

returns.

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: SB **30** SLS 11RS

Analyst: Greg Albrecht

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL Opp. Chamb. Action:

Sub. Bill For.:

Proposed Amd.:

Date: May 2, 2011

8:42 AM

Author: WALSWORTH

Dept./Agy.: Revenue

Subject: Income Tax Checkoff - Louisiana Bicentennial Commission

171

REVENUE DEPARTMENT OR NO IMPACT GF RV See Note Page 1 of 1 Provides for donations of tax refunds to the Louisiana Bicentennial Commission through a check-off box on state income tax

Allows donation of personal income tax refunds by checkoff to the Louisiana Bicentennial Commission. Donated amounts are to be deposited to a special fund created by this bill, the Bicentennial Refund Donation Fund. Monies in the Fund shall be appropriated by the legislature to be used solely for the purposes of the Louisiana Bicentennial Commission law (local and statewide observances and activities planned, encouraged, coordinated, and conducted by the commission).

| EXPENDITURES | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
|----------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| State Gen. Fd. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| Annual Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| REVENUES | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 5 -YEAR TOTAL |
| State Gen. Fd. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Agy. Self-Gen. | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Ded./Other | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Federal Funds | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local Funds | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> | <u>\$0</u> |
| Annual Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Adding an additional checkoff to the tax return, in isolation, involves relatively small costs associated with data processing modifications, accounting, and disbursing of any refunds donated. In addition, R.S. 47:120.37 authorizes a 20% fee from the donated amounts to defray these costs. Current law (R.S. 47:120.37(B)) also provides that existing checkoffs be removed from the tax return if they have not generated at least \$10,000 per year for two consecutive years.

If the Department of Revenue does not remove checkoffs from the form, then to accommodate additional checkoff options (this one and others contemplated) the Department will likely have to redesign the tax form. Additional costs depend on the redesign chosen (redesign entire form to fit more lines, add a new schedule/page for checkoffs, change to a code entry for each checkoff etc.), but all involve additional printing, system processing modifications, and taxpayer/preparer communication and support. The Department estimates one-time set up costs of staff time could be several thousand dollars from existing budget, depending on the number of checkoffs being accommodated and the amount of redesign necessary.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There will be no effect on state tax collections since only refunds or other amounts specifically paid by taxpayers will be donated. The willingness of taxpayers to donate all or part of their tax refunds to any particular purpose can not be predicted. The amount of donations to any particular purpose is likely to be small.

For fiscal year 2010 (the latest year for which the department has information on checkoffs) the eight checkoffs reported for that year received donations from 16,253 returns out of 2.039 million total returns filed (0.8% of returns); total donations for all purposes were \$341,521; donations per checkoff purpose were a high of \$116,391 and a low of \$227; and, the average donation per contributing return was \$21.01.

The bill provide no effective date or tax period applicability. Presumably, these provisions apply for tax years beginning on or after January 1, 2011.

| <u>Senate</u> | <u>Dual Referral Rules</u> | <u>House</u> | :1 mg 1 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| ☐ 13.5.1 >= \$10 | 0.000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S&H} | $\{ \frac{\text{House}}{6.8(\text{F})1} >= \$500,000 \text{ Annual Fiscal Cost } \{S\} \\ 6.8(\text{F})2 >= \$100,000 \text{ Annual SGF Cost } \{H\&S\} \}$ | H. Hordon Mark |
| | ., | ' 6.8(F)2 >= \$100,000 Annual SGF Cost {H&S} | H. Gordon Monk |
| | 0,000 Annual Tax or Fee inge {S&H} | $I = I \in \mathcal{O}(C) \times = \#E00 \cap 000 \text{ Tay or Eoo Increases}$ | Legislative Fiscal Officer |