Regular Session, 2002

HOUSE BILL NO. 200

BY REPRESENTATIVES LEBLANC AND DEWITT

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the judiciary

1	AN ACT
2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of Ninety-Five Million One Hundred Sixty-Four Thousand
7	Three Hundred Thirty-Three and No/100 (\$95,164,333) Dollars, or so much thereof as may
8	be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary, including the
9	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District Court of Orleans
10	Parish, and other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and in addition, has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its own appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
25 26	
27 28 29	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.
27 28	and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and

1	General Performance Information:			
2		1999	2000	2001
3	Total Filings	3,652	3,565	3,457
4	Total Appeals Filed	43	41	30
5	Total Writs Filed	3,455	<i>3,37</i> 8	3,230
6	Total Dispositions Rendered	3,290	3,027	3,310
7	Goal: To promote the rule of law.			
8 9	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely manned <i>General Performance Information:</i>	er.		
10	General I erjormance Information.	1999	2000	2001
11	Percentage of noncriminal case	1///	2000	2001
12	applications acted on within Supreme			
13	Court standard of 120 days of filing	95.30%	96.07%	93.18%
14	Percentage of criminal case applications			
15	acted on within Supreme Court	61.000/	••••	10.000/
16 17	standard of 120 days of filing	61.00%	29.40%	19.33%
17 18	Percentage of pro se post conviction applications acted on within Supreme			
18	<i>Court standard of 120 days of filing</i>	28.00%	15.72%	15.23%
20	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings	20.0070	13.7270	15.2570
$\overline{21}$	acted upon within Supreme Court			
22	standard of 120 days of filing	94.60%	86.15%	94.20%
23	Percentage of opinions rendered within			
24	Supreme Court standard of 84 days			
25	from argument	63.80%	80.00%	77.78%
26				
26	Goal: To ensure the public trust.			
27	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	eme Court d	lecisions	
28	General Performance Information:	enne courr a		
29	0 0	1999	2000	2001
30	Percentage of written opinions available			
31	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%
22				
32 33	Objective: To inform the public of operations	s and activiti	es.	
33 34	General Performance Information:	1999	2000	2001
35	Number of outreach programs	17	2000	11
36	Number of media releases on court decisions	110	94	100
37	Number of media releases on other matters	38	16	18
38	Number of recipients of releases on			
39	court decisions	1,105	4,418	6,500
40	Number of recipients of releases on other	1 000	2.607	
41	matters	1,090	3,697	3,825
42	Objective. To ansure the highest professional	aanduat inte	arity and con	matanaa of
42	Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench.	conduct, inte	grity, and con	ipetence of
44	General Performance Information:			
45		1999	2000	2001
46	Average number of hours acquired			
47	through continuing legal education			
48	per judge	27.39	25.90	
49 50	Number of complaints filed against	107	170	470
50 51	judges and justices of the peace	427	479	479
52	Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or			
53	disposed of in calendar year	412	490	490
			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	.,
54	Objective: To ensure the highest professional of	conduct, inte	egrity, and con	petence of
55	the bar.			-
56	General Performance Information:		-	_
57		1999	2000	2001
58 50	Average number of hours acquired through	10 5	10.02	
59 60	continuing legal education per lawyer Number of complaints filed against lawyers	19.5 2,873	19.93 3,008	2,631
60 61	Number of complaints filed against lawyers Number of complaints filed against lawyers	2,075	5,000	2,031
62	resolved or disposed of in calendar year	1,608	1,637	1,586
		_,000	1,007	1,000

1	Payable of	ut of the State General Fund:		
2	01	Salaries of Chief Justice and six (6)		
3	01	Associate Justices of the Supreme Court,		
4		R.S. 13:102	\$	722,822
-		K.S. 13.102	Ψ	722,022
5	02	Salaries and other expenses of the		
6		Supreme Court Proper and salary of		
7		the Crier of the Supreme Court	\$	6,515,032
8	03	Expenses of Judicial Administrator's		
9		Office of the Judiciary Commission		
10		provided for in Article V, Section		
11		25 of the Constitution of Louisiana and		
12		under the provisions of R.S. 13:32 et seq.		
13		A. Expenses of Judicial Administrator's		
13 14		Office	\$	2,513,257
14		Office	φ	2,515,257
15	Progra	m Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme		
16	-	n the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council		
17		Judiciary Commission. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies		
18		kes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving		
19 20		inistration of justice. The Office provides payroll and other fiscal services udiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system, and		
20		ts the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system. The		
22		Administrator's Office provides technological services to courts and		
23	-	es the Trial Court Case Management Information System. It also manages		
24 25		hoc judgeship system, and monitors cases under advisement. The Office		
25 26	-	s outreach services to state and local courts, staffs the Committee on Judicial and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the		
27 27	Judicia			
28		B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission	\$	746,303
		1 2		,
29	-	m Description: The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional		
30 31	•	tablished under Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, investigate, and prosecute complaints of judicial misconduct. As part of its		
32		ty, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure, suspension, removal		
33		fice, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct.		
24				
34 25		C. Court Reporters as required by	¢	250 799
35		R.S. 13:981	\$	250,788
36		D. Dues to National Center for		
37		State Courts	\$	126,236
				,
38	04	Expenses of Committee on Professional		
39		Ethics and Grievances including		
40		disbarment proceedings, R.S. 37:211 et seq.	\$	3,000
41	05			
41	05	Compensation and expenses of retired		
42		judges assigned under Article V, Section $5(A)$ of the Constitution of		
43		Section 5(A) of the Constitution of	ሱ	1 025 460
44 45		Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at	\$	1,235,468
45 46	06	Low Library of Louisiana for salarias		
46 47	00	Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, services, supplies, maintenance, repairs,		
47 48		and equipment	\$	1,399,122
υ		and equipment	Ψ	1,577,144
49		m Description: The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an Act of the		
50	Legisla	ture in 1855 to serve the legal information needs of the public, the state		

	пLS 02-2	220	_	.B. NO. 200
1	07			
1	07	Salaries and expenses of transferred		
2 3		judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution,		
4		be it more or less estimated at	\$	120,000
5		be it more of less estimated at	Ψ	120,000
6	08	Retirement pay for services rendered by		
7		justices and judges of all courts, as		
8		provided by R.S. 11:1358 and		
9		R.S. 13:103	\$	2,308,997
10	09	Pensions for widows of justices and		
11	07	judges of all courts, as provided by		
12		R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it		
13		more or less estimated at	\$	1,290,847
14	10		ሰ	140 405
14	10	Judicial College	\$	140,495
15 16	-	am Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the ne Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges.		
17	11	State contribution to judicial		
18		retirement provided for in Article V,		
19		Section 23 of the Constitution and		
20		R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or		
21		less estimated at	\$	4,037,494
22	12	Civil commitment matters as		
23		required by R.S. 28:54	\$	143,424
24	13	Funding for statewide operations		
25		of the Louisiana Protective Order		
26		Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the		
27		Case Management Information System	\$	646,512
28	14	Expenses associated with the operation of the		
29		Families in Need of Services Program (FINS)	\$	1,756,685
30	Progr	am Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist		
31	-	FINS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocation		
32	formula	a; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system		
33		ucking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and		
34 35		ting the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and ng performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic		
36	-	eports and financial accountability; and generally supervising and assisting		
37		TINS processes in other ways.		
38	15	Expenses associated with the operation of the		
39		Truancy Assessment and Service Centers (TASC)	<u>\$</u>	2,853,800
40	Duese			
40 41	-	am Description: <i>Truancy Assessment and Service Centers (TASC) were</i> <i>ished in 1999 as pilot programs through the amendment of Title VII of the</i>		
42		<i>en's Code, with the addition of Chapter 15. The original TASC initiative was</i>		
43	design	ed to identify, assess, and intervene to assure that children in kindergarten		
44	-	h sixth grades attend school regularly. Subsequent legislation has signifi-		
45 46		expanded the original initiative and provided state funding intended for TASC ts in planning new centers, implementing previously planned centers and		
40 47		is in planning new centers, implementing previously planned centers and uing operations of existing centers.		
48	ΤΟΤΑΙ	- GENERAL FUND	¢	26,810,282
4 0	IUIAL -		φ	20,010,202

ORIGINAL

HLS 02-220

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	16	Administrative expenses of the office of the Clerk of the Louisiana Supreme Court attributable to the selection process of attorney chairman of the Medical Review Panel as provided for in R.S. 40:1299.47 to be paid out of the Patient's Compensation Fund	\$	10,000
8	17	Payable out of the State General Fund		
9		from Statutory Dedications, Judges'		
10		Supplemental Compensation Fund,		
11		R.S. 13:10.3, be it more or less		
12		estimated at	\$	4,000,000
13 14 15 16	establist expense	m Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund was hed by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-related es to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundable e assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.		
17	18	Payable out of the State General Fund		
18		from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court		
19		Case Management Information Fund, for		
20		the Case Management Information System,		
21		Article 887(F) of the Code of Criminal		
22		Procedure, be it more or less estimated at	<u>\$</u>	1,731,949
23 24 25	created	m Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) was by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well		

created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system for tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as well as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to the CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agencies. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Safety & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal and traffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P. 887(F).

31 TOTAL SUPREME COURT

26 27

28

29

30

32

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

33 03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL

Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rouge, Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdiction over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the general supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also has appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family and juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circuit, except for those cases appealable directly to the Supreme Court or to the district courts.

Mission Statement: The mission of the appellate courts of Louisiana is to provide meaningful access to all who seek review under the Courts' appellate and supervisory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiana Constitution while protecting and promoting the rule of law, preserving the public trust, and using public resources efficiently.

47 **Goal:** To protect the rule of law.

48 Objective: To provide a reasonable opportunity for multi-judge review of decisions
 49 made by lower tribunals.
 50 General Performance Information:

51		1999	2000	2001
52	Total appeals filed	4,213	4,556	3,733
53	Total writs filed	6,900	6,089	5,926
54	Total dispositions rendered	9,565	9,135	9,246

\$ 32,552,231

1 **Goal:** To promote the rule of law.

		1 to the second second					
2	Object	tive: To resolve cases expeditiously.					
2 3 4 5		al Performance Information:					
4		e number of days from lodging of the ap		ment: Time Ste	andard =		
5	по тог	re than 175 days Year 1997 Compared	to 2001				
6			1005	0001			
6	<i>c</i> · · ·	1	1997 247	2001			
7 8		nal cases	247	211			
o 9	Civil co Total	ases	200 213	238 229			
)	Totat		215	229			
10	Averag	e number of days from argument to rend	lering of the d	ppinion: Time	Standard		
11	-	ore than 70 days	<i>or m</i> ₀ of <i>m</i> o		Sterrerer		
		2					
12	Crimin	nal cases	41	44			
13	Civil ce	ases	57	63			
14	Total		52	56			
15	Goal:	To preserve public trust.					
16	-	tive: To facilitate public access to the d	ecisions of th	e courts of app	beal.		
17	Genera	al Performance Information:	1000	2000	2001		
18 19	Danaan	tage of witten entries and itable	1999	2000	2001		
20		tage of written opinions available the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%		
20	10	the public within 5 days of decision	10070	10070	10070		
21	Dovoblo o	out of the State Coneral Fund:					
21	Fayable 0	out of the State General Fund:					
22	0.1		C				
22	01	Salaries of fifty-three (53) Jud	-				
23		the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:	:311			\$	5,142,119
24	02	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
25		maintenance of the Court of A	ppeal,				
26		First Circuit				\$	7,064,064
							, ,
27	03	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
28	00	maintenance of the Court of A					
20 29		Second Circuit	ppcai,			\$	3,692,639
29		Second Circuit				φ	3,092,039
20	04	Colorian and announces of an an	tion and				
30	04	Salaries and expenses of opera					
31		maintenance of the Court of A	ppeal,				
32		Third Circuit				\$	5,478,572
33	05	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
34		maintenance of the Court of A	ppeal,				
35		Fourth Circuit				\$	5,520,570
36	06	Salaries and expenses of opera	tion and				
37		maintenance of the Court of A					
38		Fifth Circuit	,			\$	3.831.532
50		i inii Chedit				Ψ	<u> </u>
39	τοται (COURTS OF APPEAL				\$	30,729,496
57	IUIAL	COURTS OF ALLEAL				Ψ	<u> </u>
40	02 0172	DISTRICT COURTS					
40	UJ-01/2	DISTRICT COURTS					
41	Progr	am Description: There are forty dis	trict courts i	n Louisiana 1	hat have		
42	-	<i>I jurisdiction over all matters within th</i>					
43	-	l districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24th		-			
44		e courts have exclusive jurisdiction over					
45		ns Parish where there are separate co			-		
46		le jurisdictions, respectively. În certain		-			
47		have concurrent jurisdiction with justices		-			
48		t courts generally have appellate jurisd					
49	parish,	municipal, traffic, and mayors' courts,	except in cer	tain cases. Th	<i>ie district</i>		

courts also have appellate jurisdiction over justices of the peace in parishes where no parish courts exist. The Civil District Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction of all civil cases in that parish. The Criminal Court of Orleans Parish has jurisdiction over all criminal cases in the parish. It also has general supervisory jurisdiction over the municipal and traffic courts in Orleans Parish. The Family Court of East Baton Rouge Parish has exclusive jurisdiction of many domestic cases in the parish. The four juvenile courts located in Caddo, East Baton Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parishes have exclusive jurisdiction of juvenile cases in their respective parishes.

Mission Statement: The mission of the trial courts of Louisiana is to provide access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expeditious manner, to provide equality, fairness, and integrity in their proceedings, to maintain judicial independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just result by adherence to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trust and confidence in the public.

Goal: To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.

Objective: To encourage responsible parties to make court facilities safe, accessible, and convenient. *General Performance Information:*

	2001
Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that	
their courts needed significant improvements in security	61.4%

Objective: To give all who appear before the court reasonable opportunities to participate effectively without undue hardship or inconvenience. *General Performance Information:*

	2001
Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating	
actions to improve compliance with the Americans with	
Disabilities Act (ADA)	86.4%

Objective: To ensure that all judges and other trial court personnel are courteous and responsive to the public and accord respect to all with whom they come into contact. *General Performance Information:*

	2001
Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that	
their courts had taken steps within the last two years to	
ensure the courtesy and responsiveness of their court personnel	95.5%

Objective: To encourage all responsible public bodies and public officers to make the costs of access to the trial court's proceedings and records -- whether measured in terms of money, time, or the procedures that must be followed -- reasonable, fair, and affordable.

General Performance Information:	
	<i>1998</i>
Percentage of surveyed court users indicating going to court	
costs too much	83%

Goal: To meet all responsibilities to everyone affected by the court and its activities in a timely and expeditious manner.

Objective: To encourage timely case management and processing. *General Performance Information:*

49		2000	2001
50	Number of parishes reporting criminal disposition		
51	data to CMIS	50	61
52	Percentage of parishes reporting criminal disposition		
53	data to CMIS	78.1%	95.3%
54	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges		
55	indicating that their courts had taken steps within		
56	the last two years to reduce delays and improve the		
57	timeliness of case processing		93.2%

1		tive: To enhance jury service.		
2 3 4 5 6	Gener	al Performance Information:		
3	D		2001	
4		ntage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating		
5		at their court had taken steps within the last two years make jury service more convenient or effective	70.5%	
0	10	make jury service more convenient or effective	70.370	
7	Goal:	To provide due process and equal protection of the law to al	ll who have	
8		ss before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures an		
9	Object	tive: To ensure that the jury venire is representative of jurisdiction	from which	
10	it is dr			
11	Gener	al Performance Information:		
12 13	D		2001	
15 14		ntage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating we use of sources other then just voter registration rolls		
15		select the jury pool	52.3%	
15	10	select the jury pool	52.570	
16	Goal:	To maintain constitutional independence, while observing the	principle of	
17		ration with other branches of government.	principie of	
		C C		
18	Objec	tive: To maintain the courts' constitutional independence while of	bserving the	
19	princip	ple of cooperation with other branches of government.		
20	Gener	al Performance Information:		
21	_		1999	
22 23		ntage of surveyed district court judges believing		
25 24		nproved coordination/communication with the other ranches of government is an issue the judiciary		
24 25		nould address within the next five years	68.0%	
23	31	ionia adaress winni me nexi five years	00.070	
26	Obiec	tive: To seek, use and account for public resources in a responsi	ble manner.	
27		al Performance Information:		
28		5	1999	
29	Numbe	er of district court judges lacking full-time law clerks	54	
30	Percer	ntage of those judges lacking full-time law clerks	24.8%	
31		tive: To inform the community of the court's structure and function	ion.	
32	Gener	al Performance Information:	2007	
33 34	Danaa	the configuration of district count objective do so in dispetition that	2001	
35		ntage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that heir courts regularly provide public education and public		
36		utreach services	63.6%	
37	Objec	tive: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to	adjust court	
38	-	ions as necessary.	5	
39	Gener	al Performance Information:		
40	_		1999	
41 42		ntage of surveyed district court judges indicating better court		
42 43		chnology is an issue the judiciary should address within he next five years	91.4%	
44		ntage of surveyed district court judges indicating computer	91.470	
45		teracy training for judges is an issue the judiciary should		
46		ddress within the next five years	87.7%	
47		ntage of surveyed district court judges indicating uniform		
48		ial court rules is an issue the judiciary should address		
49		ithin the next five years	58.8%	
50		ntage of surveyed district court judges indicating expansion of		
51 52		Iternative sanctions and treatment services for juvenile courts	76.0%	
32	15	an issue the judiciary should address in the next five years	/0.0%	
53	Payable of	out of the State General Fund:		
_ .	A :			
54	01	Salaries of one hundred eighty-five		
55		(185) District Judges as provided		
56		by R.S. 13:691		\$ 16,625,706
57	02	Office and travel expenses of District		
58		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:694		\$ 1,239,400

1 2 3	03	Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,268,446
4 5 6 7 8	04	Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:694	\$	70,000
9 10 11 12	05	Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as provided by R.S. 13:966.1	\$	83,699
13 14	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000
15 16 17 18	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	3,481,340
19 20 21 22 23 24	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	296,319
25 26 27 28	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	304,868
29 30 31 32	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	197,715
33 34	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	<u>\$</u>	25,800
35	SUBT	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	23,603,293
36	12	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans		
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45	exclusiv offense law in s its com and the or to ho of all co	m Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orleans has be jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemeanors, and as committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not vested by some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance from unissioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony charges power to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or discharge, old for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate jurisdiction ases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffic Court Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.		
46 47 48	A.	. Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,177,843

^{\$} 1,177,843

1 2 3 4	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish and the state's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 13:694 and R.S. 42:851, respectively	\$	354,055
5 6	C.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	237,205
7 8	D.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	374,876
9 10 11	E.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	242,306
12 13	F.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,000
14 15	G.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	60,840
16 17	H.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	45,865
18 19	I.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	532,175
20	J.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	426,118
21	K.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	123,147
22	L.	Sanity Commissions	\$	182,172
23	M.	. Board of Jury Commissioners	<u>\$</u>	261,528
24	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	4,028,130
25	13	Juvenile and Family Court Judges		
26 27		 A. Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 	\$	1,268,446
28 29		B. Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	362,413
30 31 32		C. Office expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as required by R.S. 13:694	<u>\$</u>	100,800
33	SUBT	OTAL	<u>\$</u>	1,731,659
34	TOTAL D	DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	<u>29,363,082</u>
35 36	03-8173	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE REQUIRED BY STATUTE	EXPE	NSES AS
37 38 39	court (m Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts, one municipa New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one parish cour ion Parish).		

40 Payable out of the State General Fund:

41	01	Salaries of sixty-one (61) City Court	
42		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:1875	\$ 1,783,879

1 2 3 4	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, four (4) Traffic and one (1) Parish Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:2492, 2501.1, and 2563.5, respectively	<u>\$</u>	283,101	
5	TOTAL (OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	<u>2,066,980</u>	
6 7	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE EX REQUIRED BY STATUTE	PENS	ES NOT	
8	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:			
9 10	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	\$	363,516	
11 12 13	2 cases in the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also provides assistance in support				
14	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Reporters	\$	59,028	
15 16	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	<u>\$</u>	30,000	
17 18	Program Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counseling and other assistance to judges with substance abuse problems.				
19	TOTAL (OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	452,544	
20	Section 2.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or his duly authorized and				
21	appointed agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations herein provided, or for				
22	so much thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant shall be paid out of the state				
23	general fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by preference over all other				
24	warrants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional officers of the state and warrants				
25	for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurrent with the warrant provided by this				
26	Act.				
27	B. The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited in the name of the judiciary				
28	in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme Court and is located in the state.				
29	C. Any funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of the funds previously				
30	appropriated to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its agencies, and interest				
31	earnings, are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray the expenses of the judiciary;				
32	however,	however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered shall be returnable to the state			
33	general fu	general fund on or before September 1, 2003.			
34	D	D. For Fiscal Year 2002-2003, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made			
35	in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal year				

1

2

in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as approved by the Supreme Court.

E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved by the Supreme Court.

F. The program descriptions, general performance indicators, objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by Section 10 of Part 6 General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.

12 Section 3. The sum of Eleven Million Four Hundred Sixty-Eight Thousand Seven 13 Hundred Forty-Three and No/100 (\$11,468,743.00) Dollars, be it more or less estimated at, 14 is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund by Interagency Transfers to the Supreme 15 Court from the Department of Health and Hospitals, Office of Addictive Disorders for the 16 maintenance and enhancement of drug courts.

Section 4. The sum of Nine Million Eight Hundred Fifty Thousand and No/100 17 18 (\$9,850,000.00) Dollars is hereby appropriated out of the State General Fund by Interagency 19 Transfers to the Supreme Court from the Department of Social Services to be allocated as 20 follows: Truancy Assessment and Service Centers (\$1,250,000) for continued support of 21 TASC services as provided in Chapter 15 of Title 7 of the Louisiana Children's Code; Court Appointed Special Advocates (\$3,600,000) for continued expansion and improvement of 22 23 CASA services statewide; and Drug Courts (\$5,000,000) for eligible drug court services 24 which shall include treatment, assessment, training, and other supportive services, except drug 25 court administration costs. Provided, however, that where such funds originate as federal TANF funding, expenditures of such monies shall be restricted to services for clients eligible 26 27 for TANF-funded truancy assessment and services, court appointed special advocate services, 28 and drug court services as specified in the Louisiana State TANF Plan.

- 1 Section 5. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2002; if vetoed by the governor
- 2 and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective on July 1, 2002,
- 3

or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is later.

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument.

LeBlanc

HB No. 200

Abstract: Provides for expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal Court of Orleans Parish, juvenile and family courts, and other courts.

Appropriates total funding of \$116,483,076 for Fiscal Year 2002-2003, from the following sources: State General Fund \$89,422,384, Interagency Transfers \$21,318,743, and Statutory Dedications \$5,741,949.

Sections 1 and 2 appropriate funding for the ordinary expenses of the judicial branch of government as follows:

(1)	Louisiana Supreme Court	\$32,552,231
(2)	Courts of Appeal	30,729,496
(3)	District Courts	23,603,293
(4)	Criminal Court, Parish of Orleans	4,028,130
(5)	Juvenile and Family Courts	1,731,659
(6)	Other Courts (Required by Statute)	2,066,980
(7)	Other Courts (Not Required by Statute)	452,544
	TOTAL	<u>\$95,164,333</u>

Of the \$95,164,333 appropriated in Sections 1 and 2, \$89,422,384 is from the state general fund, \$4,000,000 is from the Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, \$1,731,949 is from the Trial Court Case Management Fund, and \$10,000 is from the Patient's Compensation Fund.

Section 3 appropriates \$11,468,743 by interagency transfers from the Department of Health and Hospitals, Office of Addictive Disorders to the Supreme Court for the maintenance and enhancement of drug courts.

Section 4 appropriates \$9,850,000 by interagency transfers from the Department of Social Services to the Supreme Court for provision of truancy assessment services (\$1,250,000), court appointed special advocate services (\$3,600,000) and drug court services (\$5,000,000), all as specified in the state TANF plan.

Effective July 1, 2002.