EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION**

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

The change is intended to make state law consistent with federal law in the event the state chooses to apply for primacy over Carbon Dioxide Sequestration, but will have no financial impact on how the regulations are implemented. If the state applied for primacy, there would be significant financial costs associated with the state adopting a carbon dioxide sequestration evaluation program, however, the proposed change in this legislation would neither add nor detract from those expenses.

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

## **REVENUE EXPLANATION**

Change {S & H}

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

Senate	<u>Duai Referrai Ruies</u>	House
13.5.1 >	= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H}	$\bigcirc$ 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}
13.5.2 >	= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	6.8(G) >= \$500,000  Tax or Fee Increase

Evan Brasseaux

Evan Brasseaux

Staff Director