
DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

HB 361 Original

2020 Regular Session

Davis

Abstract: Enacts the Supportive Decisionmaking Agreements Act, provides for the purpose of the Act, and establishes the procedures and requirements for persons subject to this Act.

Present law provides for the procedures and requirements for a petition for interdiction.

Proposed law enacts an additional requirement of certification of consideration of less restrictive means prior to seeking interdiction.

Proposed law provides for a supportive decisionmaking agreement between an adult with a disability and a supporter, whereby the supporter advises the adult with a disability on issues outlined within the agreement without impeding the self-determination of the adult.

Proposed law provides that supportive decisionmaking agreements are recognized as less restrictive means in regards to the management of adults with disabilities.

Proposed law provides that the authority of the supporter is granted by the supported decisionmaking agreement.

Proposed law provides for the various requirements and prohibitions of actions taken by the supporter.

Proposed law provides for the requirements, revocation, and term of a supported decisionmaking agreement.

Proposed law authorizes a supporter to assist with obtaining personal information that is relevant to decisions authorized under the agreement.

Proposed law provides that supporters who act in good faith and third parties who rely in good faith on the supported decisionmaking agreement are not subject to civil or criminal liability or to discipline for unprofessional conduct.

(Adds C.C.P. Art. 4541(A)(11) and R.S. 13:4261.101-4261.303)