

Regular Session, 1997

HOUSE BILL NO. 1628

BY REPRESENTATIVES DIMOS AND MCMAINS

(On Recommendation of the Louisiana State Law Institute)

SUCCESSIONS: Provides for comprehensive revision of the law of successions

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

AN ACT

To amend and reenact Chapters 4, 5, 6, and 13 of Title I of Book III of the Civil Code, heretofore comprised of Articles 934 through 1074 and Articles 1415 through 1466, to comprise Articles 934 through 968 and Articles 1415 through 1429, Chapter 6 of Title II of Book III of the Civil Code, heretofore comprised of Articles 1570 through 1723, to comprise Articles 1570 through 1616, Civil Code Article 3506(28), Code of Civil Procedure Articles 427, 2825, 2826, 2852, 2856, 2891, 2932, 2951(A)(1) and (B), 3001, 3004, 3031, 3228, 3301 through 3304, 3332, 3361, 3362, 3371, 3393, and 3394, R.S. 9:1521 and R.S. 9:2501; to enact R.S. 9:2440; to transfer and redesignate Civil Code Article 890.1 as R.S. 9:1400, and R.S. 9:1471 through 1474 as Code of Civil Procedure Articles 3295 through 3298 of Section 5 of Chapter 6 of Title III of Book VI; to redesignate Civil Code Article 1497 as Civil Code Article 1515; and to repeal Code of Civil Procedure Articles 2887, 2933, and 3155.1, and R.S. 9:2442 through 2445, all relative to the revision of the law of successions; to provide for intestate

1 successions and the usufruct of the surviving spouse; to provide for
2 commencement of successions, loss of succession rights, acceptance
3 and renunciation of successions, and payment of the debts of an estate;
4 to provide for testamentary dispositions; to provide for probate
5 procedure; to provide for public sale of succession property; to provide
6 for transitional provisions; and to provide for related matters.

7 Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:

8 Section 1. Chapters 4, 5, 6, and 13 of Title I of Book III of the Civil
9 Code, formerly comprising Civil Code Arts. 934 through 1074 and Arts. 1415
10 through 1466, are hereby amended and reenacted to comprise Arts. 934
11 through 968 and Arts. 1415 through 1429; Chapter 6 of Title II of Book III of
12 the Civil Code, formerly comprising Civil Code Arts. 1570 through 1723, are
13 hereby amended and reenacted to comprise Arts. 1570 through 1616, all to
14 read as follows:

15 ~~CHAPTER 4. IN WHAT MANNER SUCCESSIONS ARE OPENED~~

16 COMMENCEMENT OF SUCCESSION

17 Art. 934. Commencement of Succession

18 Succession occurs at the death of a person.

19 Source: C.C. Art. 934 (1870).

20 Comments

21 (a) The word "death" as used in this Article is intended to
22 include both physical death and death established by presumption
23 under Article 54 of the Louisiana Civil Code. See also R.S. 9:1441-
24 1443.

25 (b) This Article is not intended to affect the definition of death
26 contained in Louisiana Revised Statutes 9:111.

27 (c) This revision does not reproduce the provisions of Civil
28 Code Articles 1644 through 1647 (1870), which were the vestiges of a
29 much larger section of the Civil Code that had been transplanted to the
30 Code of Civil Procedure in 1960. No substantive change is intended by

1 this omission, however. Almost the entirety of those articles was
2 duplicative of the material now in the Code of Civil Procedure. See
3 Code of Civil Procedure Articles 2811-2903. Those procedural
4 provisions (and the deleted Civil Code provisions) provide, in essence,
5 that, upon sufficient proof of death or of circumstances under which
6 death is presumed, a document purporting to be a testament of the
7 deceased may be presented to a court of competent jurisdiction, and
8 shall be probated in accordance with the procedures stated in those
9 Articles.

10 (d) Under Civil Code Articles 54 and 55 a testament may be
11 probated without proof of death when the testator "has been an absent
12 person for five years" and the declaration of death called for under that
13 circumstance has been rendered by a court of competent jurisdiction.
14 See also C.C. Art. 30.

15 (e) With respect to the prescription of the right to present a
16 testament for probate, see R.S. 9:5643.

17 Art. 935. Acquisition of Ownership; Seizin

18 Immediately at the death of the decedent, universal successors
19 acquire ownership of the estate and particular successors acquire
20 ownership of the things bequeathed to them.

21 Prior to the qualification of a succession representative only a
22 universal successor may represent the decedent with respect to the
23 heritable rights and obligations of the decedent.

24 Source: C.C. Arts. 940, 941, and 943 (1870).

25 Comments

26 (a) The first sentence of this article is consistent with *Baten v.*
27 *Taylor*, 386 So.2d 333 (La. 1978), in which the Supreme Court noted
28 that ownership was distinct from seizin, and that even particular
29 legatees, who did not have seizin, had ownership from the date of the
30 decedent's death. See also *Tulane University of Louisiana v. Board of*
31 *Assessors*, 40 So. 445 (La. 1905). See also *La. Civil Code Article 477*
32 *on ownership, and La. Civil Code Article 448, et seq., concerning*
33 *"things."*

34 (b) The Civil Code articles on seizin were taken from French
35 doctrine and not from the Code Napoleon, and were repetitious and
36 didactic. La. Civil Code Articles 940-945 (1870). In most respects, the
37 theory of seizin is retained, but it is modernized as mentioned in
38 comment (c), infra, and to take account of the authority of the
39 succession representative in administered successions. Essentially, the

1 succession representative has seizin. La. Code Civil Pro. Article 3211.
2 While an estate is under administration, the universal successors may
3 not exercise the rights of the deceased, such as the right to alienate or
4 encumber the property of the deceased, without first terminating the
5 administration. A successor may, however, alienate or encumber his
6 own interest in the estate even while the estate is under administration.
7 *See Succession of Cutrer v. Curtis*, 341 So.2d 1209 (La. App. 1st Cir.
8 1976).

9 (c) Under previous law, only universal successors had seizin,
10 an attribute of which is possession, but under Article 936, possession
11 is now transferred to particular legatees as well as universal successors.

12 (d) As under previous law, the decedent's possession is
13 transmitted to the universal successors with all of its defects as well as
14 its advantages. La. Civil Code Article 943 (1870). They may institute
15 all actions that the decedent could have brought unless the estate is
16 under administration, in which case the succession representative is the
17 proper party plaintiff or defendant and the successors need not be
18 joined. La. Code Civil Proc. Articles 685, 734.

19 (e) Article 954 provides for the effect of acceptance or
20 renunciation to be retroactive, making it unnecessary to retain Civil
21 Code Articles 947-948 (1870). No change in the law is intended by
22 their elimination.

23 (f) Civil Code Article 949 (1870) is obsolete because of the
24 elimination of irregular successors and therefore has been deleted.

25 (g) Articles 936-938 (1870), which contained the commorientes
26 presumptions, are repealed. Under this revision, when there is a
27 common disaster involving two persons who were entitled to inherit
28 from each other, and it cannot be proven which of the two decedents
29 survived, by application of Civil Code Article 31 (1870), the estate of
30 each decedent devolves as if that decedent survived the other decedent
31 by application of Civil Code Article 31 (1870).

32 Art. 936. Continuation of the possession of decedent

33 The possession of the decedent is transferred to his successors,
34 whether testate or intestate, and if testate, whether particular, general,
35 or universal legatees.

36 A universal successor continues the possession of the decedent
37 with all its advantages and defects, and with no alteration in the nature
38 of the possession.

1 of advertisement and court approval. His actions are, however, subject
2 to the administrative powers of the succession representative.

3 (g) Upon qualification, a succession representative is the proper
4 party to exercise rights of ownership in the assets of the deceased, to
5 sue to enforce a right of the deceased, and to be sued to enforce an
6 obligation of the deceased. See Articles 685, 734, and 3211 of the
7 Code of Civil Procedure. Though the representative has the authority
8 to act with court approval with respect to the assets of the deceased, a
9 successor retains the right to act with respect to his own interest in an
10 asset or in the entire estate, such as it ultimately may appear.

11 CHAPTER 5. ~~OF THE INCAPACITY AND WORTHINESS OF HEIRS~~

12 LOSS OF SUCCESSION RIGHTS

13 Art. 939. Existence of successor

14 A successor must exist at the death of the decedent.

15 Source: C.C. Art. 953 (1870).

16 Comment

17 This article reproduces the substance of Article 953 of the
18 Louisiana Civil Code of 1870. It does not change the law.

19 Art. 940. Same; unborn child

20 An unborn child conceived at the death of the decedent and
21 thereafter born alive shall be considered to exist at the death of the
22 decedent.

23 Source: C.C. Arts. 954-956 (1870).

24 Comment

25 This article reproduces the substance of the first paragraph of
26 Article 954 of the Louisiana Civil Code of 1870. It is consistent with
27 Civil Code Article 26 (1870). See also, Civil Code Article 1474
28 (1870), adopted in 1991.

29 Art. 941. Declaration of unworthiness

30 A successor shall be declared unworthy if he is convicted of a
31 crime involving the intentional killing, or attempted killing, of the

1 (e) The articles on unworthiness do not apply to a judgment of
2 possession that merely declines to recognize a person as a successor.
3 In that instance, the person never was a successor. Unworthiness
4 necessarily implies that the person divested is a successor and those
5 rights are stripped from him. For example, if there were a challenge
6 between an alleged heir who claimed to be in the fourth degree and
7 another heir who claimed to be in the fifth degree, and the heir in the
8 fifth degree prevailed because the heir claiming in the fourth degree
9 could not prove his relationship, then the losing claimant would not be
10 "unworthy" of succession rights: he was never an heir to start with, and
11 the court simply declines to recognize him as a successor.

12 (f) This article intentionally uses the phrase "judicially
13 determined" to continue the provisions of Civil Code Article 966
14 (1870) that if the successor is not convicted but is judicially determined
15 to have participated in the intentional unjustified killing or attempted
16 killing of a deceased, he should be declared unworthy. The
17 determination may be made by the court having jurisdiction of the
18 succession proceedings itself or by any other court of competent
19 jurisdiction that makes the determination.

20 (g) Article 966(1) of the Louisiana Civil Code (1870) contains
21 a provision that: "An executive pardon does not restore the right to
22 succeed." The concept that an executive pardon does not exonerate
23 unworthy behavior is retained, but its application has been expanded
24 and at the same time made more precise. The new article refers not
25 only to an executive pardon but any other pardon that arises by
26 operation of law. The change is appropriate because under the
27 Louisiana Constitution, an executive pardon is no longer the only way
28 a felon can be pardoned. There are pardons for first time offenders that
29 arise by operation of law. See, La. Const. Art. 4, Section 5(E).
30 Furthermore, the brief statement that a pardon "does not restore the
31 right to succeed" is too limited in its application, and is inadequate in
32 dealing with the effects of a declaration of unworthiness. For example,
33 a declaration of unworthiness not only deprives the successor of the
34 inheritance rights, either by testacy or intestacy, but also precludes the
35 successor from serving as an executor, administrator, trustee or other
36 fiduciary. See, C.C. Art. 945, infra. Unworthiness also requires the
37 return of property over which the successor took possession. Id.
38 Furthermore, the verb "restore" would be inaccurate in the case of a
39 pardon granted before the successor has been judicially declared
40 unworthy. Whether the pardon occurs before or after the judicial
41 declaration of unworthiness is irrelevant. For that reason, the revision
42 provides that the granting of a pardon does not "affect" the
43 unworthiness, which means that it does not prevent or stop the
44 rendering of a declaration, and it does not nullify the effects of a
45 declaration that has already been rendered. The use of the mandatory
46 "shall" in the first sentence of Article 941 means that when the
47 conditions are met, the judge is obligated to declare a successor
48 unworthy. A pardon does not preclude the rendering of such a
49 declaration, nor does it in any way alter the effects of such a
50 declaration if the declaration has already been rendered.

1 Art. 942. Persons who may bring action

2 An action to declare a successor unworthy may be brought only
3 by a person who would succeed in place of or in concurrence with the
4 successor to be declared unworthy, or by one who claims through such
5 a person.

6 Source: New; cf. C.C. Arts. 967 and 974 (1870).

7 Comment

8 A person who successfully brings an action to declare a
9 successor unworthy must be someone who is entitled to the share that
10 would have fallen to the successor whose rights are divested. This
11 Article includes the phrase "one who claims through such a person"
12 specifically to cover the case of a right that is transmitted through a
13 deceased successor pursuant to the rules of Civil Code Article 937,
14 supra.

15 Art. 943. Reconciliation or forgiveness

16 A successor shall not be declared unworthy if he proves
17 reconciliation with or forgiveness by the decedent.

18 Source: C.C. Art. 975 (1870).

19 Comment

20 This Article clarifies prior law. It does not preserve the
21 presumption of forgiveness in Civil Code Article 975 (1870). The
22 measure of sufficient conduct to conclude that reconciliation has
23 occurred or that forgiveness has occurred has been intentionally left to
24 the courts. Obviously the decedent himself may remove the possibility
25 of a declaration of unworthiness by the acts of reconciliation or
26 forgiveness, although it should be noted that even a formal executive
27 pardon does not have the same effect. See Civil Code Article 941.

28 Art. 944. Prescription

29 An action to declare a successor unworthy is subject to a
30 liberative prescription of five years from the death of the decedent as
31 to intestate successors and five years from the probate of the will as to
32 testate successors.

1 Source: New.

2 Comments

3 (a) The prescriptive period for an action to declare an intestate
4 successor unworthy under prior law is unclear. It may be that of a
5 personal action not otherwise provided for in the Civil Code, subject to
6 a ten-year prescriptive period under Civil Code Article 3499, or it may
7 be subject to the thirty-year prescriptive period for actions for
8 "recognition of a right of inheritance and recovery of the whole or a
9 part of a succession" under Civil Code Article 3502. This Article
10 establishes a period considerably shorter than either of those
11 alternatives and is more in keeping with improved communications and
12 modern succession procedure.

13 (b) As to interruption of the prescriptive period, see Civil Code
14 Articles 3462 et seq.

15 (c) As regards the date of death of the decedent, see Civil Code
16 Article 54 (presumed death after five years' absence) and La. R.S.
17 9:1441 through 9:1443 (presumption of death of military personnel).

18 (d) In connection with the subject matter of this article, see also
19 Article 3497 of the Civil Code.

20 (e) Prescription under this article is not suspended in favor of
21 minors during minority. See Louisiana Civil Code Article 3468.

22 Art. 945. Effects of declaration of unworthiness

23 A judicial declaration that a person is unworthy has the
24 following consequences:

25 (1) The successor is deprived of his right to the succession to
26 which he had been called.

27 (2) If the successor has possession of any property of the
28 decedent, he must return it, along with all fruits and products he has
29 derived from it. He must also account for an impairment in value
30 caused by his encumbering it or failing to preserve it as a prudent
31 administrator.

32 (3) If the successor no longer has possession because of a
33 transfer or other loss of possession due to his fault, he must account for

1 (b) Parts (2) and (3) of this Article restate with some changes
2 the provisions of Articles 969, 970, and 971 of the Civil Code of 1870
3 relative to the consequences of a declaration of unworthiness with
4 respect to property already in the possession of the later-divested heir
5 and the validity of transfers or encumbrances that he may have made.
6 If the declaration has preceded a judgment of possession in the
7 succession proceedings, it is unlikely that these provisions would be
8 needed. But in the unusual situation in which the action to declare a
9 successor unworthy takes place after a judgment of possession had
10 been rendered, they would be needed. The concept of the predecessor
11 Articles is broadened to extend to all forms of transfer by the later-
12 divested successor.

13 (c) A successor may no longer have possession for a number of
14 reasons. He may have alienated the property by onerous title. He may
15 have sold or exchanged it for less than its fair market value. He may
16 have entered into a giving in payment with respect to the property. It
17 may have been destroyed in his hands, or may have been stolen from
18 him. In all such instances, Parts (3) and (4) of this Article apply.

19 (d) Under this Article, loss of possession other than transfer
20 includes destruction or theft.

21 (e) Under this Article, an alienation, encumbrance, or lease of
22 the successor's interest in the property includes exchange.

23 (f) If those persons who seek a declaration of unworthiness are
24 concerned about the conduct of the successor with reference to
25 property during the pendency of the litigation, they may protect their
26 interest in immovable property by filing a notice of lis pendens under
27 Article 3751 et seq. of the Code of Civil Procedure and their interest in
28 movable property by securing a writ of sequestration under Articles
29 3501 et seq. of the Code of Civil Procedure.

30 (g) Part 5 of this article prohibits the successor from serving in
31 a fiduciary capacity, and the language in that regard is modeled closely
32 on Article 1481 which imposes the same result when there has been
33 fraud, duress, or undue influence in connection with a donation.

34 Art. 946. Devolution of succession rights of successor declared
35 unworthy

36 If the decedent died intestate, when a successor is declared
37 unworthy his succession rights devolve as if he had predeceased the
38 decedent; but if the decedent died testate, then the succession rights
39 devolve in accordance with the provisions for testamentary accretion.

1 CHAPTER 6. ~~IN WHAT MANNER SUCCESSIONS ARE ACCEPTED,~~
2 ~~AND HOW THEY ARE RENOUNCED~~

3 ACCEPTANCE AND RENUNCIATION OF SUCCESSIONS

4 SECTION 1. ~~OF THE ACCEPTANCE OF SUCCESSIONS~~

5 GENERAL PRINCIPLES

6 Art. 947. Right of successor to accept or renounce

7 A successor is not obligated to accept rights to succeed. He may
8 accept some of those rights and renounce others.

9 Source: C.C. Arts. 977, 1018 (1870); cf. C.C. Art. 986 (1870).

10 Comments

11 (a) This article is based on the provisions of Articles 977, 986,
12 and 1018 of the Louisiana Civil Code (1870). It does not change the
13 law. It enunciates the principle that a successor does not have to accept
14 in toto, but may selectively accept part and renounce part. The ability
15 to partially accept or renounce applies to both testate successions and
16 intestate successions, and applies even to a particular legatee, who may
17 accept all or part of the particular legacy to him. If he is the recipient
18 of two particular legacies, he may accept one particular bequest and
19 renounce another particular bequest. This principle was most likely
20 intended by Act No. 249 of 1981, which amended Civil Code Article
21 986 (1870), but the specific language of Civil Code Article 986 (1870)
22 is not so clear. The Article refers only to "he who has the power of
23 accepting the entire succession" The new article clarifies the matter
24 by using language that is sufficiently broad to cover all such instances.

25 (b) Obviously the rules in this Chapter governing acceptance
26 apply to a partial acceptance as well as to a full acceptance.

27 Art. 948. Minor successor deemed to accept

28 A successor who is a minor is deemed to accept rights to
29 succeed, but his legal representative may renounce on behalf of the
30 minor when expressly authorized by the court.

31 Source: C.C. Arts. 977, para. 2; 1018.

1 situation involves a disposition of all or part of the property of the
2 estate in a manner that is different from the disposition originally
3 accepted or renounced: the acceptance or renunciation is annulled, and
4 the successor who accepted or renounced has the opportunity to
5 reconsider whether he wishes to succeed to any portion of the estate.

6 Art. 953. Legacy subject to a suspensive condition

7 A legacy that is subject to a suspensive condition may be
8 accepted or renounced either before or after the fulfillment of the
9 condition.

10 Source: New. Cf. C.C. Art. 985 (1870).

11 Comments

12 (a) This Article is fundamentally new and changes the law. The
13 Article reverses the rule of Civil Code Article 985 (1870) by permitting
14 a legacy under a suspensive condition to be accepted or renounced
15 prior to fulfillment of the condition, instead of prohibiting acceptance
16 or renunciation during that period. There is no reason of public policy
17 nor any pragmatic reason to prohibit such renunciation or acceptance
18 of a legacy under a suspensive condition. Thus, it is appropriate to
19 permit a legatee to accept such a legacy pending the fulfillment of the
20 condition.

21 (b) This Article addresses only legacies on a suspensive
22 condition, because it is unnecessary to address legacies that are subject
23 to a resolutive condition. A legacy subject to a resolutive condition
24 may be accepted like any other legacy, prior to fulfillment of the
25 condition, and becomes nugatory once the condition has occurred. See
26 Civil Code Articles 1767-1776, inclusive, regarding conditional
27 obligations.

28 Art. 954. Retroactive effects of acceptance and renunciation

29 To the extent that he accepts rights to succeed, a successor is
30 considered as having succeeded to those rights at the moment of death
31 of the decedent. To the extent that a successor renounces rights to
32 succeed, he is considered never to have had them.

33 Source: C.C. Arts. 946-48, 987 (1870).

1 Comments

2 (a) This Article is a corollary of the salutary rule of "Le Mort
3 Saisit Le Vif," by which rights are always considered to flow and vest
4 as of the moment of death. C.C. Art. 935. Obviously the treatment is
5 theoretical and fictitious. Since an acceptance may be made months or
6 years later, it is the fictitious relation-back to the moment of death that
7 is important in terms of vesting of rights. The same rules apply for
8 renunciation, so that if successor "A" renounces three months after the
9 decedent has died, the renunciation relates back to the moment of
10 death, and the acceptance by successor "B" also relates back to the
11 moment of death. This relation-back has always been the law of
12 Louisiana, and Article 954 does not represent a substantive change in
13 the law.

14 (b) This Article twice contains the phrase, "to the extent,"
15 which is intended to refer to the newly-clarified right of a successor to
16 accept or renounce part of a succession. C.C. Art. 947. If the
17 successor accepts part and renounces part, then "to the extent" that he
18 has accepted part, that acceptance relates back to the moment of death,
19 and "to the extent" that he has renounced part, that renunciation relates
20 back to the moment of death. This approach is consistent with Articles
21 935 and 947, and the revision as a whole.

22 (c) This Article applies not only to the initial rights that flow
23 from the decedent but also to rights that may come by virtue of
24 accretion. An acceptance of part that accretes through renunciation of
25 other successors will have the same retroactive effect and relate back
26 to the moment of death.

27 Art. 955. Reserved

28 Art. 956. Claims of successor who is a creditor of the estate

29 A successor may assert a claim that he has as a creditor of the
30 estate whether he accepts or renounces his succession rights.

31 Source: C.C. Art. 1059 (1870).

32 Comment

33 (a) This Article represents a clarification of the law, and may or
34 may not represent a change. Civil Code Article 1059 (1870) refers to
35 an heir preserving rights as a creditor when he renounces his rights as
36 an heir, but that Article does not address the issue of the successor's
37 rights when he accepts. Except to the extent that rights may be
38 extinguished by confusion, a successor who is a creditor of the estate
39 should have the right to pursue his claims as a creditor. See Civil Code
40 Article 1903 (1870). The roles of successor and creditor may be
41 different, and when they are, the successor is not precluded from
42 asserting his right as a creditor.

1 (b) This article is not intended to supersede or mitigate the
2 application of the concept of confusion set forth in La. Civil Code
3 Articles 1903-1905 (1870). "When the qualities of obligee and obligor
4 are united in the same person, the obligation is extinguished by
5 confusion." Id. Art. 1903. This article does not preclude the
6 application of confusion under Article 1903 in appropriate
7 circumstances, that is, when and to the extent that it may apply in a
8 given situation. For example, confusion would not occur when a
9 successor/creditor is the creditor of an indebtedness secured by a
10 mortgage on Arpent Noir, but he inherits Arpent Blanc as a particular
11 legacy, although the debt would be extinguished if the testament made
12 the legacy in satisfaction of the indebtedness or as a condition of the
13 legacy. See, e.g., Article 1616, *infra*.

14 ~~SECTION 2. OF THE RENUNCIATION OF SUCCESSIONS~~

15 ACCEPTANCE

16 Art. 957. Formal or informal acceptance

17 Acceptance may be either formal or informal. It is formal when
18 the successor expressly accepts in writing or assumes the quality of
19 successor in a judicial proceeding. It is informal when the successor
20 does some act that clearly implies his intention to accept.

21 Source: C.C. Arts. 988-990 (1870).

22 Comments

23 (a) This Article reproduces the substance of Articles 988, 989,
24 and 990 of the Louisiana Civil Code (1870). It does not change the
25 law. There is a change of terminology, making acceptance either
26 "formal" or "informal," instead of "tacit" or "express." The changes are
27 not intended to change the law but merely to clarify it.

28 (b) Even in the absence of either formal or informal acceptance
29 there is, nonetheless, a presumption that all successors accept their
30 rights. See Article 962. That presumption will simplify matters in
31 many areas, as, for example, prescription of the right to accept under
32 former Civil Code Article 1030 (1870). The consequences of
33 acceptance under this revision are consistent with the changes that were
34 intended to be brought about by the adoption of R.S. 9:1421 in 1986.
35 They do not carry with them the specter of unlimited personal liability
36 that stalked successors who considered unconditional acceptance under
37 prior law. Under this revision a successor cannot be personally liable
38 for more than the value of property he actually receives, so the
39 presumption of acceptance or indeed the act of acceptance does not
40 carry dire or baleful consequences with it as before.

1 Art. 958. Informal acceptance; use or disposition of property
2 Acts of the successor concerning property that he does not know
3 belongs to the estate do not imply an intention to accept.

4 Source: C.C. Arts. 991-992 (1870).

5 Comments

6 (a) This Article does not change the law but merely restates it
7 in clearer fashion. If the successor disposes of property that does not
8 actually belong to the estate, then he is not implying an intention to
9 accept, and the Article does not apply. If he disposes of property that
10 does belong to the estate, then the Article requires that he know that it
11 belongs to the estate before the inference of an intention to accept may
12 be made.

13 (b) Inasmuch as there is a presumption of acceptance under this
14 revision, the importance of tacit as well as express acceptance is that
15 such actions in effect ratify the presumption and preclude renunciation.

16 Art. 959. Informal acceptance; act of ownership

17 An act of ownership that can be done only as a successor implies
18 acceptance, but an act that is merely administrative, custodial, or
19 preservative does not imply acceptance.

20 Source: C.C. Arts. 994, 996-997 (1870); see also C.C. Arts. 995, 999,
21 1000, 1001, 1002 (1870).

22 Comments

23 (a) This Article is based on Articles 994-997, 999-1002 of the
24 Louisiana Civil Code (1870). It does not change the law, but
25 intentionally revises the language to clarify the provisions of prior law.
26 Its new terminology is more consistent with modern usage and is
27 clearer as to the kinds of acts that do not imply acceptance. For
28 example, the use of the word "custodial" should help differentiate the
29 kinds of acts that one may do as an owner as opposed to acts one may
30 do as a custodian who holds property for someone else.

31 (b) Obviously if the successor disposes of property in a capacity
32 different from that of successor, as, for example, if he is the executor
33 or administrator of the estate, there should not be an implication of
34 acceptance as a successor.

35 (c) Practical problems in this area involve situations such as
36 those where the successor is sued and fails to defend himself, or takes
37 care of the burial of the decedent, or pays funeral expenses. Clearly if

1 the successor is sued in his capacity as a successor, he should respond
2 by affirming or denying that capacity. That issue should be resolved
3 based on the activity in the lawsuit itself. With regard to taking care of
4 a burial or paying funeral expenses, these would appear to be nothing
5 more than acts of piety or reverence that do not constitute acts of
6 ownership with reference to property of the decedent. On the other
7 hand, making a donation, a sale, or an assignment of rights that the
8 successor receives, whether they are transferred to a stranger or to co-
9 heirs, ought to be considered an acceptance. The courts are given
10 latitude to determine under particular circumstances whether or not a
11 given act constitutes "an act of ownership". See C.C. Arts 1000-1002
12 (1870).

13 Art. 960. Donative renunciation deemed acceptance

14 A renunciation shall be deemed to be an acceptance to the extent
15 that it causes the renounced rights to devolve in a manner other than
16 that provided by law or by the testament if the decedent died testate.

17 Source: C.C. Art 1003 (1870).

18 Comment

19 This Article codifies the jurisprudence under prior law and
20 further amplifies it by considering issues not addressed in the
21 jurisprudence. In the case of *Aurienne v. Mount Olivet*, 153 La. 451,
22 96 So. 29 (1922), the Louisiana Supreme Court upheld a renunciation
23 as a true renunciation and not a donation, when the renouncing
24 successors renounced rights in such a way that they devolved in favor
25 of the person who was legally entitled to succeed to them under
26 succession law. In deciding the case, the court pointed to the principle
27 that when a person renounces succession rights in favor of another
28 person in a manner other than that provided by law, the renunciation is
29 not a true renunciation, but in fact constitutes an acceptance of the
30 rights coupled with a donation to the third person in whose favor the
31 rights are renounced. For such an act to be a true renunciation, the
32 successor must merely renounce, leaving the renounced rights to
33 devolve on those who would be legally entitled to succeed to them
34 under the provisions of the testament or under the succession law. One
35 additional aspect of this problem is that to the extent that such a
36 renunciation-qua-acceptance disposes of incorporeal rights, it
37 constitutes a donation and therefore must be in authentic form. The
38 unfortunate consequence if the "renunciation" were not in authentic
39 form would be that the acceptance would be valid but the donation over
40 to the third party would be invalid. Although a renunciation must be
41 express and in writing, it is not required to be in notarial form. See La.
42 Civil Code Article 963. The failure to make it in notarial form,
43 therefore, could be a serious problem if it is a donative renunciation.

1 SECTION 3. ~~OF THE BENEFIT OF INVENTORY AND THE~~
2 ~~DELAYS FOR DELIBERATING~~ RENUNCIATION

3 Art. 963. Requirement of formality

4 Renunciation must be express and in writing.

5 Source: C.C. Arts. 1015 and 1017 (1870).

6 Comments

7 (a) This Article provides a simpler statement of the rules that
8 are contained in Articles 1015 and 1017 of the Louisiana Civil Code of
9 1870. It changes the law by requiring only that a renunciation be in
10 writing, rather than in authentic form, as was required by Article 1017
11 of the Civil Code of 1870. Informal renunciation is not permitted.

12 (b) The provisions of Article 1016 of the Louisiana Civil Code
13 (1870) have not been reproduced, and to that extent, the new law does
14 intend a change. Article 1016 (1870) provides that "a succession can
15 neither be accepted nor rejected conditionally." With the changes in
16 the law that affect the consequences of acceptance or renunciation as
17 the revision does, there is no reason to prohibit conditional acceptances
18 or conditional renunciations.

19 (c) The provisions of Article 1014 of the Louisiana Civil Code
20 (1870) have been deleted as unnecessary, but the content of Civil Code
21 Article 1014 (1870) is consistent with the approach of this revision to
22 presume that successors accept the succession until they have formally
23 renounced. See Article 962.

24 (d) The language of this Article is modeled on Civil Code
25 Article 3038 (1870), pertaining to the formal requirements of
26 suretyship.

27 Art. 964. Accretion upon renunciation in intestate successions

28 The rights of an intestate successor who renounces accrete to
29 those persons who would have succeeded to them if the successor had
30 predeceased the decedent.

31 Source: C.C. Art. 1022 (1870); cf. C.C. Arts. 1027, 1028 (1870).

32 Comments

33 (a) This Article represents a very substantial change in the law.
34 Under Article 1022 of the Civil Code of 1870, the portion of an heir
35 who renounces goes to his coheirs of the same degree, and if there are
36 none, then it goes to those in the next degree. That approach often

1 produced unfortunate results, and was considered to be inappropriate.
2 The new approach is to treat renounced rights as if the successor who
3 renounces had predeceased the decedent, which produces a result
4 similar to representation of the successor by his descendants. More
5 often than not, the intended result of such a renunciation is in fact for
6 the successor's descendants to take by virtue of the renunciation.

7 (b) By way of illustration, if a decedent is survived by two
8 children, "A" and "B," and "A" has a child "C," and "A" renounces,
9 then under prior law (specifically Civil Code Article 1022 (1870)) the
10 portion renounced goes to "A's" co-heir "B," who is a co-heir in the
11 same degree. "A's" child "C" would inherit nothing. By contrast,
12 under this Article, when "A" renounces, the rights accrete to those
13 persons who would have represented "A" if he had predeceased the
14 decedent, which means that "C" would inherit the full set of rights
15 renounced by "A."

16 (c) Intestate successors to whom a portion accretes by
17 renunciation share the accretion in the same proportion that they do the
18 inheritance. That is the substance of Article 1027 of the Civil Code of
19 1870, but it is unnecessary to codify the principle in this revision. For
20 example, if a decedent is survived by three children, "A," "B," and "C,"
21 and "B" renounces, but "B" has no descendants, then it is obvious that
22 the share of "B" will be divided evenly between "A" and "C." If "C"
23 subsequently renounces and has no descendants then his inheritance
24 devolves on "A" and "B," equally. "B's" renunciation of his original
25 inheritance would not preclude him from accepting what might come
26 to him by accretion by virtue of "C's" renunciation. See Civil Code
27 Article 966.

28 Art. 965. Accretion upon renunciation in testate successions

29 In the absence of a governing testamentary disposition, the rights
30 of a testate successor who renounces accrete to those of his descendants
31 by roots who were in existence at the time of the decedent's death, but
32 if none exist, in accordance with the rules for lapsed legacies.

33 Source: New; cf. C.C. Arts. 1704, 1709 (1870).

34 Comments

35 (a) Accretion in a testate succession must be treated different
36 from accretion in intestacy. In the first place, the testament itself may
37 govern to whom the rights accrete in the event of a renunciation, and
38 sophisticated lawyers commonly place such provisions in wills. If the
39 testament specifies what happens in the event of renunciation, then the
40 successor who renounces is bound by the provisions of the testament.
41 If the successor wants to achieve a different result, he must accept the

1 bequest and then make a donation to the person or persons whom he
2 intends to favor.

3 (b) The special rules regarding lapsed legacies and particularly
4 accretion among joint legatees are located in Title II, Chapter 6, Section
5 2: "Testamentary Dispositions." See, for example, Civil Code Article
6 1593.

7 Art. 966. Acceptance or renunciation of accretion

8 A person to whom succession rights accrete may accept or
9 renounce all or part of the accretion. The acceptance or renunciation
10 of the accretion need not be consistent with his acceptance or
11 renunciation of other succession rights.

12 Source: C.C. Art. 1024 (1870); cf. C.C. Arts. 1025, 1026 (1870).

13 Comment

14 This Article represents a change in the law that existed before
15 1986 but conforms to the amendment of Civil Code Article 1024 made
16 by Act 239 of 1986. The revision attempts to further clarify Article
17 1024, broadening its scope. Following the 1986 amendment, Article
18 1024 comprehended only the situation of accretion that may be
19 renounced after one has accepted because, under prior law, specifically
20 Civil Code Article 1026 (1870), accretion only operates in favor of
21 heirs who have accepted. Thus, a successor must accept the initial
22 inheritance, but he may thereafter renounce the accretion. The revision
23 broadens the scope of choices by permitting an heir who has renounced
24 the original inheritance to accept what may come to him by accretion,
25 or conversely, to accept the initial inheritance and renounce the
26 accretion. A successor may accept both, or renounce both, or accept
27 one and renounce the other. This flexibility is conveyed by the
28 statement contained in this Article that acceptance or renunciation with
29 reference to accretion "need not be consistent with" acceptance or
30 renunciation of the original inheritance. The policy reasons that
31 underlay requiring an initial acceptance no longer exist with the new
32 revision.

33 SECTION 4. ~~OF THE ACCEPTANCE OF SUCCESSIONS~~

34 SUCCESSION BY CREDITORS

35 Art. 967. Acceptance of succession by creditor

36 A creditor of a successor may, with judicial authorization,
37 accept succession rights in the successor's name if the successor has

1 renounced them in whole or in part to the prejudice of his creditor's
2 rights. In such a case, the renunciation may be annulled in favor of the
3 creditor to the extent of his claim against the successor, but it remains
4 effective against the successor.

5 Source: C.C. Arts. 1021, 1071-1074 (1870).

6 Comment

7 (a) This Article clarifies the prior rules and uses simpler
8 terminology. As in prior law, judicial authorization for an acceptance
9 by a creditor in the name of a successor is required, and that principle
10 is set forth in the Article. There is no need to set forth specific
11 procedures for obtaining such judicial authorization, since that matter
12 should be determined in the succession proceedings themselves, and the
13 request for authorization obviously should be made in the succession
14 proceedings. The consequences of a creditor's acceptance are definitely
15 limited, because of the nature of this revision's provision for limited
16 personal liability of successors. A creditor who accepts succession
17 rights in the name of his debtor can only accept those rights under the
18 same conditions as the successor himself. As a result, it is implicit that
19 the acceptance does not render the creditor liable for debts or
20 administrative expenses of the estate, except to the value of the effects
21 of the estate that may be received by the creditor.

22 One problem that perhaps should be addressed is the ranking
23 among the creditors. If there are three creditors but only one accepts,
24 then that one may receive payment in full of his claim whereas the
25 other two creditors receive nothing. Since no single rule could be
26 designed to cover all instances, and the problem has not been a serious
27 one for the last hundred and seventy years, it was concluded that the
28 effects of such acceptances ought to be viewed on an ad hoc basis. The
29 creditor who accepts may or may not actually receive the inheritance,
30 and indeed the proper results may be instead that the inheritance is
31 seized and sold at a public auction, with the proceeds then distributed
32 by the Sheriff. If there are sufficient assets in the inheritance to pay all
33 creditors, then the questions of ranking and procedure are irrelevant.
34 If there are not sufficient assets, then the court should be able to
35 fashion an appropriate remedy under the general law.

36 (b) The requirement of judicial authorization is based on
37 Articles 1071-1072 of the Louisiana Civil Code (1870) and is not a
38 change in the law.

39 Art. 968. Reserved.

40 * * *

1 CHAPTER 13. ~~OF THE~~ PAYMENT OF THE DEBTS

2 OF AN ESTATE

3 SECTION 1. GENERAL DISPOSITIONS INTRODUCTION

4 Art. 1415. Estate debts; administrative expenses

5 Estate debts are debts of the decedent and administration
6 expenses. Debts of the decedent are obligations of the decedent or
7 those that arise as a result of his death, such as the cost of his funeral
8 and burial. Administration expenses are obligations incurred in the
9 collection, preservation, management, and distribution of the estate of
10 the decedent.

11 Source: New.

12 Comment

13 The basic function of this article is to define, and as such it
14 makes three important categorical distinctions. First, it classifies
15 "estate debts" as including not only debts of the decedent but also
16 administration expenses. The broad inclusion of both categories of
17 debts and expenses is very important in this revision. The second
18 category, "debts of the decedent," would necessarily refer to obligations
19 that were incurred by or for the decedent during his lifetime, but the
20 article defines it also to encompass expenses that arise out of one's
21 death such as funeral and burial expenses. The third category,
22 "administration expenses", is broadly defined to include expenses that
23 are incurred after death in preserving, safeguarding, and operating the
24 property of the estate, such as repairs, costs of maintenance and
25 upkeep, interest attributable to a debt, and custodial fees.

26 SECTION 2. ~~OF THE PERSONAL ACTION AGAINST THE HEIR~~

27 RIGHTS OF CREDITORS

28 Art. 1416. Liability of universal successors to creditors for debts of
29 estate

30 ~~Successors are solidarily~~ Universal successors are liable to the
31 creditors of the estate for the payment of the estate debts in proportion
32 to the part which each has in the succession, but each is liable only to

1 administration in the estate; next, from the successors to whom
2 distribution has been made; and then from unsecured creditors who
3 received payments, in proportion to the amounts received by them, but
4 in this event the creditor may not recover more than his share.

5 Comments

6 (a) This article modernizes the provisions of Articles 1067 and
7 1068 of the Civil Code of 1870. It does not change the basic thrust of
8 prior law where new creditors appear after distribution has been made.
9 The article continues the rule that such a "new" creditor should first
10 annul distributions that have been made to the successors, and only if
11 there is still insufficient property to satisfy his claim would the creditor
12 then pursue the other unsecured creditors who have been paid. That
13 same scheme of priority applies under Articles 1067 and 1068 (1870).

14 (b) This article is worded so that it applies to administered
15 estates only.

16 (c) There should be no doubt that the liability of unsecured
17 creditors who have been paid to pay the new creditor is joint and not
18 solidary. Because of the basic principle that unsecured creditors shall
19 be paid ratably, a calculation would have to be made of the pro rata
20 share of the new creditor, but a corollary of that determination is the
21 determination of the ratable share of all of the other unsecured
22 creditors. An unsecured creditor who has previously been paid more
23 than his ratable share could be compelled to restore the differential, but
24 an unsecured creditor who had been paid less than his ratable share
25 would not be forced to pay at all.

26 (d) The article does not include the express protection of prior
27 law for the succession representative who pays pursuant to law. The
28 latter statement appears to be unnecessary: a creditor would have no
29 right of action against a succession representative who has made
30 payments pursuant to law, but he may have such a claim against a
31 succession representative who fails to obtain authority to make
32 payments. In any event, the claim will exist against the other creditors
33 who have been paid or the successors who have received distributions,
34 but there would be no cause of action against an executor or
35 administrator personally unless he failed to comply with lawful
36 requirements.

1 property and as an administration expense it would first be charged to
2 the rents received.

3 (b) The second sentence of the article allocates primary
4 responsibility for an encumbrance to the property that is encumbered.
5 This rule is relatively simple in the case of an ordinary conventional
6 mortgage, such as a homestead loan to purchase a home. The rule is
7 less clear when a collateral mortgage or a mortgage to secure future
8 advances is used by which the decedent has encumbered the property
9 to raise funds that were or may be used for other purposes than the
10 acquisition or preservation of that property. For example, a landowner
11 grants a mortgage to secure future advances on Blackacre and uses it to
12 secure a line of credit for a business that is unrelated to the property.
13 For that reason, the article carefully states that a debt is
14 "presumptively" charged to the encumbered property and its fruits and
15 products. As a presumption only, the rule is not inflexible. Evidence
16 may be introduced to overcome the presumption, and the debt may be
17 charged differently. By way of illustration, if the decedent pledged
18 shares of stock in a corporation to borrow money to purchase an
19 automobile, then the debt may not be allocable to the stock, but it is
20 presumed to be attributable to the stock which is the encumbered
21 property, and the burden of proof is, of course, on the challenger, to
22 show otherwise. To remove any doubt as to the standard of proof
23 required to overcome the presumption, the article states that it must be
24 overcome by a "preponderance of the evidence."

25 (c) Under prior law, the general rule in Louisiana was that a
26 legacy of encumbered property carries the encumbrance with it to the
27 legatee in the absence of a clear expression of intent to leave the
28 property free and clear of the encumbrance. See Article 1638,
29 Louisiana Civil Code (1870). There has been some interesting
30 jurisprudence with reference to allocation of debts and whether or not
31 a testator intends for the debt to be discharged by the executor. In
32 Succession of Waterman, 298 So.2d 731 (La. 1974), the Louisiana
33 Supreme Court held that the declaration by the testator that all of his
34 "just debts" should be paid led to the conclusion that a particular legacy
35 of Blackacre that was encumbered by a mortgage was to be delivered
36 to the legatee free and clear of the encumbrance.

37 (d) The provisions of this article are, of course, exceptions to
38 the rules set forth in the following articles with reference to charging
39 debts ratably to the property that is the object of general and residuary
40 legacies.

41 Art. 1423. Decedent's debts charged ratably

42 Debts of the decedent are charged ratably to property that is the
43 object of general or universal legacies and to property that devolves by

1 Source: New.

2 Comments

3 (a) Consistent with the provisions of Article 1423, which refers
4 to debts of the decedent, this article sets forth the identical principle for
5 administration expenses, namely that they are not charged to particular
6 legacies but ratably to the fruits and products of general or universal
7 legacies and the property that passes by intestacy. The basic distinction
8 between Articles 1423 and 1424 is that Article 1423 refers to "debts of
9 the decedent" and Article 1424 refers to "administration expenses."
10 Debts of the decedent are charged to the property of the estate, but
11 administration expenses are charged to the fruits and products of the
12 property. If the fruits and products are insufficient, then the
13 administration expenses are charged to the property itself. The
14 creditors are entitled, of course, to be paid out of either source, and if
15 the property that is the object of general or universal legacies is not
16 sufficient, either by virtue of its fruits and products or of the property
17 itself, then the administration expenses are charged to the fruits and
18 products of the particular legacies, and if that resource, too, is not
19 sufficient, then they are charged to the property that is the object of the
20 particular legacy itself. In all instances, where there are several items
21 of property among which the charge may be allocated, the charge is
22 made ratably.

23 (b) This article, in conjunction with Article 1423, attempts to
24 set forth a priority, allocating the decedent's debts to property of the
25 estate and administration expenses to revenues of the estate, then
26 further breaking down those categories so that particular legacies do not
27 bear any responsibility for these expenses unless they fall within one
28 of the recognized exceptions, such as being encumbered to secure a
29 debt or having a debt attributable to the object of the particular legacy
30 as identifiable property.

31 (c) In most instances professional fees such as the fees of the
32 attorney who handles the estate, or accounting fees, or the
33 compensation paid to the executor are incurred in part for
34 administration purposes and in part as a result of the death of the
35 decedent, so that they should be allocated partially to principal and
36 partially to income. No hard and fast rule can be developed, and Civil
37 Code Article 1426 authorizes a succession representative or the heirs
38 to allocate such fees between debts of the estate and administration
39 expenses in accordance with what is reasonable and equitable in view
40 of the interests of the various successors. See Civil Code Article 1426,
41 second paragraph.

42 Art. 1425. Liability of successors for contribution or reimbursement

43 A successor who has not received property of the estate or its
44 fruits and products, is not liable for contribution or reimbursement. A

1 appraisal fees, shall be allocated between debts of the decedent and
2 administration expenses in accordance with the provisions of this
3 Article.

4 Source: New; Cf. R.S. 9:2142, 2143.

5 Comment

6 (a) The concepts set forth in this article are not new. The article
7 is modeled closely on the provisions of Louisiana Revised Statutes
8 9:2142 and 9:2143, which are located in the Trust Code. The
9 principles that it enunciates are general principles, and the Comments
10 to the Trust Code articles should be equally applicable to this article.
11 No hard and fast rule can serve to determine how each and every
12 receipt or expenditure should be classified, and for that reason the
13 article refers to "what is reasonable and equitable" and further
14 references the interest of successors who are entitled to fruits and
15 products (such as usufructuaries or income interests in trust) as well as
16 those entitled to ownership of property (such as naked owners and
17 principal beneficiaries in trust). The article also incorporates the well-
18 known and universally accepted principle that the rules should be
19 viewed the way that persons of "ordinary prudence, discretion and
20 intelligence would act in the management of their own affairs."

21 (b) See Comment (c) to Article 1424.

22 Art. 1427. Reporting and deducting as authorized by tax law

23 Notwithstanding the provisions of this Chapter, for tax purposes
24 the succession representative, or the successors if there is no
25 representative, may report receipts and deduct expenditures as
26 authorized by the tax law.

27 Source: New.

28 Comment

29 This article is intended to re-assure executors and
30 administrators, as well as their tax advisors, that for tax purposes they
31 are not required to slavishly adhere to the rules set forth in this revision
32 if they produce adverse tax consequences. The articles are intended to
33 furnish guidelines to assist succession representatives and their
34 professional advisors, as well as the courts. As such, they provide rules
35 where the law has previously been silent or may be unclear, but there
36 is no intent to preclude or foreclose appropriate tax elections under
37 state or federal income tax law or Louisiana inheritance or federal

1 estate tax law. For example, many expenses are recognized by the
2 federal government as deductible on either the estate tax return, Form
3 706, which would be more as a debt of the decedent, or on a fiduciary
4 income tax return, which is more as an administration expense. The
5 fact that an expense may be a "debt of the decedent" for Louisiana civil
6 law purposes should not impair the ability of the succession
7 representative to claim that expense as an administration expense if
8 permitted by federal or state tax law. That being the case, the principle
9 set forth in this article is intended to clarify that the succession
10 representative may properly elect either deduction and make the
11 decision based on what is perceived to be the best interest of the estate
12 without any impediment as a result of these articles. The articles on
13 payment of debts are intended to be helpful to serve as useful and
14 practical guidelines, as well as rules of law. They do not compel
15 adverse tax consequences.

16 Art. 1428. Rights and obligations of usufructuary not superseded

17 This Chapter does not supersede the provisions of this Code
18 governing the rights and obligations of a usufructuary with respect to
19 payment of estate debts.

20 Source: New.

21 Comment

22 This article precludes any claim that the new articles on payment
23 of debts supersede the provisions of the Civil Code with regard to the
24 rights and obligations of a usufructuary. Indeed, the primary function
25 of this article is to clarify that the provisions of this section dealing
26 with the payment of debts do not displace or over-ride the allocation of
27 responsibility for the payment of those debts as between the
28 usufructuary and the naked owner. Under the new scheme of limited
29 liability of successors, estate debts are charged to property, and its
30 fruits and products, and not to successors personally. Successors are
31 personally liable to creditors, only to the extent that they take
32 possession of property of the estate, or its fruits and products. The new
33 scheme of limited liability of successors for estate debts, allocates
34 responsibility for payment of a debt to property itself, and there is no
35 intention to alter, modify, or tacitly repeal, any of the provisions in the
36 law of usufruct with regard to the responsibility of the usufructuary for
37 payment of debts. When an estate debt is allocated to Blackacre, then,
38 as between the usufructuary, who has the usufruct of Blackacre, and the
39 naked owner, who owns the naked ownership of Blackacre, the
40 responsibility is determined by the provisions of the Civil Code that
41 deal with the law of usufruct. The responsibility of the underlying
42 property against which the debt is charged is governed by the section
43 of the Code dealing with payment of the debts, but as between the
44 usufructuary and the naked owner with regard to the payment of those
45 debts, the allocation and placement of responsibility is determined by

1 the section of the Civil Code on the law of usufruct. These new articles
2 do not relieve a usufructuary of the responsibility properly placed upon
3 usufructuaries under the provisions of the Civil Code elsewhere.

4 Art. 1429. Rights and obligations of income interest in trust not
5 superseded

6 This Chapter does not supersede the provisions of the Trust
7 Code governing the rights and obligations of an income interest in trust
8 with respect to payment of estate debts.

9 Source: New.

10 Comment

11 The comments to Article 1428 apply with equal force to this
12 article.

13 * * *

14 TITLE II. ~~OF DONATIONS INTER VIVOS~~

15 ~~(BETWEEN LIVING PERSONS) AND MORTIS CAUSA~~

16 ~~(IN PROSPECT OF DEATH)~~

17 * * *

18 CHAPTER 6. ~~OF DISPOSITIONS MORTIS CAUSA~~

19 ~~(IN PROSPECT OF DEATH)~~

20 SECTION 1. ~~OF THE TESTAMENT~~ TESTAMENTS GENERALLY

21 Art. 1570. Testaments; form

22 A disposition mortis causa may be made only in the form of a
23 testament authorized by law.

24 Source: C.C. Arts. 1570 and 1590 (1870).

25 Comments

26 (a) This Article is based on Article 1570 of the Civil Code of
27 1870. It simplifies, but does not change, the law.

28 (b) Dispositions mortis causa are defined in Civil Code Article
29 1469 of the Civil Code of 1870 as acts to take effect upon death by

1 Art. 1572. Testamentary dispositions committed to the choice of a
2 third person

3 Testamentary dispositions committed to the choice of a third
4 person are null, except as expressly provided by law. A testator may
5 delegate to his executor the authority to allocate specific assets to
6 satisfy a legacy expressed in terms of a value or a quantum, including
7 a fractional share.

8 The testator may expressly delegate to his executor the authority
9 to allocate a legacy to one or more entities or trustees of trusts
10 organized for educational, charitable, religious, or other philanthropic
11 purposes. The entities or trusts may be designated by the testator or,
12 when authorized to do so, by the executor in his discretion. In addition,
13 the testator may expressly delegate to his executor the authority to
14 impose conditions on those legacies.

15 Source: C.C. Art. 1573 (1870); R.S. 9:2271; cf. Art. 670, Spanish Civil Code.

16 Comment

17 (a) The source of this Article is Article 1573 of the Civil Code
18 of 1870, which originally provided that "the custom of willing by
19 testament, by the intervention of a commissary or attorney in fact, is
20 abolished." In 1982 the article was amended to grant a testator limited
21 power to delegate authority to an executor to select assets to distribute
22 in satisfaction of certain legacies. The 1982 amendment to Article
23 1573 has been preserved and significantly expanded to permit the
24 delegation of authority to an executor to select assets to distribute in all
25 instances where the legacy of the share of the estate is designated by
26 quantum or value. The revision clarifies that "quantum" includes
27 fractional shares, such as one-fourth or one-half of something, and
28 intentionally removes the language in Article 1573 (1870) that limits
29 the ability to delegate such authority to the instances where the
30 designation of the quantum or value is made "either by formula or by
31 a specific sum". This article permits delegation of authority in all
32 instances where the legacy is a quantum or value, whether or not the
33 bequest is by formula or by specific sum.

34 (b) The first paragraph of the article refers only to the
35 delegation of authority to select assets and does not permit the

1 delegation of authority to select legatees. The second paragraph of the
2 article, however, goes much further in that regard, but applies only to
3 charitable kinds of legacies. It not only permits a testator to leave a
4 bequest to a specified charity and delegate authority to the executor to
5 select assets to go to the charity, but under this paragraph the testator
6 may even delegate authority to the executor to allocate among charities
7 designated by the testator and, indeed, to grant authority to the executor
8 to select the very charities themselves. The last sentence permits the
9 executor to impose conditions on the legacies, as, for example, that
10 funds be used for heart research, scholarships for indigent children, and
11 so forth. Obviously, the ability "to impose conditions" does not
12 authorize the executor to impose conditions that are contrary to law.

13 (c) Since a trust is not an entity, the article appropriately refers
14 to "entities or trustees of trusts."

15 Art. 1573. Formalities

16 The formalities prescribed for the execution of a testament must
17 be observed or the testament is absolutely null.

18 Source: New; See C.C. Art. 1595 (1870).

19 Comment

20 This article is based on the provisions of Article 1595 of the
21 Louisiana Civil Code of 1870. It does not change the law.

22 SECTION 2. ~~GENERAL RULES ON THE FORM~~

23 FORMS OF TESTAMENTS

24 Art. 1574. Forms of testaments

25 There are two forms of testaments: olographic and notarial.

26 Source: New.

27 Comments

28 (a) This Article changes the law by suppressing the "public and
29 private nuncupative" and "mystic" testaments found in the Civil Code
30 of 1870. The so-called statutory testament is revised and retained by
31 this Article, to be called the notarial testament. The olographic
32 testament is retained without substantive change.

33 (b) There is no reason to retain the nuncupative wills or the
34 mystic will. The notarial testament provided in the revision can be
35 used in every instance in which those wills would be usable, and is
36 much easier and simpler to obtain and execute. One distinction that
37 arguably might justify keeping the private nuncupative testament is that

1 it does not require a notary public. However, it is almost inconceivable
2 that a lay person would know all of the formal requirements of the
3 Louisiana Civil Code for such a will, when needed. Accordingly, this
4 lack of a notary hardly seems a justification for retaining nuncupative
5 wills. The sole justification of the mystic will is the secrecy that it
6 affords the testator, but that secrecy may as easily be obtained by using
7 an olographic testament. If a testator cannot write such a testament, the
8 notarial testament under Article 1577 or Article 1578 should suffice
9 because it is not necessary that the will be read aloud or that the
10 witnesses read it.

11 (c) The enactment of this Article does not invalidate testaments
12 that were valid when written. See R.S. 9:2445.

13 (d) Articles 1597 through 1604 of the Civil Code of 1870 have
14 been suppressed in their entirety as obsolete and unnecessary. They
15 provided special rules for time-limited testaments of military personnel
16 and those at sea. The present law is adequate to provide for the needs
17 of such persons, especially in light of the current military practice to
18 provide for such matters as a part of regular induction procedures. A
19 testament written for military personnel is valid in Louisiana if: (a) it
20 is valid under Louisiana law; or (b) it is valid under the law of the state
21 of making at the time of making or (c) it is valid under the law of the
22 state in which the testator was domiciled at the time of making or at the
23 time of death; or (d) with regard to immovables, it is valid under the
24 law that would be applied by the courts of the state in which the
25 immovables are situated. See Civil Code Article 3528. Moreover, an
26 olographic testament valid under Louisiana law may be written
27 anywhere.

28 (e) By definition, no oral testament could be valid, since it
29 would not be in one of these forms. See also Articles 1575 and 1576
30 of the Civil Code of 1870.

31 (f) A notarial testament may be made in one of four ways. The
32 notarial testament described in Article 1577 may be made only by a
33 person who knows how to sign his name and how to read the testament
34 as written, and is physically able to do both. If the testator lacks the
35 physical ability to sign his name, the testament must be made in the
36 manner described in Article 1578. If the testator's sight is impaired to
37 the extent that he cannot read or if he is a person who does not know
38 how to read, the testament must be made in the manner described in
39 Article 1579. If the testator knows how to and is physically able to
40 read braille, the testament may be made in the manner described in
41 Article 1580. It is envisioned that most testators will use the basic
42 notarial testament described in Article 1577.

1 how to read, the testament must be made in the manner described in
2 Article 1579. If the testator knows how to and is physically able to
3 read braille, the testament may be made in the manner described in
4 Article 1580. It is envisioned that most testators will use the basic
5 notarial testament described in Article 1577.

6 Art. 1577. Requirements of form

7 The notarial testament shall be prepared in writing and shall be
8 dated and executed in the following manner. If the testator knows how
9 to sign his name and to read, and is physically able to do both, then:

10 (1) In the presence of the notary and two competent witnesses,
11 the testator shall declare or signify to them that the instrument is his
12 testament and shall sign his name at the end of the testament and on
13 each other separate page.

14 (2) In the presence of the testator and each other, the notary and
15 the witnesses shall sign the following declaration, or one substantially
16 similar: "In our presence the testator has declared or signified that this
17 instrument is his testament and has signed it at the end and on each
18 other separate page, and in the presence of the testator and each other
19 we have hereunto subscribed our names this ____day of _____,
20 ____."

21 Source: R.S. 9:2442.

22 Comments

23 (a) This article reproduces the substance of R.S. 9:2442. It does
24 not change the law.

25 (b) The testator need not sign after both the dispositive or
26 appointive provisions of this testament and the declaration, although the
27 validity of the document is not affected by such a "double" signature.
28 The testator is disposing of property, appointing an executor or making
29 other directions in the body of the testament itself. He need only sign
30 at the end of the dispositive, appointive or directive provisions. The
31 witnesses and the notary are attesting to the observance of the
32 formalities; they need only sign the declaration.

1 (c) The testator's indication that the instrument contains his last
2 wishes may be given verbally or in any other manner that indicates his
3 assent to its provisions.

4 (d) The instrument must be in writing. The form of the writing
5 (typewritten, mimeographed or any other form) is immaterial.
6 Moreover, there is no requirement that the testament be written in the
7 English language, or even in Roman characters. So long as it is written
8 in a language that the testator can read and understand, the protections
9 to assure verity of the provisions are satisfied.

10 (e) The ability of the testator to verify that the contents of the
11 written document express his last wishes for the disposition of his
12 property is the mechanism to assure accuracy. Thus he must have the
13 intellectual ability to read the will in the manner in which it is written,
14 and must have the same ability to show his assent by signing his name.

15 (f) This Article does not require that the testator actually read
16 the testament at the time of its execution. Clearly, he should not omit
17 the reading if he is not wholly satisfied that the instrument reflects his
18 wishes accurately. Louisiana courts have frequently observed that "...
19 signatures to obligations are not mere ornaments. If a party can read,
20 it behooves him to examine an instrument before signing it;" *Snell*
21 *v. Union Sawmill Company*, 159 La. 604, 105 So. 728 (1925); *Boult v.*
22 *Sarpy*, 30 La. Ann. 494 (1878).

23 (g) This Article requires that the testament be dated but
24 intentionally does not specify where the date must appear, nor does it
25 require that the dating be executed in the presence of the notary and
26 witnesses or that the dating be made by the testator. It is common
27 practice to have a typewritten will that is already dated, and that will
28 should be upheld if it is valid in all other respects. The first paragraph
29 of the Article states that "the ... testament shall be prepared in writing
30 and shall be dated", and the subsequent language (with reference to
31 execution) intentionally contains no language that refers to the dating
32 having been executed in the presence of the witnesses or the notary.
33 Nor is there any requirement that the testator be the one to date the
34 testament. The critical function of the date is to establish a time frame
35 so that, among other things, in the event of a conflict between two
36 presumptively valid testaments, the later one prevails. A subsequent
37 testament that contains a provision that revokes all prior testaments
38 obviously revokes the earlier testament, and one primary function of
39 the date is to establish which of the two testaments is the later one.

40 Art. 1578. Notarial testament; testator literate and sighted but
41 physically unable to sign

42 When a testator knows how to sign his name and to read, and is
43 physically able to read but unable to sign his name because of a

1 physical infirmity, the procedure for execution of a notarial testament
2 is as follows:

3 (1) In the presence of the notary and two competent witnesses,
4 the testator shall declare or signify to them that the instrument is his
5 testament, that he is able to see and read but unable to sign because of
6 a physical infirmity, and shall affix his mark where his signature would
7 otherwise be required; and if he is unable to affix his mark he may
8 direct another person to assist him in affixing a mark, or to sign his
9 name in his place. The other person may be one of the witnesses or the
10 notary.

11 (2) In the presence of the testator and each other, the notary and
12 the witnesses shall sign the following declaration, or one substantially
13 similar: "In our presence the testator has declared or signified that this
14 is his testament, and that he is able to see and read and knows how to
15 sign his name but is unable to do so because of a physical infirmity;
16 and in our presence he has affixed, or caused to be affixed, his mark or
17 name at the end of the testament and on each other separate page, and
18 in the presence of the testator and each other, we have subscribed our
19 names this ____ day of ____, ____."

20 Source: R.S. 9:2442.

21 Comment

22 It is intended that the ordinary requirements for a notarial
23 testament apply to the execution of a testament by a person physically
24 unable to sign his name, except insofar as those requirements are
25 modified by this Article. A person physically unable to make a mark
26 could cause his mark to be affixed by directing someone else to assist
27 him so that the testator in fact affixes the mark. This article also
28 authorizes the testator to direct another person to sign his name in his
29 place. It is believed that with the presence of two witnesses and a
30 notary public there is ample protection against abuse and there is no
31 reason not to permit such liberality.

1 Art. 1579. Notarial testament; testator unable to read

2 When a testator does not know how to read, or is physically
3 impaired to the extent that he cannot read, whether or not he is able to
4 sign his name, the procedure for execution of a notarial testament is as
5 follows:

6 (1) The written testament must be read aloud in the presence of
7 the testator, the notary, and two competent witnesses. The witnesses,
8 and the notary if he is not the person who reads the testament aloud,
9 must follow the reading on copies of the testament. After the reading,
10 the testator must declare or signify to them that he heard the reading,
11 and that the instrument is his testament. If he knows how, and is able
12 to do so, the testator must sign his name at the end of the testament and
13 on each other separate page of the instrument.

14 (2) In the presence of the testator and each other, the notary and
15 witnesses must sign the following declaration, or one substantially
16 similar: "This testament has been read aloud in our presence and in the
17 presence of the testator, such reading having been followed on copies
18 of the testament by the witnesses [, and the notary if he is not the
19 person who reads it aloud,] and in our presence the testator declared or
20 signified that he heard the reading, and that the instrument is his
21 testament, and that he signed his name at the end of the testament and
22 on each other separate page; and in the presence of the testator and
23 each other, we have subscribed our names this ____day of ____,
24 _____."

25 (3) If the testator does not know how to sign his name or is
26 unable to sign because of a physical infirmity, he must so declare or

CODING: Words in ~~struck through~~ type are deletions from existing law; words underscored are additions.

1 French. The use of the brackets in the form here is simply to indicate
2 a choice of language to use when someone other than the notary public
3 reads the testament aloud, and nothing more than that.

4 In *Succession of Harvey*, 573 So. 2d 1304 (La. App. 2d Cir.
5 1991), the attestation clause revealed that the notary did not actually
6 read the testament aloud as required by R.S. 9:2443. Instead, the will
7 was read by one of the witnesses while the testator, the notary, and the
8 other two witnesses followed the reading on copies of the instrument.
9 The notary testified that, on the day of execution, an allergy and asthma
10 condition prevented him from reading the testament aloud. The Court
11 held that there had been substantial compliance with the requirements
12 of R.S. 9:2443 and upheld the validity of the will. According to the
13 Court: "In the instant case, the testator did, in the presence of the
14 notary and three witnesses, indicate that he had heard the reading and
15 that the instrument represented his last will. The evidence clearly
16 establishes that the notary accomplished the intended purpose of the
17 reading of the testament, viz., to ensure that the person executing the
18 document knows its contents. Hence, no error occurred." *Succession*
19 *of Harvey*, supra, at 1309. This Article codifies the result reached by
20 the Court in *Succession of Harvey*.

21 (b) In light of the fact that the person who executes a testament
22 under this Article lacks the ability to verify its provisions for himself,
23 the assurance of accuracy is achieved by the reading of the testament
24 by the notary to the testator and the witnesses, while the latter follow
25 the reading on copies of the testament. In this instance, the attestation
26 by the witnesses is not only that the testator indicated that the
27 instrument was his testament, but also that the witnesses assured
28 themselves through the reading that the document that the testator
29 signed was the same one that was read aloud.

30 (c) Section 4 permits this form of testament to be used
31 whenever doubt exists whether a testator is unable to read because the
32 disability, if any, is not so definitive as to be certain that he does not
33 know how to read. There may be situations where doubt exists whether
34 the testator is so physically impaired that he is unable to read, or there
35 may be doubt as to the extent of his literacy. There is often no clear
36 dividing line and it may be difficult to determine the testator's physical
37 condition or literacy level with reasonable accuracy, much less with
38 certainty. To avoid any problem whatsoever in that regard, Section 4
39 permits even a fully competent testator to execute a will under this
40 section. The primary purpose of the kind of notarial testament
41 authorized in this article is to provide safeguards to protect persons
42 who are illiterate or otherwise unable to read, but it is not intended to
43 disqualify competent testators. Since the procedure for execution of a
44 testament under this article is more exacting and subject to greater
45 formality than it is for a notarial testament executed pursuant to Article
46 1577 or 1578, any competent testator is permitted to execute a will
47 under this article, not merely a person who is unable to read or who is
48 so physically impaired that he is unable to read.

1 Art. 1580. Notarial testament in braille form

2 A testator who knows how to and is physically able to read
3 braille, may execute a notarial testament according to the following
4 procedure:

5 (1) In the presence of a notary and two competent witnesses, the
6 testator must declare or signify that the testament, written in braille, is
7 his testament, and must sign his name at the end of the testament and
8 on each other separate page of the instrument.

9 (2) In the presence of the testator and each other, the notary and
10 witnesses must sign the following declaration, or one substantially
11 similar: "In our presence the testator has signed this testament at the
12 end and on each other separate page and has declared or signified that
13 it is his testament; and in the presence of the testator and each other we
14 have hereunto subscribed our names this ____ day of _____, _____."

15 (3) If the testator is unable to sign his name because of a
16 physical infirmity, he must so declare or signify and then affix, or cause
17 to be affixed, his mark where his signature would otherwise be
18 required; and if he is unable to affix his mark he may direct another
19 person to assist him in affixing a mark, or to sign his name in his place.
20 The other person may be one of the witnesses or the notary. In this
21 instance, the required declaration must be modified to recite in addition
22 that the testator declared or signified that he was unable to sign his
23 name because of a physical infirmity; and that he affixed, or caused to
24 be affixed, his mark or name at the end of the testament and on each
25 other separate page.

1 (d) The requirements stated in this Article are not in derogation
2 of, but rather are supplementary to, the general competency
3 requirements of R.S. 13:3665, and Article 691 of the Code of Evidence.

4 (e) A person who is not able to sign his name for any reason,
5 whether due to physical inability or intellectual inability, does not
6 qualify as a competent witness under this article. The article expressly
7 does not make a distinction regarding the reason for inability to sign (as
8 Article 1578 does, for example). For the same reason, a person who is
9 unable to read, whether because of physical inability to read or
10 intellectual inability to read, does not qualify as a competent witness to
11 a notarial testament under Article 1579, and the reason is obvious: The
12 witness is required to follow the reading of the will on a copy as it is
13 being read aloud by the notary.

14 Art. 1582. Effect of witness or notary as legatee

15 The fact that a witness or the notary is a legatee does not
16 invalidate the testament. A legacy to a witness or the notary is invalid,
17 but if the witness would be an heir in intestacy, the witness may receive
18 the lesser of his intestate share or the legacy in the testament.

19 Source: C.C. Art. 1592 (1870).

20 Comment

21 (a) This article reproduces the substance of Article 1592 of the
22 Louisiana Civil Code (1870). It does not change the law in upholding
23 the testament, but it does change the law in permitting the witness to
24 keep the legacy when he would have been an heir by intestacy if the
25 decedent had died intestate.

26 (b) The second sentence of this Article represents a small
27 change in Louisiana law. Historically, legatees were prohibited
28 altogether from being witnesses to testaments, under penalty that the
29 entire testament was invalid. The harshness of that result was mitigated
30 in 1986 when Article 1592 (1870) was revised by Act No. 709 to
31 permit the testament to be upheld and merely deprive the witness of the
32 legacy. Even that solution, however, may be unnecessarily harsh in
33 some instances, as, for example, when the witness is unaware that he
34 is a legatee. Unless the testament is one that must be read aloud to the
35 witnesses under Civil Code Article 1579, a witness may not know that
36 he or she is a legatee. There is no requirement that the other notarial
37 wills actually be read by the testator (who simply must be able to read),
38 or by the witnesses, or by the notary (who may not have prepared the
39 will). Nevertheless, in light of recent developments in the law of
40 capacity and undue influence, it can be anticipated that there may be
41 more will contests involving challenges to testamentary capacity or
42 allegations of undue influence on the testator. As a result, it is as

1 important as before to encourage the use of disinterested witnesses who
2 can testify not only that the formalities for execution of the testament
3 were satisfied, but who may also be able to furnish insights regarding
4 capacity or undue influence issues when they arise. On the other hand,
5 those issues are often more properly addressed to professionals, such
6 as doctors and nurses, and in any event the potential interest of a
7 witness may affect the credibility of the witness' testimony and the
8 weight to be given the testimony. This article changes the law to
9 permit a witness who is related to the testator to inherit at least as much
10 as he or she would have been able to inherit under the laws of intestacy
11 if the decedent had died intestate. The new rule does not protect a
12 legatee/witness who is unrelated to the testator, but it mitigates
13 somewhat the harshness of the existing rule, and it is in accord with the
14 prevailing rule in most of the United States. A practitioner who assists
15 in the execution of a testament for his client should continue to make
16 every effort to use disinterested witnesses who are fully capable in all
17 respects.

18 The rule is not relaxed as to the notary public, who performs a
19 more solemn function than the witnesses and is a public officer. The
20 notary remains prohibited from taking under the testament.

21 Art. 1583. Certain designations not legacies

22 The designation of a succession representative or a trustee, or an
23 attorney for either of them, is not a legacy.

24 Source: New. See R.S. 35:2(A).

25 Comment

26 This Article does not represent a change in the law, but it does
27 codify what is believed to be the appropriate rule. It has long been
28 recognized that the designation of a representative, whether the
29 representative is an executor, a trustee, the attorney to handle the estate,
30 or a tutor for a child, is not a bequest. See *Succession of Jenkins*, 481
31 So.2d 607 (La. 1986), holding that the designation of an attorney in a
32 will is merely precatory and is not binding on the executor. See also
33 *Succession of Wallace*, 574 So.2d 348 (La. 1991), holding the
34 enactment of La. R.S. 9:2448, which provided that an executor of an
35 estate may discharge the attorney designated in a testator's will "only
36 for just cause" unconstitutional. There is some unfortunate language,
37 however, in one reported case that indicates that the designation of the
38 attorney might be construed to be a bequest. See *Roberts v. Christina*,
39 323 So. 2d 888 (4th Cir. 1976), writ denied 328 So. 2d 109 (La. 1976);
40 see also *Succession of Boyenga*, 437 So. 2d 260, 263 (La. 1983)
41 (Dixon, C.J., dissenting). Codification of the rule that designation of
42 a representative is not a bequest clarifies the issue so there can be no
43 problem in that regard.

1 SECTION 4. OF TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITIONS

2 Art. 1584. Kinds of testamentary dispositions

3 Testamentary dispositions are particular, general, or universal.

4 Source: New. See C.C. Art. 1605 (1870).

5 Comment

6 The three categories of legacies under prior law were universal
7 legacies, legacies under universal title, and particular legacies. The
8 names and characteristics of universal legacies and particular legacies
9 are retained in this revision, but the name of the "legacy under
10 universal title" has been changed to "general" legacy, and it has a
11 modified new definition. See C.C. Art. 1586. The importance of the
12 three classifications is in allocating liability for the payment of estate
13 debts, and in determining accretion rights among successors when a
14 legacy lapses or is renounced. See, C.C. Arts. 1423 and 1424, *infra*,
15 regarding payment of estate debts, and C.C. Arts. 1591 through 1595,
16 *infra*, regarding accretion. And, of course, as before, particular legacies
17 receive preference in being discharged before general or universal
18 legacies. See C.C. Arts. 1600 and 1602, *infra*. This Article establishes
19 kinds of testamentary dispositions that are not dissimilar to the
20 universal legacy, legacy by universal title, and legacy by particular title
21 found in the Civil Code of 1870. But their designations, and to some
22 extent their substance, are altered somewhat in this revision.

23 Art. 1585. Universal legacy

24 A universal legacy is a disposition of all of the estate, or the
25 balance of the estate that remains after particular legacies.

26 A universal legacy may be made jointly for the benefit of more
27 than one legatee without changing its nature.

28 Source: New. See C.C. Art. 1606 (1870).

29 Comments

30 (a) The three categories of legacies under prior law were
31 universal legacies, legacies under universal title, and particular
32 legacies. The names and characteristics of universal legacies and
33 particular legacies are retained in this revision, but the name of the
34 "legacy under universal title" has been changed to "general" legacy, and
35 it has a modified new definition. See C.C. Art. 1586. The importance
36 of the three classifications is in allocating liability for the payment of
37 estate debts, and in determining accretion rights among successors
38 when a legacy lapses or is renounced. See C.C. Arts. 1423 and 1424,
39 *infra*, regarding payment of estate debts, and C.C. Arts. 1591 and 1595,

1 *infra*, regarding accretion. And, of course, as before, particular
2 legacies receive preference in being discharged before general or
3 universal legacies. See C.C. Arts. 1600 and 1602, *infra*.

4 (b) This Article retains the name of the "universal" legacy and
5 codifies the principle that such a legacy need not be of the entire estate,
6 so long as it is a legacy of the residuum of the estate remaining after
7 particular dispositions. See generally 5 Planiol and Ripert, *Traite*
8 *pratique de droit civil francais*, Nos. 611, 614, at 614, 644-646 (1933);
9 *Cross on Successions*, Sec. 140, at 204; *Projet Quebec Civil Code*, Art.
10 261. It also codifies the prior jurisprudential rule that a legacy of the
11 residuum following a particular legacy is a universal legacy. See *Willis*
12 *v. McKeithen*, 184 So. 2d 748 (La. App. 2d Cir. 1966).

13 It must be noted that when the testament contains a general
14 legacy, then by definition under this article there cannot also be a
15 universal legacy. The two legacies are defined in such a way that they
16 cannot exist in the same testament.

17 (c) The jurisprudence has recognized that leaving the entire
18 estate or the residue of the estate to multiple legatees does not destroy
19 the universality of the legacy, provided that the legatees are conjoint
20 legatees. Thus, a legacy of the entire estate to A, B and C conjointly
21 is a universal legacy, even though its practical effect is to leave one-
22 third of the estate to A, one-third to B and one-third to C. By the
23 nature of the legacy's being conjoint, if A predeceases B and C, A's
24 share of the estate accretes to B and C. The new code article uses the
25 word "joint" in referring to such legatees, which is consistent with prior
26 jurisprudence and with the new terminology by which the former
27 "conjoint" legacy is now called a "joint" legacy. C.C. Art. 1588.

28 Art. 1586. General legacy

29 A general legacy is a disposition by which the testator bequeaths
30 a fraction or a certain proportion of the estate, or a fraction or certain
31 proportion of the balance of the estate that remains after particular
32 legacies. In addition, a disposition of property expressly described by
33 the testator as all, or a fraction or a certain proportion of one of the
34 following categories of property, is also a general legacy: separate or
35 community property, movable or immovable property, or corporeal or
36 incorporeal property. This list of categories is exclusive.

37 Source: New. See C.C. Art. 1612 (1870).

1

Comments

2

(a) The name "legacy under universal title" is the traditional name for fractional legacies in the civil law world, but because of the common use of the word "universal" in both the "legacy under universal title" and the "universal legacy," which are different kinds of legacies, the name was the source of some confusion. For that reason, Quebec recently changed the name of this classification to a "legacy under general title." The Louisiana revision follows the Quebec approach in part: it calls the legacy merely a "general" legacy rather than "legacy under general title," as Quebec does. It is hoped that the use of a new name for this category of legacy will call attention to the fact that there is a change in the law, albeit small. This Article reproduces the substance of Article 1612 of the Civil Code of 1870 concerning legacies by universal title. Functionally, a "general" legacy is similar in most respects to the old "legacy under universal title." As a practical matter, the classification may be important with respect to responsibility for payment of debts, since universal legacies and general legacies primarily bear that responsibility. See Article 1423, *infra*, but see, also, Article 1422, *infra*. The classification may also be important for purposes of accretion when a legacy lapses or is renounced. See Articles 1592 and 1595, *infra*. And, of course, it is important in determining priority for discharge of legacies when the estate is insufficient to discharge all legacies. C.C. Articles 1600-1603, inclusive *infra*. The new rules for the "general" legacy depart slightly from prior law by expressly providing that a legacy made in terms of one of the enumerated property law classifications, such as "all of my community property to A," is a general legacy. Properly speaking, that kind of legacy is a general legacy, but in the jurisprudence the classification may have been unclear. This revision clarifies that principle.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

(b) A legacy of "one-fourth of my property" is a general legacy because it disposes of a fraction of the estate, even though it does not use one of the enumerated categories, as does a legacy of one-fourth of "all my movables" or "all my immovables," or a legacy of "all my community property" or "all my separate property." The bequest of all or a fraction of the movables or all or a fraction of the immovables would be a disposition of a category of property. If the testator made a specific listing of assets and stated that he thought that the list would equal the portion he had in mind for the legatee, that would not be a general legacy as defined in this Article.

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

(c) A legacy of a usufruct over a specified portion of the testator's property is not a general legacy, either, nor would a bequest of the naked ownership of the same portion be a general legacy, unless it refers to one of the listed categories.

42

43

44

45

(d) A bequest of the entirety of an estate is a universal legacy even though in one sense it is the disposition of a specified portion of the estate. It is defined as a universal legacy under the preceding article. The practical effects of classification are essentially the same

46

47

48

1 (b) The disposition of ownership of a specified asset to multiple
2 legatees by fractions ("one-half of the Jones Road farm to A and one
3 half to B") is a particular legacy, because it is a disposition of a certain
4 object. That classification is not altered by the fact that the testator
5 assigns a fractional interest in the thing to each legatee. A disposition
6 of a right or interest in a certain object or a sum of money, such as the
7 bequest of a usufruct of a sum of money or the usufruct of a specified
8 asset, or the bequest of the naked ownership of that same asset, should
9 also be classified as a particular legacy.

10 (c) A legacy of "all of my corporeal movables" is a particular
11 legacy. See C.C. Art. 1586, Comment (f).

12 Art. 1588. Joint or separate legacy

13 A legacy to more than one person is either joint or separate. It
14 is separate when the testator assigns shares and joint when he does not.
15 Nevertheless, the testator may make a legacy joint or separate by
16 expressly designating it as such.

17 Source: C.C. Arts. 1707 and 1708 (1870).

18 Comments

19 (a) This Article adopts a change in terminology from "conjoint"
20 to "joint"; it does not change the law, however. The consequences of
21 lapse of a joint legacy under the revision are intended to be the same as
22 the consequences of lapse of a conjoint legacy under Article 1707 of
23 the Civil Code of 1870, except with regard to certain modifications to
24 prefer descendants of children and siblings of the testator. See Article
25 1593.

26 (b) This Article does not in and of itself overrule the opinion in
27 *Succession of Lambert*, 210 La. 636, 28 So. 2d 1 (1946), and the cases
28 following it, holding that conjointness was destroyed if the testator
29 used a phrase such as "share and share alike" or "to be equally divided
30 between them," which did no more than re-state the legal consequences
31 of his disposition. Under this revision, if the testator assigns shares the
32 legacy is presumed to be "separate," as opposed to joint, so that the
33 same result will be reached as under the Lambert decision, but the
34 testator may nonetheless make the bequest joint in nature by using
35 appropriate language to do so, and the mere use of the phrase "share
36 and share alike" should not preclude that result. Some of the harshness
37 of the Lambert rule is eliminated by this provision and by the
38 coordinating provisions of Article 1593.

39 (c) The term "joint legacy" has been used to replace the term
40 "conjoint legacy" in order to highlight the fact that new rules have been
41 adopted. It was feared that, because of the familiarity of counsel with

1 the term "conjoint," retaining it might lead lawyers or judges into error.
2 The term "joint legacy" has no relationship to the term "joint
3 obligation" used in Civil Code Articles 1786 et seq.

4 Art. 1589. Lapse of legacies

5 A legacy lapses when:

6 (1) The legatee predeceases the testator.

7 (2) The legatee is incapable of receiving at the death of the
8 testator.

9 (3) The legacy is subject to a suspensive condition, and the
10 condition can no longer be fulfilled or the legatee dies before
11 fulfillment of the condition.

12 (4) The legatee is declared unworthy.

13 (5) The legacy is renounced, but only to the extent of the
14 renunciation.

15 (6) The legacy is declared invalid.

16 (7) The legacy is declared null, as for example, for fraud,
17 duress, or undue influence.

18 Source: New. See C.C. Arts. 1697-1699, 1703 (1870).

19 Comments

20 (a) This Article reproduces the substance of Articles 1697
21 through 1699 and 1703 of the Louisiana Civil Code of 1870. It does
22 not change the law.

23 (b) This Article announces the principle that legacies are
24 without effect in designated instances. The subsequent disposition of
25 such legacies is governed by the following Articles.

26 (c) Incapacity of a legatee is governed by the articles on
27 capacity of successors of the Louisiana Civil Code. See Louisiana
28 Civil Code Articles 1470-83 (Rev. 1991).

29 (d) In general when the validity of a legacy depends upon the
30 fulfillment of a condition or the completion of an uncertain term, the
31 legacy lapses when that term or condition becomes impossible of
32 fulfillment. Thus if the testator says, "I leave \$10,000 to X if she has

1 married Y at my death," the legacy lapses if the marriage has not taken
2 place by the time of the testator's death. Properly viewed, the
3 preceding bequest establishes a condition only to determine a status as
4 of the time of the decedent's death, and in that sense it is neither
5 suspensive nor resolutive. At the moment of the testator's death, a
6 factual determination is made, namely whether X has married Y. A
7 true suspensive condition would be better illustrated by the following
8 example, in which the testator says, "I leave \$10,000 to Cindy if the
9 war ends within six months after my death." In that event, Cindy's
10 bequest is suspensive, because "the obligation may not be enforced
11 until the uncertain event occurs..." La. Civ. Code Art. 1767 (rev.
12 1984). If the war does not end within six months after the testator's
13 death, then the condition is not met and Cindy does not take. When the
14 condition is merely one that suspends the execution of a legacy, the
15 legacy is valid. Thus if the testator says, "I leave \$10,000 to X, to be
16 paid him upon his 21st birthday," and X dies at age 19, the \$10,000
17 belongs to X's heirs. See *Leonora, f.w.c. v. Scott*, 10 La. Ann. 651
18 (1855). Such a legacy is actually subject to a certain term, not a
19 condition.

20 (e) Subpart (3) of this Article preserves the probable meaning
21 of Article 2030 of the Civil Code of 1870, repealed by Act 331 of
22 1984, that the successors of a legatee had no right to a conditional
23 legacy if the legatee died before the condition was fulfilled. Thus if a
24 legacy is conditioned with language such as "to X, if my ship arrives in
25 New Orleans within six months of my death," the legacy lapses if X
26 dies before the ship arrives, i.e. before the event occurs. It also lapses
27 if the ship sinks, since the condition can then no longer be fulfilled.

28 Art. 1590. Testamentary accretion

29 Testamentary accretion takes place when a legacy lapses.

30 Accretion takes place according to the testament, or, in the
31 absence of a governing testamentary provision, according to the
32 following Articles.

33 Source: New. Cf. C.C. Arts. 1706-1708 (1870).

34 Comments

35 (a) In this Article the term "accretion" has been expanded to
36 include the disposition of all lapsed legacies, not just joint legacies.
37 *Succession of Dugart*, 30 La. Ann. 268 (1878), is overruled on this
38 point, as are Articles 1706-1708 of the Civil Code of 1870, to the
39 extent that they mandate the Dugart interpretation.

40 (b) Although this Article refers to "a lapsed legacy", it should
41 be obvious that the provision includes the lapsed share of a legatee

1 under a joint legacy as well as a lapsed legacy where the legatee is the
2 sole recipient of the bequest. Thus, a legacy of Blackacre "to A," when
3 A predeceases the testator, would be a lapsed legacy, and a legacy of
4 Blackacre "to A and B" jointly, where A predeceases the testator,
5 would also be a lapsed legacy insofar as the undivided one-half interest
6 in Blackacre that was left to A is concerned. In one sense it is only the
7 legatee's share that lapses in the latter case, but in either event the
8 predecease of the legatee causes a lapsed legacy. The second
9 paragraph of this Article then refers the matter to the testament itself,
10 because the testator may have covered the possibility of a lapsed
11 legacy. In the event that the testament does not provide for that
12 contingency, however, the provisions of the following articles would
13 become effective.

14 Art. 1591. Accretion of particular and general legacies

15 When a particular or a general legacy lapses, accretion takes
16 place in favor of the successor who, under the testament, would have
17 received the thing if the legacy had not been made.

18 Source: C.C. Art. 1704 (1870).

19 Comment

20 This Article clarifies the rule of Article 1704 of the Civil Code
21 of 1870. It does not change the law, but it is important to note the
22 special treatment given a general legacy that is phrased as a "residue"
23 or "balance," under C.C. Art. 1595, infra.

24 Art. 1592. Accretion among joint legatees

25 When a legacy to a joint legatee lapses, accretion takes place
26 ratably in favor of the other joint legatees, except as provided in the
27 following Article.

28 Source: C.C. Art. 1707 (1870).

29 Comments

30 (a) Upon death of one of the legatees under a joint legacy, the
31 legacy lapses as provided for in Article 1588. This Article states the
32 consequences that follow, but it does not change the law. It merely
33 restates the provision of the first paragraph of Article 1707 of the Civil
34 Code of 1870 without substantive change. Article 1707 does not
35 specifically define the term, but Article 1588 provides such a definition
36 and is in turn applied in this Article and the following Articles.

1 (b) The definitions of "joint legacy" and "testamentary
2 accretion" are contained, respectively, in Articles 1588 and 1590 of this
3 revision. With the addition of those definitions, and the exception
4 made in the succeeding Article for certain preferred joint legatees, this
5 Article re-states the provisions of Article 1707 of the Civil Code of
6 1870 without change.

7 (c) If the testator wishes to do so, he may specifically provide
8 that the rule of testamentary accretion that would otherwise govern his
9 disposition does not apply. For example, if he has given an item to A
10 and B but does not wish A to receive B's part if B predeceases the
11 testator, he may use a vulgar substitution. In this instance, he might
12 provide "... to A and B, but if B should predecease me, his part to go to
13 C."

14 Art. 1593. Exception to rule of testamentary accretion

15 If a legatee, joint or otherwise, is a child or sibling of the
16 testator, or a descendant of a child or sibling of the testator, then to the
17 extent that the legatee's interest in the legacy lapses other than by
18 renunciation, accretion takes place in favor of his descendants by roots
19 who were in existence at the time of the decedent's death. The
20 provisions of this Article shall not apply to a legacy that is declared
21 invalid or is declared null for fraud, duress, or undue influence. When
22 a legacy lapses because of renunciation the accretion is governed by
23 Article 965.

24 Source: New.

25 Comments

26 (a) This Article changes the law by establishing a preferred
27 group of legatees as to whom the law implies a vulgar substitution in
28 favor of the descendants of such a legatee when his interest in the
29 legacy lapses.

30 (b) This Article further changes the law by applying to joint
31 (formerly "conjoint") legatees. If one of the joint legatees is within the
32 preferred group of legatees (children or siblings of the testator, or their
33 descendants), and predeceases the testator with descendants, those
34 descendants succeed to the rights of the deceased legatee per stirpes.
35 If, on the other hand, one of the joint legatees is outside the preferred
36 group of legatees and predeceases the testator, the remaining joint
37 legatees succeed to his share under the preceding Article.

1 (c) If the joint legacy is universal, the rights to which the
2 preferred successors succeed include not only ownership of the share
3 of property which would have belonged to the predeceased legatee, but
4 also his right to take other legacies that have lapsed or are otherwise
5 without effect under Article 1590.

6 (d) This Article establishes a species of anti-lapse statute for
7 Louisiana, similar but not identical to Section 2-602 of the Uniform
8 Probate Code.

9 (e) If a joint legatee within the preferred group predeceases the
10 testator and dies without descendants, the general rule of testamentary
11 accretion applies, rather than the exception in this article.

12 (f) The phrase "declared invalid" refers to the situation where
13 the legacy is substantively invalid, as in the case of a prohibited
14 substitution. The phrase does not refer to the legatee's being judicially
15 divested of his rights, as for example by a declaration of unworthiness.

16 (g) The lapsed legacy can not accrete to a descendant by roots
17 who is not in existence at the time of the decedent's death, that is, one
18 who is conceived after the date of the decedent's death. For example,
19 if the successor renounces his legacy, which causes it to lapse, and a
20 descendant of the successor is conceived a year later, the after-
21 conceived descendant has no rights under this article. The time as of
22 which the descendants by roots of the successor are to be identified is
23 the moment of death of the decedent involved. This rule is consistent
24 with Civil Code Article 935, under which the date of the decedent's
25 death is the operative date, also.

26 (h) The exception made in this article for lapses that occur by
27 reason of renunciation is intended to reconcile the provisions of this
28 article with Article 965 and to avoid any inconsistency between the two
29 articles. Article 965, which applies only to renunciation in a testate
30 succession, contains a broader scope of protection for descendants than
31 this article contains. This Article only protects descendants of children
32 and siblings of the testator, whereas Article 965 applies to all legatees,
33 even those who are not related by consanguinity to the testator.
34 Clearly, if a lapse occurs by renunciation, and the renouncing legatee
35 is a child or sibling of the testator, both articles would reach the same
36 result.

37 Art. 1594. Reserved

38 Art. 1595. Accretion to universal legatee

39 All legacies that lapse, and are not disposed of under the
40 preceding Articles, accrete ratably to the universal legatees.

1 lapsed general legacy could not flow to a universal legacy. The
2 application of the second paragraph can be best illustrated in the
3 following examples: Suppose the testament leaves "10% of the estate"
4 to A, and "90% of the estate" to B. The two legacies are both general
5 legacies, and if either legacy lapses, it does not accrete to the other
6 legatee, but passes by intestacy. On the other hand, suppose that the
7 legacies are "10% to A" and "the balance of my estate" to B. In that
8 situation if A predeceases the testator, the legacy does accrete to B,
9 under the second paragraph. The legacy to B is by definition a general
10 legacy, not a universal legacy, but for purposes of accretion under this
11 article, a policy decision has been made to provide for accretion to a
12 general legacy as if the general legacy were a universal legacy when it
13 is couched or phrased in terms of a "residue" or "balance." Several
14 reasons support that policy decision. Essentially, the rule is based on
15 practice and experience; and an effort to effectuate the testator's intent.
16 The Redactors believe that when a testator has taken the time and effort
17 to execute a testament, it is more likely than not that the testator would
18 prefer that the estate devolve according to the testament rather than the
19 rules of intestacy. Also, the view of experienced practitioners is that
20 a testator who uses words such as "residue," "rest," "balance," or
21 similar expressions, generally believes that if anyone else does not take
22 under the will, the legatee of the "rest," "residue," or "remainder" of the
23 estate should take it. The same implication would not prevail if the
24 testator has more definitively assigned portions, as in saying "I leave
25 10% of my estate to A, and 90% of my estate to B." The variance from
26 that expression coupled with use of the words "rest," "residue," or
27 "remainder" implies an intent, or indeed an indirect kind of vulgar
28 substitution, by which the legatee of the "residue" should take the share
29 of the legatee whose legacy has lapsed. As a policy matter, it is
30 thought that the testator would more likely than not want any lapsed
31 legacies to go to a designated legatee of the "residue" of his estate
32 rather than to his heirs by intestacy. For that reason, instead of making
33 this a presumption or rule of evidence, the rule is elevated to code
34 status and made a principle of law. As a special rule, it is an exception
35 to the general rules regarding accretion.

36 Another example of a general legacy that qualifies under the
37 second paragraph of this article is: "I leave all of my community
38 property to Mary. I leave the balance of my estate to Fran." Since the
39 legacy to Mary is a general legacy, the legacy to Fran is technically a
40 general legacy, also, because it is a legacy of a fraction or certain
41 proportion of the estate. The legacy to Fran is tantamount to being a
42 legacy of "all of my separate property," which would also be a general
43 legacy. Under the second paragraph of this article, if Mary predeceases
44 the testator, the legacy to Mary accretes to Fran as if the legacy to Fran
45 were a residuary legacy.

46 Another example of the operation of the second paragraph is as
47 follows: "I leave all of my immovable property to Cindy, and I leave
48 the balance of my estate to Max." The bequest to Max is a general
49 legacy, but under the second paragraph, if Cindy predeceases the

1 (b) This new Article does not adopt the principle of Civil Code
2 Article 615 relative to usufruct over property that is converted to
3 money or other property (for example, by expropriation or corporate
4 liquidation) without an act of the usufructuary, or that otherwise
5 changes form where the change is not brought about by an act of the
6 usufructuary. Under usufruct law, in such cases the usufruct does not
7 terminate but attaches to the money or other property. Under this
8 Article the effects of changes brought about by changes of form or
9 conversions into money or other property without an act of the testator,
10 or the sale or donation of the property, are governed by the rules on
11 revocation of legacies.

12 (c) This Article recognizes the two concepts of total destruction
13 and partial destruction, as to which there are close but not identical
14 counterparts in the Louisiana law of lease. It does not treat the area of
15 damage, where there may be an injury to property that is not so severe
16 as to constitute a partial destruction.

17 (d) Since this Article by its nature applies only to events that
18 occur prior to the date of the testator's death, and not to events
19 occurring thereafter, one should be careful not to confuse the effects of
20 this Article with the results that occur if there is damage, partial
21 destruction, or total destruction after the testator's death. In those
22 instances, entirely different issues arise, which may be governed by
23 other principles of law, such as the duty of a succession representative
24 to preserve and maintain property of the estate, and the duty to insure
25 property pending the administration of the estate.

26 Art. 1598. Right of legatees to fruits and products

27 All legacies, whether particular, general, or universal, include
28 the fruits and products attributable to the object of the legacy from the
29 date of death, but the right of any legatee to distribution under this
30 Article is subject to administration of the succession.

31 Nevertheless, the legatee of a specified amount of money is
32 entitled to interest on it, at a reasonable rate, beginning one year after
33 the testator's death, but the executor may, by contradictory proceedings
34 with the legatee and upon good cause shown, obtain an extension of
35 time for such interest to begin to accrue and for such other modification
36 with regard to payment of interest as the court deems appropriate. If,
37 however, the legacy is subject to a usufruct for life of a surviving

1 spouse or is held in trust subject to an income interest for life, to or for
2 the benefit of a surviving spouse, the spouse shall be entitled to interest
3 on the money from the date of death at a reasonable rate.

4 Source: New. See C.C. Arts. 1608, 1614, 1626-1630, 1631 and 1632 (1870).

5 Comments

6 (a) This Article combines the provisions of a number of articles
7 of the Civil Code of 1870, retaining some principles and revising
8 others.

9 (b) The concept that a legatee is the owner of his legacy from
10 the moment of death, regardless of the nature of the legacy, and his
11 ultimate right to the fruits of the legacy, have been retained.

12 (c) Though legatees are entitled to the natural and civil fruits of
13 their legacies, the practicalities of succession administration require
14 some modifications of that right. To the extent that a particular asset
15 given is actually producing revenues and these can be identified and
16 segregated, there is no reason to deny them to the legatee when his
17 legacy is eventually delivered. For legacies of cash, however, there is
18 no requirement that the succession representative undertake an
19 investment program to produce interest, particularly since the cash may
20 not be readily available at death. A one-year period is granted to the
21 succession representative to arrange for payment of the cash legacy,
22 and thereafter interest would be due. Such a waiting period is fairly
23 common in other states. See Section 3-904 of the Uniform Probate
24 Code (one year from appointment of succession representative). The
25 article uses the term "reasonable" to refer to the rate of interest to
26 permit the court to fix the rate realistically and at an amount that may
27 be different from the legal rate of interest.

28 (d) For general and universal legatees, such fruits as are actually
29 produced and are attributable to the assets encompassed by their
30 legacies are due to them in their respective proportions. In addition,
31 any expenses directly attributable to those assets are their
32 responsibility.

33 (e) Within the principles of this Article, legatees retain the right
34 under Article 3191 of the Code of Civil Procedure to assert a breach of
35 the fiduciary duty of the succession representative.

36 (f) This Article provides a rule in the absence of a provision by
37 the testator. A testator may specifically provide that no interest is due
38 on a particular legacy regardless of the elapsed time period since his
39 death, or that interest shall begin to accrue earlier than one year.

40 (g) If there is an administration, there is no right to distribution
41 prior to the completion of the administration of the succession.

1 Consistent with the principles of Article 3372 of the Code of Civil
2 Procedure, a legatee may proceed contradictorily with the executor to
3 seek possession of all or part of his legacy.

4 (h) The Civil Code of 1870 had no provision as to the right of
5 a legatee by universal title (now a general legatee) to the fruits of his
6 legacy from the day of death, but the French have apparently accorded
7 him that right. See Planiol, Vol. 3, No. 2775.

8 (i) The demand for delivery of the legacy with its role in the
9 determination of the beginning point for accounting for the fruits of the
10 legacy has been suppressed as unnecessary in light of modern
11 succession procedure and the change of the substantive rule effected by
12 this Article.

13 (j) Mineral substances extracted from the ground and the
14 proceeds of mineral rights are not fruits, because their production
15 results in depletion of the property. Revision Comments to Article 551
16 (Comment (c)). By virtue of other provisions of law, or by virtue of the
17 testamentary provisions, such mineral rights may belong to the
18 usufructuary, but in any event, although they would not be considered
19 as natural or civil fruits, they are "products" within the purview of this
20 Article.

21 (k) The last sentence of this Article intentionally refers to a
22 legacy of money that is "subject to a usufruct" of a surviving spouse or
23 that is "held in trust and subject to an income interest" for the benefit
24 of the surviving spouse. It would be inappropriate to state merely that
25 the legacy is a usufruct for life. The legacy is both a naked ownership
26 interest of a sum of money and a usufruct for life. Similarly, the legacy
27 in trust is not only of an income interest; it is an amount or sum of cash
28 that is held in trust subject to an income interest for life. The first
29 operative fact of the last sentence is that the legacy is one of cash,
30 whether in trust or subject to a usufruct, so that the usufructuary has
31 received a legacy of a usufruct of cash or the income beneficiary has
32 received a legacy of an income interest in trust of cash. It should be
33 noted, too, that the usufructuary or the income beneficiary must be a
34 surviving spouse to be entitled to interest on the money from the date
35 of death at a reasonable rate. One of the principal reasons for such a
36 provision is to preserve the ability to obtain federal tax treatment of
37 either interest as a possible "qualifying terminable interest," which,
38 under applicable federal tax regulations, requires that the usufructuary
39 receive the income from the date of death of the decedent. See Internal
40 Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 2702, Federal Tax Regulations, C.F.R.
41 25.2519-1.

1 Comment

2 This Article reproduces the substance of Article 1635 of the
3 Louisiana Civil Code of 1870. It does not change the law. The phrase
4 "property remaining after payment of the debts" is used in preference
5 to the term "the effects" used in the predecessor Article in order to
6 make it clear that payment of debts must precede payment of legacies.

7 The provision of the source Article giving preference to a legacy
8 that is expressly declared to be in recompense for services has been
9 retained, using the identical language. No change in the law is
10 intended.

11 Art. 1602. Discharge of an unsatisfied particular legacy

12 Intestate successors and general and universal legatees are
13 personally bound to discharge an unpaid particular legacy, each in
14 proportion to the part of the estate that he receives.

15 Source: C.C. Art. 1633 (1870).

16 Comments

17 (a) This Article reproduces the substance of Article 1633 of the
18 Louisiana Civil Code of 1870. It does not change the law. It reflects
19 changes in terminology with respect to the former categories of
20 "legatees by universal title" and "legatees by particular title."

21 (b) The second paragraph of the predecessor Article concerning
22 the liability of the heirs "by mortgage for the whole, to the amount of
23 the value of the immovable property of the succession withheld by
24 them" is not retained in this Article because the concept is adequately
25 covered in the separation of patrimony statutes, R.S. 9:5011, et seq.

26 (c) The word "heirs" in the predecessor Article is replaced in
27 this Article by a reference to those persons whose legacies or
28 inheritance by intestacy have responsibility for the debts of the
29 deceased.

30 (d) The substance of the revised Article is consistent with the
31 jurisprudential view of the predecessor Article over the years. *Jones v.*
32 *Mason*, 124 So. 2d 795 (La. App. 2d Cir. 1960) (action for payment of
33 legacy after heirs are sent into possession is against heirs, not
34 discharged administrator); *Baron v. Vaum*, 44 La. Ann. 295, 10 So. 766
35 (1892); *Succession of Dupuy*, 33 La. Ann. 277 (1881); *Anderson's*
36 *Executors v. Anderson's Heirs*, 10 La. 29 (1836). See also C.C. Art.
37 1381 (1870) (reappearance of left-out heir after partition is cause for re-
38 opening and re-distribution).

1 (e) It should be obvious that this Article applies only where
2 successors have been put in possession, and can apply only to unpaid
3 cash legacies. If the bequest consists of a specific thing (or "certain
4 object" as it is called in existing law), then either the object exists and
5 is owned by the testator at the time of his death or it does not. If he
6 does not own the thing (for example, if he has sold or donated it during
7 his lifetime), then the legacy lapses and there is no need to assign
8 responsibility to any other successors to discharge that legacy. On the
9 other hand, if the property is found in the estate, then it belongs to the
10 particular legatee. See Article 935. If the succession is under
11 administration, the succession representative will be obligated to
12 deliver the thing to the particular legatee. If it has been distributed to
13 someone erroneously, as for example in the situation where A is placed
14 in possession and a subsequent will or codicil is found leaving the
15 property to B, once it is determined that the subsequent codicil is valid
16 and prevails, the particular legatee under it (here, B) will be able to
17 obtain possession from A of the property in accordance with other rules
18 of the general law. There is no need to provide for successors who
19 have been put in possession when a particular legacy other than cash
20 remains undischarged. It should, however, be noted that when no one
21 has been put in possession, there are internal rules that determine which
22 general and universal legatees bear the brunt of discharging particular
23 legacies. By way of example, if the testator leaves 100 shares of
24 General Motors stock to A, which is a particular legacy, and he leaves
25 "all of my movables" to B, and "all of my immovables to C," then
26 obviously it is B whose legacy is diminished or impaired by the bequest
27 of stock to A, since the stock of General Motors is movable property
28 and diminishes what B will receive. It does not affect C and would not
29 have to be discharged by C. Thus, the responsibility of successors
30 among themselves for the discharge of legacies is governed by rules of
31 preference, but those rules are different from the principle enunciated
32 in this Article.

33 (f) In many parts of the state it is common practice not to have
34 an administration of an estate, especially when the heirs wish to avoid
35 the time and expense of such an administration. They may be sent into
36 possession without an administration, but when they do, they are
37 required to discharge all of the legacies that have priority over their
38 own. This Article emphasizes the importance of the concept of the
39 duty to discharge a preferred legacy. Nonetheless, so long as the
40 legatee who is obligated to discharge another legacy does not take
41 possession of property of the estate, he has no personal liability for
42 failure to do so. See C.C. Art 1604, *infra*.

43 Art. 1603. Reserved.

44 Art. 1604. Discharge of legacies, limitation of liability

45 In all the foregoing instances, a successor who is obligated to
46 discharge a legacy is personally liable for his failure to do so only to

1 legacies that he is obligated to discharge. Since there may be more than
2 one general or universal legatee, it is possible that a particular legacy
3 may be discharged by only one of those legatees, but since those
4 legatees are obligated to discharge it on a pro rata basis, the legatee
5 who discharges the particular legacy may be entitled to contribution or
6 in certain instances reimbursement from the other legatees. For
7 example, a general legatee who satisfies a particular legacy may be
8 entitled to reimbursement from the intestate successor or other general
9 legatee who should have satisfied it in its entirety. Whether the claim
10 is for contribution or reimbursement, under any circumstances the
11 legatee who owes the contribution or reimbursement cannot be
12 personally liable for an amount greater than the value of the property
13 that he has received from the estate.

14 (d) This Article is consistent with the principle expressed in
15 Article 1425 as a corollary of Article 1416 concerning limitation of the
16 liability of successors for estate debts. According to the principle of
17 Article 1425, a successor cannot be held liable for contribution or
18 reimbursement for an amount greater than the value of the property
19 received by him.

20 SECTION 5. ~~OF THE OPENING AND PROOF OF~~
21 ~~TESTAMENTS AND OF TESTAMENTARY EXECUTORS~~

22 PROBATE OF TESTAMENTS

23 Art. 1605. Probate of testament

24 A testament has no effect unless it is probated in accordance
25 with the procedures and requisites of the Code of Civil Procedure.

26 Source: C.C. Art. 1644; see also Arts. 1645, 1646, 1647.

27 Comments

28 (a) Articles 1644 through 1647 of the Civil Code of 1870
29 concern the procedure for probate of testaments following adequate
30 proof of death. To the extent that their substance is already contained
31 in Articles 2851 et seq. of the Code of Civil Procedure, they do not
32 need to be revised or reenacted. There is, moreover, ample substantive
33 law adopted in the revision in the area of "opening of succession" with
34 appropriate comments. See Chapter 5, First Part, "Commencement of
35 Succession," supra.

36 (b) When a valid testament is probated, it is effective as of the
37 date of the testator's death. See Article 935.

38 (c) The relevant prescriptive period for probating a testament is
39 5 years from the date of judicial opening of the succession of the
40 decedent. See R.S. 9:5643.

1 (d) Articles 1645-1647 of the Civil Code of 1870 have been
2 suppressed as unnecessary in light of the detailed regulation of this area
3 provided in the Code of Civil Procedure.

4 SECTION 6. ~~OF THE REVOCATION OF TESTAMENTS AND~~
5 ~~OF THEIR CADUCITY~~ LEGACIES

6 Art. 1606. Testator's right of revocation

7 A testator may revoke his testament at any time. The right of
8 revocation may not be renounced.

9 Source: C.C. Art. 1690 (1870).

10 Comment

11 This Article reproduces the substance of Article 1690 of the
12 Civil Code of 1870. It does not change the law.

13 Art. 1607. Revocation of entire testament by testator

14 Revocation of an entire testament occurs when the testator does
15 any of the following:

16 (1) Physically destroys the testament, or has it destroyed at his
17 direction.

18 (2) So declares in one of the forms prescribed for testaments or
19 in an authentic act.

20 (3) Identifies and clearly revokes the testament by a writing that
21 is entirely written and signed by the testator in his own handwriting.

22 Source: New; See C.C. Arts. 1691-92, 1694 (1870).

23 Comment

24 This Article supplements the provisions of its predecessor
25 articles by adding new methods of revoking a testament, but otherwise
26 it restates the provisions without substantive change, except for the
27 deletion of the unnecessary division into "express" and "tacit"
28 revocations. Paragraph (1) continues the supposition that physical
29 destruction of the entire instrument indicates that a revocation was
30 intended. Paragraph (2) provides for revocation by subsequent will, but
31 it expands the ability to revoke by adding the use of an authentic act to

1 do so. The more significant new specific ground for revocation of an
2 entire testament is in paragraph (3) which authorizes revocation by a
3 signed writing that identifies and clearly revokes the testament. This
4 new ground is added to permit a finding of revocation when the
5 testator's intent has been made clear in a writing that he has written by
6 hand and signed but which may not be dated. By definition such a
7 signed but undated writing is not in the form of a testament.
8 Nevertheless, such a clear intent to revoke should be honored. As a
9 matter of policy, the formality required to dispose of property is greater
10 than the formality needed to revoke a prior disposition. For example,
11 if there were a contest between two undated testaments, it would be
12 impossible to determine which of them prevailed. But when revocation
13 is involved, the undated writing must of necessity be subsequent to the
14 testament it seeks to revoke, and dating is therefore less significant than
15 a clear identification of the testament to be revoked and a clear
16 manifestation of the intention to revoke. See Comments to Article
17 1610, infra. To the extent that the rationale of *Succession of Melancon*,
18 330 So. 2d 679 (La. App. 3rd Cir. 1976), would deny that a revocation
19 would occur by a signed and handwritten notation to that effect that did
20 not have a date, that decision is overruled.

21 Art. 1608. Revocation of a legacy or other testamentary provision

22 Revocation of a legacy or other testamentary provision occurs
23 when the testator:

24 (1) So declares in one of the forms prescribed for testaments.

25 (2) Makes a subsequent incompatible testamentary disposition
26 or provision.

27 (3) Makes a subsequent inter vivos disposition of the thing that
28 is the object of the legacy and does not reacquire it.

29 (4) Clearly revokes the provision or legacy by a signed writing
30 on the testament itself.

31 (5) Is divorced from the legatee after the testament is executed
32 and at the time of his death, unless the testator provides to the contrary.

33 Testamentary designations or appointments of a spouse are revoked
34 under the same circumstances.

35 Source: C.C. Arts. 1691, 1693, 1695-1696 (1870).

1 have occurred after the testament was executed, and that there must
2 have been no reconciliation. Furthermore, the testator may provide to
3 the contrary, so that even though the parties may be divorced, the
4 testator may make a bequest to the spouse, or if he wants that spouse
5 to serve in a representative capacity he may so provide. Most states
6 have adopted similar provisions, and this provision fills a gap in the
7 prior law.

8 (g) As provided in Article 1609, in order to produce effects
9 under this article, the revocations involved in Sections 1-4 must be
10 effective at the time of the testator's death.

11 Art. 1609. Revocation of juridical act prior to testator's death

12 The revocation of a testament, legacy, or other testamentary
13 provision that is made in any manner other than physical destruction of
14 the testament, subsequent inter vivos disposition or divorce is not
15 effective if the revocation itself is revoked prior to the testator's death.

16 Source: New.

17 Comment

18 This Article recognizes the fundamental rule that all testaments
19 are ambulatory. The purpose of the article is to assure that the rule that
20 testaments are ambulatory will also apply to undated but signed
21 writings, since the new law permits an undated but signed writing to
22 revoke a testament or legacy or other testamentary provision. See Arts.
23 1607 and 1608.

24 Art. 1610. Other modifications

25 Any other modification of a testament must be in one of the
26 forms prescribed for testaments.

27 Source: New.

28 Comment

29 Although this Article is new, it must be read in conjunction with
30 Article 1608. A distinction must be made between the revocation of a
31 legacy or a testamentary provision, and the implementation of a new
32 legacy or a new testamentary provision. The rules are relaxed to permit
33 the revocation of a legacy or a testamentary provision by a signed
34 writing that is not dated but which clearly revokes the will, the
35 provision, or the legacy. Where a replacement provision is called for,

1 to write a prohibited disposition cannot override substantive law that
2 prevents it.

3 (c) When the identity of a legatee is ambiguous, the court
4 should give effect to the testator's probable intent by awarding the
5 legacy to the person who had the closer friendship with the deceased.
6 Any competent evidence that could resolve the uncertainty, however,
7 should of course be considered. See *Succession of Baskin*, 349 So. 2d
8 931 (La. App. 1st Cir. 1977), cert. den. 350 So. 2d 1211 (La. 1977)
9 (reference to legatee who had pre-deceased the testatrix shown not to
10 be reference to adopted son of same name); *Succession of Rome*, 169
11 So. 2d 665 (La. App. 1st Cir. 1964), cert. den. 171 So. 2d 478 (La.
12 1965) (reference to "Helen" shown to be reference to claimant by
13 testimony of friends of testatrix, and by fact that no other relative or
14 friend bore that name); *Succession of Tilton*, 133 La. 435, 63 So. 99
15 (1913) (legacy to "home for insane" shown by extrinsic evidence to be
16 specific state hospital in which testatrix had particular interest and
17 which she believed to be only such hospital in state).

18 Art. 1612. Preference for interpretation that gives effect

19 A disposition should be interpreted in a sense in which it can
20 have effect, rather than in one in which it can have none.

21 Source: C.C. Art. 1713 (1870).

22 Comment

23 This Article reproduces the substance Article 1713 of the
24 Louisiana Civil Code (1870). It does not change the law. The Article
25 is consistent with the customary position taken elsewhere in the Civil
26 Code. See C.C. Art. 2049 (rev. 1984) (agreement to be interpreted with
27 a meaning that renders it effective and not with one that renders it
28 ineffective). This Article also comports with the general jurisprudential
29 rule for interpretation of statutes. *Conley v. City of Shreveport*, 216
30 La. 78, 43 So. 2d 223 (1950); *Macon v. Costa*, 420 So. 2d 480 (La.
31 App. 4th Cir. 1982).

32 Art. 1613. Mistake in identification of object bequeathed

33 If the identification of an object given is unclear or erroneous,
34 the disposition is nonetheless effective if it can be ascertained what
35 object the testator intended to give. If it cannot be ascertained whether
36 a greater or lesser quantity was intended, it must be decided for the
37 lesser.

38 Source: C.C. Arts. 1716, 1717 (1870).

1 disposition an additional 20 shares resulting from a 100% stock
2 dividend that accrued between the time of execution of the testament
3 and the time of the testatrix's death.

4 Art. 1615. Contradictory provisions

5 When a testament contains contradictory provisions, the one
6 written last prevails. Nonetheless, when the testament contains a
7 legacy of a collection or a group of objects and also a legacy of some
8 or all of the same objects, the legacy of some or all of the objects
9 prevails.

10 Source: C.C. Arts. 1719 and 1723 (1870).

11 Comments

12 (a) This Article reproduces and combines the provisions of Civil
13 Code Articles 1719 and 1723. It does not change the law.

14 (b) The second sentence of this Article clarifies that there is no
15 contradiction between particular legacies and a general legacy of the
16 same kind. The article follows the rule of choosing the specific over
17 the general. Thus, if the testator leaves "all the books in my collection"
18 to A, but he leaves "the Iliad and the Odyssey" to B, the particular
19 legacy to B prevails and he is entitled to the latter two works.

20 Art. 1616. Legacy to creditor

21 A legacy to a creditor is not applied toward satisfaction of the
22 debt unless the testator clearly so indicates.

23 Source: C.C. Art. 1641 (1870).

24 Comment

25 This Article reproduces the substance of Article 1641 of the
26 Louisiana Civil Code (1870). It does not change the law. Obviously
27 the testator may overcome the presumption, by "clearly so indicating,"
28 which is illustrated in cases such as *Succession of Jackson*, 47 La. Ann.
29 1089, 17 So. 598 (1895). There is no need to retain the second part of
30 Article 1641 (1870) regarding wages to a servant, which is an archaic
31 provision in today's society.

1 Section 2. Civil Code Art. 3506(28) is hereby amended and reenacted
2 to read as follows:

3 Art. 3506. General definitions of terms

4 Whenever the terms of law, employed in this Code, have not
5 been particularly defined therein, they shall be understood as follows:

6 * * *

7 28. Successor.--Successor is, generally speaking, the person
8 who takes the place of another.

9 There are in law two sorts of successors: the ~~by~~ universal title
10 successor, such as the heir, the universal legatee, and the general
11 legatee ~~by universal title~~; and the successor by particular title, such as
12 the buyer, donee or legatee of particular things, the transferee.

13 The universal successor represents the person of the deceased,
14 and succeeds to all his rights and charges.

15 The particular successor succeeds only to the rights appertaining
16 to the thing which is sold, ceded or bequeathed to him.

17 Section 3. Code of Civil Procedure Arts. 427, 2825, 2826, 2852, 2856,
18 2891, 2932, 2951(A)(1) and (B), 3001, 3004, 3031, 3228, 3301 through 3304,
19 3332, 3361, 3362, 3371, 3393, and 3394 are hereby amended and reenacted
20 to read as follows:

21 Art. 427. Action against obligor's heirs or legatees

22 An action to enforce an obligation, if the obligor is dead, may be
23 brought against the heirs, universal legatees, or general legatees ~~under~~
24 ~~universal title~~, who have accepted his succession, except as otherwise

1 provided by law. The liability of these heirs and legatees is determined
2 by the provisions of the Civil Code.

3 * * *

4 Art. 2825. Costs

5 In all succession proceedings conducted ex parte, the court costs
6 are to be paid ~~from the mass of the succession~~ as administration
7 expenses. In all contradictory succession proceedings, the court costs
8 are to be paid by the party cast, unless the court directs otherwise.

9 Art. 2826. Definition of certain terms used in Book VI

10 Except where the context clearly indicates otherwise, as used in
11 the Articles of this Book:

12 (1) "Residuary legatee" includes a ~~universal legatee, a legatee~~
13 ~~under a universal title, and an heir who inherits the residue of a~~
14 ~~testamentary succession in default of a valid disposition thereof by the~~
15 ~~testator; and~~ recipient of a universal legacy or a general legacy, and
16 also includes a residuary heir.

17 (2) "Residuary heir" is a successor who inherits the residue of
18 a testamentary succession in default of a valid disposition thereof by
19 the testator.

20 (3) "Succession representative" includes an administrator,
21 provisional administrator, administrator of a vacant succession,
22 executor, and dative testamentary executor.

23 * * *

1 Art. 2852. Documents submitted with petition for probate

2 The petitioner shall submit with his petition evidence of the
3 death of the ~~deceased~~ decedent, and of all other facts necessary to
4 establish the jurisdiction of the court.

5 If the testament ~~of the deceased~~ is one other than a statutory
6 testament, a notarial testament, or a nuncupative testament by public
7 act, and is in the possession of the petitioner, he shall present it to the
8 court, and pray that it be probated and executed.

9 * * *

10 Art. 2856. Probate hearing; probate forthwith if witness present

11 ~~After the~~ When a testament that is required to be probated has
12 been produced, the court shall order it presented for probate on a date
13 and hour assigned. If all necessary witnesses are present in court at the
14 time the testament is produced, the court may order it presented for
15 probate forthwith.

16 * * *

17 Art. 2891. Notarial testament, nuncupative testament by public act,
18 and statutory testament executed without probate

19 A notarial testament, a nuncupative testament by public act, and
20 a statutory testament do not need not to be probated proved. and upon
21 Upon the production of ~~either the original testament or a certified copy~~
22 ~~thereof~~, the court shall order ~~the testament~~ it to be recorded filed and
23 executed and this order shall have the effect of probate.

24 * * *

CODING: Words in ~~struck through~~ type are deletions from existing law; words underscored are additions.

1 Art. 2932. Burden of proof in ~~nullity~~ action to annul

2 The plaintiff in an action to annul a probated testament has the
3 burden of proving the invalidity thereof, unless the action was instituted
4 within three months of the date the testament was probated. In the
5 latter event, the defendants have the burden of proving the authenticity
6 of the testament, and its compliance with all of the formal requirements
7 of the law.

8 In an action to annul a notarial testament, a nuncupative
9 testament by public act, or a statutory testament, however, the plaintiff
10 always has the burden of proving the invalidity of the testament.

11 * * *

12 Art. 2951. No judgment of possession or delivery of possession or
13 legacy or inheritance until return and inventory or list filed and
14 inheritance taxes paid; exception

15 A.(1) No judgment of possession shall be rendered, no
16 inheritance or legacy shall be delivered, and no succession
17 representative shall be discharged unless satisfactory proof has been
18 submitted to the court that an inheritance tax return, where required, a
19 copy of the petition for possession, the formal inventory or the sworn
20 descriptive list, the affidavit of death and heirship, a copy of the federal
21 estate tax return, when required, and a copy of will the testament, if
22 any, have been duly filed with the collector of revenue and that no
23 inheritance taxes are due by the heirs and legatees, or that all taxes
24 shown by the return to be due have been paid, except as otherwise
25 provided herein.

26 * * *

1 B. In special cases, when the judge is satisfied that inheritance
2 taxes have been paid on a legacy or on a part of an inheritance and the
3 court is satisfied that inheritance taxes on the remaining legacy,
4 legacies, or inheritance to be received by the heir or legatee will be
5 paid, the court may in its discretion, enter an order permitting ~~special~~
6 particular legacies to be delivered or possession of a part of an
7 inheritance or legacy delivered or paid, and they may be paid or the
8 possession thereof delivered under such order without liability on the
9 part of the judge. The rate of payment of the inheritance tax on the
10 legacy or inheritance delivered in this manner shall be at the highest
11 rate of taxation applicable to such heir or legatee. Upon closing of the
12 succession, the heir or legatee ~~may apply for~~ is entitled to a credit on
13 inheritance taxes due in the event the tax initially paid on the legacy or
14 other inheritance delivered exceeds the tax computed on said legacy or
15 inheritance in accordance with the rate of taxation upon final settlement
16 of the estate.

17 * * *

18 Art. 3001. ~~Unconditional acceptance~~ Sending into possession without
19 administration when all heirs are competent and accept

20 The heirs of an intestate decedent shall be recognized by the
21 court, and sent into possession of his property without an
22 administration of the succession, on ~~their~~ the ex parte petition of all of
23 the heirs, when all ~~of the heirs~~ of them are competent and accept the
24 succession, ~~unconditionally~~ and the succession is relatively free of
25 debt. A succession shall be deemed relatively free of debt when its
26 only debts are ~~succession charges~~ administration expenses, mortgages

1 not in arrears, and debts of the decedent ~~which that~~ are small in
2 comparison with the assets of the succession.

3 The surviving spouse in community of an intestate decedent
4 shall be recognized by the court on ex parte petition as entitled to the
5 possession of an undivided half of the community, and of the other
6 undivided half to the extent that he has the usufruct thereof, without an
7 administration of the succession, when the succession is relatively free
8 of debt, as provided above.

9 * * *

10 Art. 3004. Discretionary power to send heirs and surviving spouse into
11 possession

12 The heirs of an intestate decedent may be recognized by the
13 court, and sent into possession of his property without an
14 administration of his succession when none of the creditors of the
15 succession has demanded its administration, on the ex parte petition of
16 any of the following:

17 (1) Those of the heirs who are competent, if all of them accept
18 the succession. ~~unconditionally;~~

19 (2) The legal representative of the incompetent heirs, if all of
20 the heirs are incompetent and a legal representative has been appointed
21 therefor; ~~or~~

22 (3) The surviving spouse in community of the ~~deceased~~
23 decedent, if all of the heirs are incompetent and no legal representative
24 has been appointed for some or all of them.

1 for expenditures in the regular course of business conducted in
2 accordance with Article 3224. As security for such loans the court may
3 authorize the succession representative to ~~mortgage or pledge~~ encumber
4 succession property upon such terms and conditions as it may direct.

5 * * *

6 CHAPTER 7. PAYMENT OF ESTATE DEBTS

7 ~~AND CHARGES OF SUCCESSIONS~~

8 Art. 3301. Payment of estate debts; ~~or charges~~; court order

9 A succession representative may pay ~~the debts or charges of the~~
10 ~~succession~~ an estate debt only with the authorization of the court,
11 except as provided by Articles 3224 and 3302.

12 Art. 3302. Time of payment of estate debts; urgent estate debts

13 Upon the expiration of three months from the death of the
14 decedent, the succession representative shall proceed to pay the estate
15 ~~debts and charges of the succession~~ as provided in this Chapter.

16 At any time and without publication the court may authorize the
17 payment of estate debts the payment of which should not be delayed.

18 Art. 3303. Petition for authority; tableau of distribution

19 A. When a succession representative desires to pay ~~charges or~~
20 estate debts ~~of the succession~~, he shall file a petition for authority and
21 shall include in or annex to the petition a tableau of distribution listing
22 those ~~charges and~~ estate debts to be paid. A court order shall not be
23 required for the publication of the notice of filing of a tableau of
24 distribution.

25 B. If the funds in his hands are insufficient to pay all the
26 ~~charges and~~ estate debts in full, the tableau of distribution shall show

1 the total funds available and shall list the proposed payments according
2 to the rank of the privileges and mortgages of the creditors.

3 Art. 3304. Notice of filing of petition; publication

4 Notice of the filing of a petition for authority to pay ~~debts and~~
5 ~~charges~~ an estate debt shall be published once in the parish where the
6 succession proceeding is pending in the manner provided by law. The
7 notice shall state that the petition can be homologated after the
8 expiration of seven days from the date of publication and that any
9 opposition to the petition must be filed prior to homologation.

10 * * *

11 Art. 3332. Final account

12 A succession representative may file a final account of his
13 administration at any time after homologation of the final tableau of
14 distribution and the payment of all estate debts and legacies as set forth
15 in the tableau.

16 The court shall order the filing of a final account upon the
17 application of an heir or residuary legatee who has been sent into
18 possession or upon the rendition of a judgment ordering the removal of
19 a succession representative.

20 * * *

21 Art. 3361. After homologation of final tableau of distribution

22 At any time after the homologation of the final tableau of
23 distribution, an heir of an intestate succession may file a petition to be
24 sent into possession ~~under benefit of inventory~~, alleging the facts
25 showing that he is an heir. Upon the filing of such a petition, the court

1 shall order the administrator to show cause why the petitioner should
2 not be sent into possession.

3 Art. 3362. Prior to homologation of final tableau of distribution

4 At any time prior to the homologation of the final tableau of
5 distribution, a majority of the heirs of an intestate decedent whose
6 succession is under administration may be sent into possession of all or
7 part of the property of the succession upon their filing a petition for
8 possession as provided in Articles 3001 through 3008 excluding any
9 provisions of Article 3004 to the contrary, except that the proceeding
10 shall be contradictory with the administrator. Upon the filing of such
11 a petition the court shall order the administrator to show cause why the
12 petitioners should not be sent into possession, and shall order that the
13 petitioners be sent into possession unless the administrator or any heir
14 shows that irreparable injury would result, and upon a showing that
15 adequate assets will be retained in the succession to pay all claims,
16 charges, debts, and obligations of the succession. If a majority of the
17 heirs are sent into possession of a part of the property, the administrator
18 shall continue to administer the remainder.

19 * * *

20 Art. 3371. After homologation of final tableau of distribution

21 At any time after the homologation of the final tableau of
22 distribution, a legatee or an heir may file a petition to be sent into
23 possession ~~under benefit of inventory~~, alleging the facts showing that
24 he is a legatee or an heir. Upon the filing of such a petition, the court
25 shall order the executor to show cause why the petitioner should not be
26 sent into possession.

1 Evidence of the allegations in the petition for possession
2 showing that the petitioner is a legatee or an heir shall be submitted to
3 the court as provided by Articles 2821 through 2823.

4 * * *

5 Art. 3393. Reopening of succession

6 A. After a succession representative has been discharged, if
7 other property of the succession is discovered or for any other proper
8 cause, upon the petition of any interested person, the court, without
9 notice or upon such notice as it may direct, may order that the
10 succession be reopened. The court may reappoint the succession
11 representative or appoint another succession representative. The
12 procedure provided by this Code for an original administration shall
13 apply to the administration of a reopened succession in so far as
14 applicable.

15 B. After ~~tacit or express~~ formal or informal acceptance by the
16 heirs or legatees or rendition of a judgment of possession by a court of
17 competent jurisdiction, if other property is discovered, or for any other
18 proper cause, upon the petition of any interested person, the court,
19 without notice or upon such notice as it may direct, may order that the
20 succession be opened or reopened, as the case may be, regardless of
21 whether or not, theretofore, any succession proceedings had been filed
22 in court. The court may appoint or reappoint the succession
23 representative, if any, or may appoint another, or new, succession
24 representative. The procedure provided by this Code, for an original
25 administration, shall apply to the administration of successions ~~tacitly~~
26 ~~or expressly~~ formally or informally accepted by heirs or legatees and

1 in successions where a judgment of possession has been rendered, in
2 so far as same is applicable.

3 C. The reopening of a succession shall in no way adversely
4 affect or cause loss to any bank, savings and loan association or other
5 person, firm or corporation, who has in good faith acted in accordance
6 with any order or judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction in any
7 previous succession proceedings.

8 Art. 3394. Refusal or inability to accept funds; deposit in bank

9 When an heir, legatee, or creditor is unwilling or unable to
10 accept and receipt for the amount due him, on contradictory motion
11 against the heir, legatee, or creditor the court may order that the
12 succession representative deposit in a state or national bank or in the
13 registry of the court to the credit of the person entitled thereto the
14 amount due him.

15 A receipt showing the deposit shall be sufficient in the discharge
16 of the succession representative to the same extent as though
17 distribution to the person entitled thereto had been made.

18 Section 4. R.S. 9:1521 is hereby amended and reenacted and R.S.
19 9:2440 is hereby enacted to read as follows:

20 §1521. Public sale of succession property for purposes other than
21 payment of estate debts or legacies

22 The property of a succession, movable, immovable, or both, may
23 be sold at public auction for any purpose. There shall be no priority in
24 the order of sale as between movable and immovable property when
25 succession property is sold for any purpose other than the payment of
26 estate debts or legacies.

1 (a) When the testament manifests an intent to disinherit a forced
2 heir or to restrict a forced heir to the legitime under the law in effect at
3 the time of the testator's death.

4 (b) When the testament leaves to the forced heir an amount less
5 than the legitime under the law in effect at the time the testament is
6 executed.

7 (c) When the testament omits a forced heir and the language of
8 the testament indicates an intent to restrict the forced heir to an amount
9 less than the legitime under the law in effect at the time the testament
10 is executed.

11 (2) That in all other instances the testament shall be governed
12 by the law in effect ~~on December 31, 1995~~ at the time the testament
13 was executed.

14 (3) That the term forced heir, as used above, shall mean a
15 presumptive forced heir under the law in effect at the time the
16 testament ~~is~~ was executed.

17 Section 6. Civil Code Art. 890.1 is hereby transferred and redesignated
18 as R.S. 9:1400.

19 Section 7. R.S. 9:1471 through 1474 are hereby transferred to and
20 redesignated as Code of Civil Procedure Arts. 3295 through 3298 of Section
21 5 of Chapter 6 of Title III of Book VI.

22 Section 8. Code of Civil Procedure Arts. 2887, 2933, and 3155.1, and
23 R.S. 9:2442 through 2445 are hereby repealed in their entirety.

24 Section 9. The headings, source lines, and comments in this Act are not
25 part of the law and are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this
26 Act.

1 Section 10. The provisions of Section 5 of this Act shall become
2 effective upon signature by the governor or, if not signed by the governor,
3 upon expiration of the time for bills to become law without signature by the
4 governor, as provided in Article III, Section 18 of the Constitution of
5 Louisiana. If vetoed by the governor and subsequently approved by the
6 legislature, this Act shall become effective on the day following such approval.

7 Section 11. The provisions of Sections 1 through 4 and 6 through 11
8 of this Act shall become effective on July 1, 1999.

9 Section 12. In accordance with Joint Rule No. 10 of the Joint Rules of
10 the Senate and the House of Representatives, the Louisiana State Law Institute
11 is hereby urged and directed to include comments consistent with the
12 provisions of this Act.

DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument.

Dimos, McMains

HB No. 1628

Proposed law contains revision comments prepared by the Louisiana State Law Institute staff. Comment (a) following each section explains the present law and the proposed law. Substantive changes are noted in the following digest.

Present law provides that the decedent's possession continues in the heir, testamentary heir, instituted heir, and universal legatee.

Proposed law provides that the decedent's possession continues in the heir or legatee. Particular successors commence a new possession for purposes of acquisitive prescription. (C.C. Art. 936)

Present law provides that persons who have concurring rights with the successor to be declared unworthy, or who would inherit in lieu of him, have a right to bring the action for unworthiness. Assigns of such persons also have a right to bring the action.

Proposed law provides that persons who have concurring rights with the successor to be declared unworthy, or who would inherit in lieu of him, have

a right to bring the action for unworthiness. Assigns of the persons entitled to bring an action of unworthiness are not entitled to bring such action. (C.C. Art. 942)

Present law provides that the prescriptive period for an action to declare an intestate successor unworthy is presently unclear, which may be 10 or 30 years.

Proposed law provides for a five-year prescriptive period for unworthiness. (C.C. Art. 944)

Present law provides that descendants who take in their own right may inherit the property that the unworthy heir would have inherited, but they could not take by representation.

Proposed law provides that descendants of an unworthy successor may represent him. (C.C. Art. 946)

Present law provides that a minor successor is deemed to accept.

Proposed law provides that a minor successor deemed to accept; representative may renounce for minor when expressly authorized by the court. (C.C. Art. 948)

Present law provides acceptance or renunciation is absolutely null if a will is subsequently discovered.

Proposed law provides acceptance or renunciation of succession rights is null if a testament is subsequently probated; the rule applies to both testate and intestate successions. (C.C. Art. 952)

Present law provides that acceptance or renunciation of a legacy subject to a suspensive condition cannot take place prior to fulfillment of the condition.

Proposed law provides that a legacy subject to a suspensive condition may be accepted or renounced before or after fulfillment of the condition. (C.C. Art. 953)

Present law provides that renunciation must be express and in authentic form.

Proposed law provides that renunciation must be express and in writing. (C.C. Art. 963)

Present law provides that the portion of an heir that renounces goes to his coheirs of the first degree, and if there are none, then to those in the next degree.

Proposed law provides that the portion of an heir that renounces goes to those that would have inherited if the successor had predeceased the decedent. (C.C. Art. 964)

Present law provides that the portion of a legatee that renounces goes to the heirs.

Proposed law provides that accretion in testate succession goes first to descendants by roots, then to other legatees. (C.C. 965)

Proposed law adds provision that estate debts defined as debts of decedent and administrative expenses. (C.C. Art. 1415)

Present law provides that successors are jointly liable for estate debts.

Proposed law specifies that universal successors are liable in proportion to the part which each has in the succession. (C.C. Art. 1416)

Proposed law adds provision that estate debts attributable to identifiable property are chargeable to that property and its fruits and products. (C.C. Art. 1422)

Proposed law adds provision that provides that debts of the decedent are charged ratably to general and universal legacies and property passing by intestacy. (C.C. Art. 1423)

Proposed law adds provision providing that administration expenses are to be charged ratably to fruits and products of general and universal legacies and property that passes by intestacy. (C.C. Art. 1424)

Present law provides that a successor that complies with certain requirements is deemed to accept under benefit of inventory.

Proposed law provides that a successor cannot be held liable for more than the value of the property received by him. (C.C. Art. 1425)

Proposed law adds provision that provides that in the absence of law or testamentary provision, receipts and payments are classified pursuant to fairness and equity. (C.C. Art. 1426)

Proposed law adds provision providing that reporting and deducting may be made as authorized by tax law provides, in spite of preceding rules. (C.C. Art. 1427)

Proposed law adds provision that provides that rights and obligations of usufructuary with respect to payment of estate debts not superseded. (C.C. Art. 1428)

Proposed law provides that rights and obligations of income interest in trust not superseded. (C.C. Art. 1429)

Present law provides that the testator has limited power to delegate authority to an executor to select assets to distribute in satisfaction of certain legacies.

Proposed law provides that the testator may authorize executor to allocate specific assets to satisfy monetary or fractional legacy or to allocate legacy for charitable purposes, and to select the charity. (C.C. Art. 1572)

Present law provides that there are several forms of testament under present law, including the public and private nuncupative testament by public or private act; the mystic testament; the olographic testament; the military testament; the testament made at sea; and the statutory will.

Proposed law provides for only be two forms of testament: a) the notarial testament; and b) the olographic testament. (C.C. Art. 1574)

Present law provides for several forms of notarial testament, including the nuncupative will by public act and the statutory will and which have particular formal requirements.

Proposed law provides that a notarial testament would be subject to formal requirements as provided in proposed Civil Code Arts. 1577-1580. (C.C. Art. 1576)

Present law provides for special rules of form for persons that have a physical infirmity that prevents them from signing.

Proposed law expressly allows the testator to direct another person to affix his mark as he may direct when the testator is physically unable to do it. (C.C. Art. 1578)

Present law provides for special rules of form for cases in which the testator is illiterate or physically unable to read. Provides that the testament is to be read aloud by the notary in the presence of the testator and the attesting witnesses.

Proposed law retains the formalities of present law, but allows a witness to be the person that reads the testament aloud. (C.C. Art. 1579)

Present law provides that the following persons are incompetent to be a witness to testaments: The blind, persons under 16, persons unable to sign their names, and persons "whom the criminal law declares incapable of exercising civil functions."

Proposed law provides that the following persons are incompetent to be a witness to testaments: The blind, persons under 16, and persons unable to sign their names. (C.C. Art. 1581)

Present law provides that a legacy to a witness or the notary is invalid, but the fact that a witness or the notary is a legatee does not invalidate the will.

Proposed law provides that the validation of the will when the legatee is a witness or the notary is retained. The legacy to a witness or the notary is invalid. (C.C. Art. 1582)

Present law provides that there are three kinds of legacies: particular, universal, and under universal title.

Proposed law provides for three kinds of legacies, as follows: particular, general, and universal. (C.C. Art. 1584)

Proposed law adds provision providing that a general legacy is a legacy of a fraction of the estate or of a fraction of what remains after particular legacies are discharged. A legacy of all, or a fraction of, all immovables, all movables, all community property, or all corporeal or incorporeal property, is also a general legacy. (C.C. Art. 1586)

Present law provides that a particular legacy is one that is not a universal legacy or a legacy under universal title.

Proposed law provides that a particular legacy is one that is neither general nor universal. (C.C. Art. 1587)

Present law provides that a legacy made to more than one person may be "conjoint" or "separate."

Proposed law provides that a legacy made to more than one person may be "joint" or "separate." (C.C. Art.1588)

Present law provides that testamentary accretion takes place when a joint legacy lapses.

Proposed law provides that testamentary accretion takes place when a legacy lapses. Testament controls, otherwise law provides who gets accretion. (C.C. Art.1590)

Present law provides that when a legacy lapses, accretion takes place in favor of the person that would have received the thing if the testament had not been made. Thus, legatees under universal title and particular legatees benefit from the failure of particular legacies they are bound to discharge.

Proposed law provides that when a legacy lapses, accretion takes place in favor of the person that would have received the thing had the testament not been made. (C.C. Art. 1591)

Present law provides that when legacy to conjoint legatee lapses, accretion takes place ratably.

Proposed law provides that when a legacy to joint legatee lapses, accretion takes place ratably. (C.C. Art. 1592)

Proposed law adds provision establishing a preferred group of legatees as to whom the law provides implies a vulgar substitution in favor of the descendants of such a legatee when his interest in the legacy lapses. (C.C. Art. 1593)

Present law provides that a legatee is entitled to the fruits and products of the thing that is the object of the legacy from the date of the decedent's death, subject to certain limitations.

Proposed law provides that a legatee is entitled to the fruits and products of the thing that is the object of the legacy from the date of the decedent's death. Grants a one-year period to the succession representative to arrange for payment of the cash legacy, and thereafter interest would be due. (C.C. Art. 1598)

Proposed law adds provisions dealing with preference in the payment of legacies when the testator has not expressly declared a preference. (C.C. Art. 1599)

Present law provides that a successor that is obligated to discharge a legacy is personally liable for his failure to do so only to the extent of the property received, provided that he follow certain procedures.

Proposed law provides that in all cases, a successor that is obligated to discharge a legacy is personally obligated for his failure to do so only to the extent of the property received. (C.C. Art. 1604)

Present law provides that a testament has no effect unless probated.

Proposed law provides that a testament has no effect unless probated in accordance with the rules of the Code of Civil Procedure. (C.C. Art. 1605)

Present law provides that revocation of an entire testament by the testator occurs when the testator: (1) physically destroys the testament or directs that it be destroyed or (2) so states in one of the forms for testaments.

Proposed law provides that in addition to the grounds under present law provides, adds that a testament may be revoked by authentic act or in a signed writing. (C.C. Art. 1607)

Present law provides that revocation of a legacy or other testamentary disposition occurs when: (1) the testator so declares in one of the forms prescribed for testaments, (2) makes a subsequent incompatible testamentary disposition, (3) makes a subsequent inter vivos disposition of the thing that is the object of the legacy and does not reacquire it, or (4) clearly revokes the provision by a signed writing on the testament itself.

Proposed law adds the fact that the legatee is divorced from the testator as presumptive of revocation, unless the testator provides to the contrary. (C.C. Art. 1608)

Proposed law provides that a revocation of a testament, legacy, or other testamentary provision, other than when such revocation is made by physical destruction of the testament, divorce or subsequent inter vivos alienation, is rendered ineffective by a subsequent revocation of the revocation. (C.C. Art. 1609)

Proposed law provides that any other modification that is made to a testament must be made in one of the forms required for testaments. (C.C. Art. 1610)

Present law provides that when a disposition is silent as to time, or is written in the present or the past tense, it applies only to property accrued at the time of execution of the testament.

Proposed law provides that interpretation favors limitation to property owned at time of testator's death. (C.C. Art. 1614)

Proposed law amends Code of Civil Procedure Articles to correspond to substantive changes made in C.C. Arts. 934-1616 and 3606.

Present law provides for transitional provisions (R.S. 9:2501) governing the construction of testaments executed prior to January 1, 1996 when the testator dies after December 31, 1995. Provides that when the testator's intent is to disinherit a forced heir or to leave a forced heir less than the legitime, the determination of the "legitime" is governed by the law in effect at the time the testament is executed, but that in all other instances the law in effect on December 31, 1995 governs.

Proposed law re-clarifies the transitional provisions and provides that if a person dies testate after the effective date of the proposed law the law in effect at the time the testament is executed governs the construction of the testament, specifically the determination of the legitime and the determination of a presumptive forced heir. Provision specifically effective upon signature of the governor or lapse of time for gubernatorial action.

Proposed law directs the Louisiana State Law Institute to include comments consistent with the provisions of proposed law.

Effective July 1, 1999.

(Amends C.C. Arts. 934-968, 1415-1429, 1570-1616, and 3506(28), C.C.P. Arts. 427, 2825, 2826, 2852, 2856, 2891, 2932, 2951(A)(1) and (B), 3001, 3004, 3031, 3228, 3301-3304, 3332, 3361, 3362, 3371, 3393, and 3394, and R.S. 9:1521 and 2501; Adds R.S. 9:2440; Transfers and redesignates C.C. Art. 890.1 as R.S. 9:1400 and R.S. 9:1471-1474 as C.C.P. Arts. 3295-3298; Redesignates C.C. Art. 1497 as C.C. Art. 1515; Repeals C.C.P. Arts. 2887, 2933, and 3155.1 and R.S. 9:2442-2445)

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

Committee Amendments Proposed by House Committee on Civil Law and Procedure to the original bill.

1. Delete provisions providing for solidary liability of successors for debts of decedent and specify that universal successors are liable for debts in proportion to the part which each has in the succession.

2. Delete comments regarding solidary liability of successors for debts of decedent and directs the Louisiana State Law Institute to include comments consistent with proposed law.

House Floor Amendments to the engrossed bill.

1. Reinstated present law provision that persons under 16 years of age are incompetent as witnesses to a testament. The original bill would have reduced the age to persons under 14.
2. Changed the effective date from January 1, 1998 to July 1, 1999.