

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR 2022 REGULAR SESSION ACTUARIAL NOTE

House Bill 18 HLS 22RS-236	Date: May 27, 2022
Enrolled	Organizations Affected: MPERS
Author: Bacala	
LLA Note HB 18.03	EN INCREASE APV

<u>Bill Header:</u> RETIREMENT/MUNICIPAL POL: Provides relative to the reemployment of retired members of the Municipal Police Employees' Retirement System.

Purpose of Bill: Current law provides that a Municipal Police Employees' Retirement Systems (MPERS) retiree who retires on or after July 1, 2021, and who is rehired by an employer but does not meet the definition of an employee, will have benefits suspended until the earlier of 24 months or the end of such reemployment. This bill modifies the reemployment of retired members rules by (i) exempting members working no more than 50 hours per month as a police officer (or working as an elected official other than a chief of police), and (ii) reducing the period during which benefits are suspended for all other reemployed retirees who do not meet the definition of Employee from 24 months to 12 months. The benefits will be suspended for the 60-day period immediately following retirement, even if exempted from a suspension of benefits.

<u>Cost Summary</u>¹: The estimated net actuarial and fiscal impact of the proposed legislation is summarized below.

Allowing active employees to retire and return-to-work without a suspension of retiree benefit payments encourages current employees to begin receiving their retirement benefit earlier than they otherwise would if they were unable to receive both their retirement benefit and pay as an employee, increasing benefit payments and total liability. To the extent employer or employee contributions are not required for rehired retirees, the cost to the employer of rehiring these individuals is lower, thereby incentivizing employers to utilize such rehires. For plans that are not fully funded, this results in increases to the employer contribution rate and total required employer contribution for employers who do not, or cannot, take advantage of this opportunity.

In the following table, "Net Actuarial Present Values" pertain to estimated changes in the *net actuarial present value of future benefit payments and administrative expenses incurred by a retirement system or associated with an OPEB plan*. A more detailed explanation of the information presented in this table can be found in Section I: <u>Actuarial Impact on Retirement Systems and OPEB</u>.

Change in Net Actuarial Present Values Pertaining to:	
The Retirement Systems	Increase
Other Post-employment Benefits (OPEB)	0
Total	Increase

"Net Fiscal Costs" pertain to changes to all cash flows over the next five-year period including retirement system cash flows, OPEB cash flows, or cash flows related to local and state government entities.

In the following table, expenditures and revenues include cash flows to or from the affected retirement system or OPEB plan, (e.g. administrative expenses incurred by, benefit payments from, or contributions to the retirement system) and do not include administrative expenditures and revenues specifically incurred by the state or local government entities associated with implementing the legislation. A more detailed explanation can be found in Section II: <u>Fiscal Impact on Retirement Systems and OPEB</u>.

Five Year Net Fiscal Costs Pertaining to:	<u>Expenditures</u>	Revenues
The Retirement Systems	Increase	Increase
Other Post-employment Benefits (OPEB)	0	0
Local Government Entities	Increase	0
State Government Entities	0	0
Total	Increase	Increase

In the following table, expenditures and revenues include administrative expenditures and revenues specifically incurred by the state or local government entities associated with implementing the legislation and do not include cash flows to or from the affected retirement system (i.e. contribution changes included in the above table). This information, is provided by the LLA Local Government Services or the Legislative Fiscal Office. A more detailed explanation can be found in Sections III: <u>Fiscal Impact on Local Government Entities</u> and Section IV: <u>Fiscal Impact on State Government Entities</u>.

Five Year Net Fiscal Costs Pertaining to:	Expenditures	Revenues
Local Government Entities	\$ 0	\$ 0
State Government Entities	0	0
Total	\$ <u>0</u>	\$0

This Note has been prepared by the Actuary for the Louisiana Legislative Auditor (LLA) with assistance from either the Fiscal Notes staff of the Legislative Auditor or staff of the Legislative Fiscal Office (LFO). The attachment of this Note provides compliance with the requirements of R.S. 24:521 as amended by Act 353 of the 2016 Regular Session.

	0
7/1	m
160	()the
/	// /

Kenneth J. "Kenny" Herbold, ASA, ÉA, MAAA Director of Actuarial Services Louisiana Legislative Auditor

¹ This is a different assessment from the actuarial cost relating the 2/3 vote (refer to the section near the end of this Actuarial Note <u>"Information</u> Pertaining to La. Const. Art. X, §29(F)").

I. <u>ACTUARIAL IMPACT ON RETIREMENT SYSTEMS AND OPEB</u>

This section of the actuarial note pertains to changes in the net actuarial present value of expected future benefit payments and administrative expenses incurred by the retirement systems or associated with an OPEB plan.

1. Retirement Systems

The net change in actuarial present value of expected future benefits and administrative expenses incurred by the retirement systems from the proposed legislation is estimated to be an increase.

Current law requires retirement benefits be suspended and contributions be resumed for any retiree who again meets the definition of Employee. Further, any member who retirees on or after July 1, 2021 and becomes employed by an employer but does not meet the definition of Employee, even if such service is part-time, based on employment by contract, or in a nonqualifying position, within the 24-month period following his retirement, shall have his benefit suspended for the lesser of 1) the duration of employment or 2) 24 months following the effective date of his retirement.

This bill introduces an exception to the suspension of benefits provision for retirees who do not meet the definition of Employee and 1) work no more than 50 hours per month as a police officer or 2) work as an elected official other than a chief of police, but will require a suspension of benefits for the 60-day period immediately following retirement even if this exception is met. The bill also reduces the period during which benefits are suspended for all other reemployed retirees who do not meet the definition of Employee, from 24 months to 12 months.

When retirees are permitted to return to work without a suspension of retiree benefit payments, participants may be incentivized to retire earlier than they otherwise might, knowing they can immediately (or shortly thereafter) return to work and receiving both their retirement benefit and active employment pay. Restricting this to those working no more than 50 hours per month will limit this incentive. However, introducing this exception and reducing the suspension of benefit period for contract employees to 12 months will increase total expected benefit payments and therefore the total liability and employer contributions.

2. Other Post-employment Benefits (OPEB)

The net change in actuarial present value of expected future benefits and administrative expenses associated with OPEB, including retiree health insurance premiums, from the proposed legislation is treated as \$0 because we do not have access to the OPEB benefit programs of local participating municipalities and how this bill might affect their respective OPEB programs.

Thus, the liability and expenses for post-retirement medical insurance are treated as not impacted by any provisions of this bill.

II. FISCAL IMPACT ON RETIREMENT SYSTEMS AND OPEB

This section of the actuarial note pertains to fiscal (annual) costs or savings associated with the retirement systems (Table A) and with OPEB (Table B). Fiscal costs or savings only include cash flows to or from the affected retirement system or OPEB plan, (e.g. administrative expenses incurred by, benefit payments from, or contributions to the retirement system) and do not include administrative expenditures and revenues specifically incurred by the state or local government entities associated with implementing the legislation.

A. <u>Estimated Fiscal Impact – Retirement Systems</u>

Table A shows the estimated fiscal impact of the proposed legislation on the retirement systems and the government entities that sponsor them. A fiscal cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Fiscal savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. A revenue increase is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. A revenue decrease is denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

		Table A: Retire	ment System Fise	cal Cost		
EXPENDITURES	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
Annual Total	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
REVENUES	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase	Increase

The proposed legislation will have the following effects on retirement related fiscal costs and revenues during the five-year measurement period.

1. Expenditures:

a. Agy Self Generated expenditures are expected to increase because eliminating the suspension of benefits for certain retirees, and reducing the period for others will immediately increase expected benefit payments.

b. An increase in expected benefit payments will necessarily result in higher expected employer contributions. Increases in employer contributions are reflected in the Local Funds line above. The actual sources of funding may vary by employer and are not differentiated in the table.

2. Revenues:

Changes in retirement contributions identified as changes in Local Fund expenditures have corresponding changes in Agy Self Generated revenues.

B. <u>Estimated Fiscal Impact – OPEB</u>

Table B shows the estimated fiscal impact of the proposed legislation on actuarial benefit and administrative costs or savings associated with OPEB and the government entities that sponsor these benefit programs. A fiscal cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Fiscal savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. A revenue increase is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. A revenue decrease is denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

Table B: OPEB Fiscal Cost						
EXPENDITURES	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

REVENUES	2022-2	3	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$	0 \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated		0	0	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other		0	0	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds		0	0	0	0	0	0
Local Funds		0	0	0	 0	0	 0
Annual Total	\$	0 \$	0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

The proposed legislation will have the following effects on OPEB related fiscal costs and revenues during the five-year measurement period.

1. Expenditures:

No measurable effects.

2. Revenues:

No measurable effects.

III. <u>FISCAL IMPACT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT ENTITIES</u> (Prepared by LLA Local Government Services)

This section of the actuarial note pertains to annual fiscal costs (savings) related to administrative expenditures and revenue impacts incurred by local government entities other than those included in Tables A and B. See Table C.

Estimated Fiscal Impact - Local Government Entities (other than the impact included in Tables A and B)

From time to time, legislation is proposed that has an indirect effect on administrative expenditures and revenues associated with local government entities (other than the impact included in Tables A and B). Table C shows the estimated fiscal administrative cost impact of the proposed legislation on such local government entities. A fiscal cost is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. Fiscal savings are denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number. A revenue increase is denoted by "Increase" or a positive number. A revenue decrease is denoted by "Decrease" or a negative number.

	Table	e C: Fiscal Costs	for Local Governi	nent Entities		
EXPENDITURES	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	C	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	C	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	C	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	C	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
REVENUES	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	5 Year Total
State General Fund	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Agy Self Generated	0	C	0	0	0	0
Stat Deds/Other	0	C	0	0	0	0
Federal Funds	0	C	0	0	0	0
Local Funds	0	00	0	0	0	0
Annual Total	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0

The proposed legislation will have the following effects on fiscal administrative costs and revenues related to local government entities during the five-year measurement period.

- 1. Expenditures: No measurable effects.
- 2. Revenues: No measurable effects.

IV. FISCAL IMPACT ON STATE GOVERNMENT ENTITIES (Prepared by Legislative Fiscal Office)

This section of the actuarial note pertains to annual fiscal cost (savings) related to administrative expenditures and revenue impacts incurred by state government entities other than those included in Tables A and B. See Table D.

Estimated Fiscal Impact - State Government Entities (other than the impact included in Tables A and B)

N/A - This bill only impacts local government, and therefore, has no state impact. The LFO does not review local government bills.

Table	D: Fiscal Costs f	for State Governm	nent Entities		
2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	5 Year Total
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	5 Year Total
\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	0
	2022-23 \$ 0 0 0 0 5 0 2022-23	2022-23 2023-24 \$ 0 \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 \$ 0 0 \$ 0 \$ 2022-23 2023-24 2023-24	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	\$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 \$ 0 2022-23 2023-24 2024-25 2025-26	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $

V. ACTUARIAL DISCLOSURES

0 \$

0 \$

0 \$

0

0 \$

0 \$

\$

Intended Use

Annual Total

This actuarial note is based on our understanding of the bill as of the date shown above. It is intended to be used by the Legislature during the current legislative session only and assumes no other legislative changes affecting the funding or benefits of the affected systems, other than those identified, will be adopted. Other readers of this actuarial note are advised to seek professional guidance as to its content and interpretation, and not to rely upon this communication without such guidance. The actuarial note, and any referenced documents, should be read as a whole. Distribution of, or reliance on, only parts of this actuarial note could result in its misuse and may mislead others. The summary of the impact of the bill included in this actuarial note is for the purposes of an actuarial analysis only, as required by La. R.S. 24:521, and is not a legal interpretation of the provisions of the bill.

Actuarial Data, Methods and Assumptions

Unless indicated otherwise, this actuarial note was prepared using actuarial data, methods, and assumptions as disclosed in the most recent actuarial valuation report adopted by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC). The assumptions and methods are reasonable for the purpose of this analysis.

For certain calculations that may be presented herein, we have utilized commercially available valuation software and/or are relying on proprietary valuation models and related software developed by our actuarial contractor. We made a reasonable attempt to understand the intended purpose of, general operation of, major sensitivities and dependencies within, and key strengths and limitations of these models. In our professional judgment, the models have the capability to provide results that are consistent with the purposes of the analysis and have no material limitations or known weaknesses. Tests were performed to ensure that the model reasonably represents that which is intended to be modeled.

To the extent that this actuarial note relies on calculations performed by the retirement systems' actuaries, to the best of our knowledge, no material biases exist with respect to the data, methods or assumptions used to develop the analysis other than those specifically identified. We did not audit the information provided, but have reviewed the information for reasonableness and consistency with other information provided by or for the affected retirement systems.

Conflict of Interest

There is nothing in the proposed legislation that will compromise the signing actuary's ability to present an unbiased statement of actuarial opinion.

Risks Associated with Measuring Costs

This actuarial note is an actuarial communication, and is required to include certain disclosures in compliance with Actuarial Standards of Practice (ASOP) No. 51.

A full actuarial determination of the retirement system's costs, actuarially determined contributions and accrued liability require the use of assumptions regarding future economic and demographic events. The assumptions used to determine the retirement system's contribution requirement and accrued liability are summarized in the system's most recent Actuarial Valuation Report accepted by the respective retirement board and by the Public Retirement Systems' Actuarial Committee (PRSAC).

The actual emerging future experience, such as a retirement fund's future investment returns, may differ from the assumptions. To the extent that emerging future experience differs from the assumptions, the resulting shortfalls (or gains) must be recognized in future years by future taxpayers. Future actuarial measurements may also differ significantly from the current measurements due to other factors: changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period; or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the system's funded status); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.

Examples of risk that may reasonably be anticipated to significantly affect the plan's future financial condition include:

- 1. Investment risk actual investment returns may differ from the expected returns (assumptions);
- 2. Contribution risk actual contributions may differ from expected future contributions. For example, actual contributions may not be made in accordance with the plan's funding policy or material changes may occur in the anticipated number of covered employees, covered payroll, or other relevant contribution base;
- 3. Salary and Payroll risk actual salaries and total payroll may differ from expected, resulting in actual future accrued liability and contributions differing from expected;
- 4. Longevity and life expectancy risk members may live longer or shorter than expected and receive pensions for a period of time other than assumed;
- 5. Other demographic risks members may terminate, retire or become disabled at times or with benefits at rates that differ from what was assumed, resulting in actual future accrued liability and contributions differing from expected.

The scope of an actuarial note prepared for the Louisiana Legislature does not include an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements or a quantitative measurement of the future risks of not achieving the assumptions. In certain circumstances, detailed or quantitative assessments of one or more of these risks as well as various plan maturity measures and historical actuarial measurements may be requested from the actuary. Additional risk assessments are generally outside the scope of an actuarial note. Additional assessments may include stress tests, scenario tests, sensitivity tests, stochastic modeling, and a comparison of the present value of accrued benefits at low-risk discount rates with the actuarial accrued liability.

However, the general cost-effects of emerging experience deviating from assumptions can be known. For example, the investment return since the most recent actuarial valuation may be less (or more) than the assumed rate, or a cost-of-living adjustment may be more (or less) than the assumed rate, or life expectancy may be improving (or worsening) compared to what is assumed. In each of these situations, the cost of the plan can be expected to increase (or decrease).

The use of reasonable assumptions and the timely receipt of the actuarially determined contributions are critical to support the financial health of the plan. However, employer contributions made at the actuarially determined rate do not necessarily guarantee benefit security.

Certification

Kenneth J. Herbold is an Associate of the Society of Actuaries (ASA), a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries (MAAA), and an Enrolled Actuary (EA) under the Employees Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Mr. Herbold meets the US Qualification Standards necessary to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

VI. <u>LEGISLATIVE PROCEDURAL ITEMS</u>

Information Pertaining to La. Const. Art. X, §29(F)

X This bill contains a retirement system benefit provision having an actuarial cost.

Some members of the Municipal Police Employees' Retirement Systems could receive a larger benefit with the enactment of this bill than what they would have received without this bill.

Dual Referral Relative to Total Fiscal Costs or Total Cash Flows:

The information presented below is based on information contained in Tables A, B, C, and D for the first three years following the 2022 regular session.

<u>Senate</u>	Ho	use	
13.5.1	Applies to Senate or House Instruments.	6.8F	Applies to Senate or House Instruments.
	If an annual fiscal cost \geq \$100,000, then bill is dual referred to:		If an annual General Fund fiscal cost \geq \$100,000, then the bill is dual referred to:
	Dual Referral: Senate Finance		Dual Referral to Appropriations
13.5.2	Applies to Senate or House Instruments.	6.8G	Applies to Senate Instruments only.
	If an annual tax or fee change \geq \$500,000, then the bill is dual referred to:		If a net fee decrease occurs or if an increase in annual fees and taxes \geq \$500,000, then the bill is dual referred to:
	Dual Referral: Revenue and Fiscal Affairs		Dual Referral: Ways and Means