

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICEFiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **SB 61** SLS 11RS

286

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For .:

Date: May 16, 2011 8:21 PM **Author:** MILLS

Dept./Agy.: Risk Management/

Subject: Allows legislature to limit medical malpractice claims

Analyst: Deborah Vivien

MALPRACTICE OR SEE FISC NOTE SG EX Page 1 of 1

Provides relative to medical malpractice claims. (2/3 - CA13s1(A))

<u>Current law</u> allows for a statutory limit on medical malpractice claims of \$500,000, exclusive of interest, costs and future medical care (R.S. 40:1299.39(F)).

<u>Proposed law</u> provides for this authority in the constitution. To be submitted at the statewide election on October 22, 2011. The amendment would become effective January 1, 2012, but would apply retroactively to all established liability limits for damages incurred in medical or health care claims or against health care providers. Ballot language is included in the bill.

EXPENDITURES	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2011-12	2012-13	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Currently, the legislature can impose limits on medical liability by statute. This bill confirms that ability in the constitution but does not change the current statutory provisions. The Office of Risk Management contends that having the constitutional authority for the legislature to set limits on medical malpractice could reduce the need to defend constitutional challenges of the cap and may lower expenses related to fees paid to the Attorney General. The Legislative Fiscal Office can not confirm the likelihood of such a change in litigation.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>	Degoz V. allect
□ 13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {	$\frac{\text{House}}{6.8(\text{F})1} >= $500,000 \text{ Annual Fiscal Cost } \{S\}$ $6.8(\text{F})2 >= $100,000 \text{ Annual SGF Cost } \{H\&S\}$	277
□ 12 E 2 \$ =	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee	6.8(F)2 >= \$100,000 Ailliudi SGF Cost {nas}	Gregory V. Albrecht
13.5.2 <i>></i> =	Change {S&H}	\bigsqcup 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease {S}	Chief Economist