



LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE
Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB 699** HLS 20RS 672

Bill Text Version: **ORIGINAL**

Opp. Chamb. Action:

Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.:

| | | |
|--|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Date: April 22, 2020 | 5:52 AM | Author: DWIGHT |
| Dept./Agy.: Statewide | | |
| Subject: Establishes the Opiod Restitution Fund | | Analyst: Alan M. Boxberger |

FUNDS/FUNDING

Establishes the Opiod Restitution Fund

OR SEE FISC NOTE SD EX

Page 1 of 1

Proposed law establishes the Louisiana Opiod Restitution Fund and requires that all monies received by the state from any opiod settlement be deposited into the fund. Proposed law requires that any monies in the fund shall be used exclusively for the specified purposes related to access to medications, behavioral health services, crisis, stabilization and residential treatment services, educational programs, associated law enforcement efforts, research and training, and evidence-based interventions. Proposed law requires the governor to develop goals, objectives and performance indicators relating to substance use treatment and prevention efforts, consult with stakeholders to identify recommended appropriations from the fund, and to submit an annual report to the legislature to include an accounting of spending in the previous fiscal year and a recommendation for appropriations for the ensuing fiscal year.

| EXPENDITURES | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|
| State Gen. Fd. | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | SEE BELOW | |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Annual Total | | | | | | |

| REVENUES | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| State Gen. Fd. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Annual Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There are no direct material impacts on state or local governmental expenditures due to the implementation of this proposed measure. Proposed law establishes the Louisiana Opiod Restitution Fund, into which will be deposited any monies received by the state from any opiod settlement. Proposed law also establishes allowable uses of monies in the fund. At the current time, Louisiana is party to ongoing opiod litigation but there has been no settlement or resolution to the court cases. Pursuant to any future, prospective settlements, proposed law creates the funding mechanism through which monies will flow and defines the allowable uses. There are no direct material expenditure impacts until such time as monies are deposited into the fund, and any such expenditures after such a settlement is reached, if any, will be made pursuant to recommendations by the governor and with appropriation approval by the legislature within the defined activities.

Creating a new statutory dedication within the state treasury will result in a marginal workload increase for the Department of Treasury, which can generally be absorbed within existing resources. However, to the extent other legislative instruments create new statutory dedications, there may be material additional costs associated with the aggregate effort to administer these funds. The Treasury performs fund accounting, financial reporting, banking and custodial functions for 404 special funds. When unable to absorb additional workload with existing resources, the Treasury anticipates that it will be required to add one T.O. position at a total personal services cost of approximately \$71,000 plus approximately \$2,450 for a one-time purchase of office equipment. These expenditures are assumed to be SGF in this fiscal note.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

| | | | |
|---|---------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Senate | Dual Referral Rules | House | <i>Evan Brasseaux</i> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.1 >= \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S & H} | | <input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S} | Evan Brasseaux |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 13.5.2 >= \$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S & H} | | <input type="checkbox"/> 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S} | Staff Director |