#### Regular Session, 2011

#### HOUSE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION NO. 130

#### BY REPRESENTATIVE AUSTIN BADON

### SCHOOLS/FINANCE-MFP: Provides legislative approval of the MFP formula for FY 11-12 adopted by BESE on Feb. 17, 2011

### 1 A CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 2 To provide for legislative approval of the formula to determine the cost of a minimum 3 foundation program of education in all public elementary and secondary schools as 4 well as to equitably allocate the funds to city, parish, and other local public school 5 systems as developed by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education 6 and adopted by the board on February 17, 2011. 7 WHEREAS, Article VIII, Section 13(B) of the Constitution of Louisiana requires the 8 State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to develop and adopt annually a 9 formula which shall be used to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program of 10 education in all public elementary and secondary schools as well as to allocate equitably the 11 funds to parish and city school systems; and 12 WHEREAS, at a special meeting of the State Board of Elementary and Secondary 13 Education on February 17, 2011, the board adopted a formula for such cost determination 14 and the equitable allocation of funds; and 15 WHEREAS, the board has indicated that the adopted formula considers all statutory 16 and board policy requirements necessary to achieve an appropriate cost determination for 17 a minimum education program as well as to distribute equitably the cost; and 18 WHEREAS, the following goals are recommended for the minimum foundation 19 program: 20 **GOAL 1 - - EQUITY:** The school finance system in Louisiana provides equal treatment of pupils with similar needs with the requirement that local school 21 22 systems have a tax burden sufficient to support Level 1.

1	GOAL 2 ADEQUACY: The school finance system in Louisiana provides
2	programs and learning opportunities that are sufficient for providing a minimum
3	educational program for every individual. The State Board of Elementary and
4	Secondary Education and the legislature through the adoption of the minimum
5	foundation program formula establish a minimum program.
6	GOAL 3 LOCAL CHOICE: The school finance system in Louisiana
7	provides that local taxpayers and the school board establish the budget and set the
8	tax levy for operating the schools above a set level of support for the minimum
9	program.
10	<b>GOAL 4 EVALUATION OF THE STATE SCHOOL FINANCE</b>
11	SYSTEM: The school finance system in Louisiana ensures the attainment of the
12	goals of equity, adequacy, and local choice. Whereas the school finance system
13	utilizes significant state general fund revenues, it is important that the system be
14	evaluated on a systematic basis annually.
15	GOAL 5 PERFORMANCE MEASURES: The school finance system
16	in Louisiana provides for financial accountability and program efficiency
17	maximizing student achievement. Accountability means that city, parish, and other
18	local public school districts can demonstrate that they are operating in conformance
19	with state statutes, financial accounting standards, and student performance
20	standards.
21	WHEREAS, to properly measure the achievement of the goals, a comprehensive
22	management information system containing state-level and district-level components shall
23	continue to be developed; and
24	WHEREAS, to provide fiscal and programmatic accountability, a fiscal
25	accountability program and a school and district accountability program shall continue to
26	be developed; and
27	WHEREAS, the fiscal accountability program shall verify data used in allocating
28	minimum foundation program funds and report fiscal information on the effectiveness of the
29	manner in which the funds are used at the local school system level; and

# HLS 11RS-1400

1	WHEREAS, the school and district accountability program in establishing the state
2	goals for schools and students creates an easy way to communicate to schools and the public
3	how well a school is performing, recognizes schools for effectively demonstrating growth
4	in student achievement, and focuses attention, energy, and resources on schools needing help
5	in improving student achievement; and
6	WHEREAS, the Constitution of Louisiana requires the legislature to fully fund the
7	current cost to the state of the minimum foundation program as determined by applying the
8	legislatively approved formula; and
9	WHEREAS, this minimum foundation program formula is designed to provide
10	greater equity and adequacy in both state and local funding of local school systems; and
11	WHEREAS, the Constitution of Louisiana requires the appropriated funds to be
12	allocated equitably to parish and city school systems according to the formula as adopted by
13	the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education and approved by the legislature
14	prior to making the appropriation.
15	THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Legislature of Louisiana, that the formula
16	to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program of education in all public
17	elementary and secondary schools as well as to allocate equitably the funds to city, parish,
18	and other local public school systems developed by the State Board of Elementary and
19	Secondary Education and adopted by the Board on February 17, 2011, is hereby approved
20	to read as follows:
21	MINIMUM FOUNDATION PROGRAM
22	ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION
23	COST DISTRIBUTION FORMULA
24	2011 - 2012 SCHOOL YEAR
25	I. BASIS OF ALLOCATION
26	A. Preliminary and Final Allocations
27	1. BESE shall determine preliminary allocations of the minimum foundation
28	program formula for city, parish, and other local public school systems, Recovery
29	School District schools, LSU and Southern Lab schools, Office of Juvenile Justice
30	schools, Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts (LSMSA), New Orleans

Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA), and Legacy Type 2 Charter schools using latest
 available data, no later than March 15 each year for the upcoming fiscal year. Upon
 adoption by the board of such preliminary allocations for the ensuing fiscal year, the
 superintendent shall submit the budget requirements in accordance with R.S. 39:33
 and shall submit the minimum foundation program funding requirements to the Joint
 Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate committees on
 education.

8 2. Upon final adoption by BESE and the legislature of the minimum 9 foundation program formula resolution in effect for the upcoming fiscal year, BESE 10 shall determine final allocations of the minimum foundation program formula for 11 city, parish, and other local public school systems, the Recovery School District, 12 LSU and Southern Lab schools, Office of Juvenile Justice schools, Louisiana School 13 for Math, Science, and the Arts (LSMSA), New Orleans School for the Creative Arts 14 (NOCCA), and Legacy Type 2 Charter schools using latest available data, no later 15 than June 30 for the fiscal year beginning July 1.

Latest available student count estimates will be utilized for newly opened
 school districts or local education agencies in the final allocations of the minimum
 foundation program formula no later than June 30 for the fiscal year beginning July
 1.

20

# **B.** Mid-year Adjustments

Districts and schools may request that the state superintendent make
 estimated monthly payments based on documented mid-year growth prior to the
 October 1 count.

If any city, parish, or other local public school system's, Recovery School
 District schools', LSU and Southern Lab schools', Office of Juvenile Justice schools',
 Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts (LSMSA), New Orleans School
 for the Creative Arts (NOCCA), and Legacy Type 2 Charter schools' current year
 October 1 student count is more or less than the previous year's February 1
 membership, a mid-year adjustment to per pupil funding shall be made for each

1

2

student gained or lost based on the final MFP allocation per pupil amount for that city, parish, or other local public school system as approved by BESE.

3 3. Districts and schools may request that the state superintendent make
4 estimated monthly payments based on documented mid-year growth prior to the
5 February 1 count.

6 4. If any city, parish, or other local public school system's, Recovery School 7 District schools', LSU and Southern Lab schools', Office of Juvenile Justice schools', 8 Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts (LSMSA), New Orleans School 9 for the Creative Arts (NOCCA), and Legacy Type 2 Charter schools' current year 10 February 1 membership is more or less than the current year October 1 membership, 11 a second mid-year adjustment to per pupil funding shall be made for each student 12 gained or lost based on one-half the final MFP allocation per pupil amount for that 13 city, parish, or other local public school system as approved by BESE.

14 5. If the Recovery School District, the district of prior jurisdiction, and local 15 education agencies have an increase or decrease in current year October 1 16 membership from the prior year February 1 membership included in the final MFP 17 allocation individually, the RecoverySchool District, the district of prior jurisdiction, and local education agencies shall receive individually a mid-year adjustment of 18 19 MFP funding based upon the increases or decreases in the October 1 membership 20 times the final MFP allocation per pupil for the district of prior jurisdiction. For 21 increases and decreases in the current year February 1 membership from the October 22 membership, the Recovery School District, the district of prior jurisdiction, and local 23 education agencies shall receive individually a mid-year adjustment based on the 24 increases and decreases in the February 1 membership times one-half of the final 25 MFP allocation per pupil for the district of prior jurisdiction.

26 6. If the Recovery School District's current year October 1 membership
27 count qualifies for a mid-year adjustment to state funds, a mid-year adjustment shall
28 also be made based on the local per pupil amount of the district of prior jurisdiction
29 times the number of students identified in the mid-year adjustment. For the current

1	year February 1 student count, an adjustment will be made using one-half the local
2	per pupil amount times the number of students identified in the mid-year adjustment.
3	7. For the newly opened school districts or local education agencies, in the
4	first year of operation, a special mid-year adjustment will be made to finalize their
5	minimum foundation program formula allocations using October 1 data. This special
6	mid-year adjustment will replace the October mid-year adjustment. The newly
7	opened school districts or local education agencies will qualify for the February 1
8	mid-year adjustment.
9	II. LEVEL 1 - COST DETERMINATION AND EQUITABLE
10	<b>DISTRIBUTION OF STATE AND LOCAL FUNDS</b>
11	A. Base Foundation Level 1 State and Local Costs
12	1. February 1 Membership (as defined by the State Board of Elementary and
13	Secondary Education) including Recovery School District students.
14	Plus
15	2. Add-on Students/Units
16	a. At-Risk Students weighted at 0.22.
17	At-Risk students are defined for purposes of allocating funds as those
18	students whose family income is at or below income eligibility guidelines or other
19	guidelines as provided by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education
20	and the number of students identified as English Language Learners that were not
21	included based on income eligibility guidelines times the weighted factor of 0.22.
22	The State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education shall seek to
23	increase the at-risk weight over seven years by an appropriate amount annually until
24	reaching a total at-risk weight of .40.
25	b. Career and Technical Education course units weighted at .06.
26	The number of combined fall and spring student units enrolled in secondary
27	career and technical education courses times the weighted factor of 0.06.
28	c. Special Education/Other Exceptionalities students weighted at 1.50.

1	The number of students identified as having Other Exceptionalities as
2	reported in the membership count as defined by the State Board of Elementary and
3	Secondary Education times the weighted factor of 1.50.
4	d. Special Education/Gifted and Talented students weighted at .60. The
5	number of students identified as Gifted and Talented as reported in the membership
6	count as defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education times
7	the weighted factor of 0.60.
8	e. Economy of Scale calculated as a curvilinear weight of .20 at 0 student
9	membership level down to zero at 7,500 student membership level. This weight will
10	vary depending on the size of the school system. There will be no benefit to school
11	systems with a membership of 7,500 or greater. The formula for this weight is:
12	(1) for each district with less than 7,500 students, subtract its membership
13	from 7,500;
14	(2) divide this difference by 37,500 to calculate each district's economy of
15	scale weight; then
16	(3) multiply each district's economy of scale weight times their membership
17	count.
18	Equals
19	3. Total Weighted Membership and/or Units (Sum of I.A.1 and I.A.2.a.
20	through e.)
21	Times
22	4. State and Local Base Per Pupil Amount of \$3,855.
23	In the event no provision for an annual increase has been provided and this
24	Resolution remains in effect in the Fiscal Year 2012-13 or thereafter, the State Board
25	of Elementary and Secondary Education shall annually adjust the state and local base
26	per pupil amount with approval by the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget.
27	If the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget does not approve the rate
28	established by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, then an
29	annual growth adjustment of 2.75% shall automatically be applied to the state and
30	local base per pupil amount beginning in the Fiscal Year 2012-13.

1	Equals
2	5. Total Base Foundation Level 1 State and Local Costs (I.A.3 times I.A.4.)
3	<b>B.</b> Local School System Share Calculation
4	1. Property Revenue Contribution is calculated by multiplying the state's
5	computed property tax rate (including debt service) by each school system's Net
6	Assessed Property Value for the latest available fiscal year including TIF areas. If
7	a district's Net Assessed Property Value has increased equal to or greater than 10%
8	over the prior year Net Assessed Property Value, then the growth in the Net
9	Assessed Property Value will be capped at 10%. This cap will be applied on a
10	year-to-year basis comparing the current year Net Assessed Property Value to the
11	prior year uncapped Net Assessed Property Value. In FY 2007-08, this millage was
12	set at a level appropriate to yield a state average share of 65% and a local average
13	share of 35%. The millage set in FY 2007-08 will remain the same in FY 2008-09
14	and beyond except that the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education may
15	revise the millage as deemed appropriate in order to reestablish the 65%/35% share.
16	2. Sales Revenue Contribution is calculated by dividing the district's actual
17	sales tax revenue collected (including debt service) in the latest available fiscal year
18	by the district's sales tax rate that was applicable to create a sales tax base. If a local
19	school system's sales tax goes into effect during the fiscal year, the tax rate is
20	prorated to an annual rate applicable for the total revenue generated. If a district's
21	Computed Sales Tax Base increased equal to or greater than 15% over the Computed
22	Sales Tax Base calculated in the prior year formula, then the growth in the Computed
23	Sales Tax Base will be capped at 15% over the amount used in the prior year
24	formula. This cap will be applied on a year-to-year basis comparing the current year
25	sales tax base to the prior year uncapped sales tax base. Each district's sales tax base
26	is then multiplied by the state's projected yield of the sales tay rate. In EV 2007.08

is then multiplied by the state's projected yield of the sales tax rate. In FY 2007-08,
this rate was set at a level appropriate to yield a state average share of 65% and a
local average share of 35%. The rate set in FY 2007-08 will remain the same in FY
2008-09 and beyond except that the State Board of Elementary and Secondary

1	Education may revise the rate as deemed appropriate in order to reestablish the
2	65%/35% share.
3	3. Other Revenue Contribution is calculated by combining (1) State Revenue
4	in lieu of taxes; (2) Federal Revenue in lieu of taxes; and (3) 50% of Earnings on
5	Property.
6	4. Local School System Share is the sum of adding Item 1- Property Tax
7	Contribution, Item 2 - Sales Tax Contribution, and Item 3 - Other Revenues
8	Contribution.
9	C. State Share Calculation
10	The State Share is calculated by subtracting the Local Share from the Total
11	Level 1 Costs. In no event shall the State Share of the Total Level 1 Costs be less
12	than 25% for any district.
13	III. LEVEL 2 - INCENTIVE FOR LOCAL EFFORT
14	A. Level 2 Eligible Local Revenue
15	1. Local Revenue.
16	Prior year revenues collected for educational purposes from total Sales Tax,
17	total Property Tax, State and Federal Revenue in Lieu of Taxes, and 50% of Earnings
18	on Property
19	Minus
20	3. Local School System Share Contribution of Level 1 Costs
21	Equals
22	4. Local Revenue over Local School System Share Contribution of Level 1
23	Costs. This is the funding available for consideration in Level 2 incentive funding.
24	5. Limit on Revenue Eligible for Level 2.
25	The maximum local revenue eligible for incentive funding is equal to 34%
26	of Total Base Foundation Level 1 State and Local Costs (I.A.5 times .34).
27	6. Eligible Local Revenue collected for educational purposes. The Lesser
28	of:
29	a. Local Revenue Over Level 1 Local Share (II.A.4.),
30	or

1	b. Limit on Revenue Eligible for Level 2 Incentive Funding (II.A.5)
2	<b>B.</b> State Support of Level 2 Local Effort
3	1. State Support of Level 2 equals Eligible Revenue in Level 2 minus the
4	Local Share of Level 2.
5	2. Local Share of Level 2 revenue equals the district's Eligible Local
6	Revenue in Level 2 times the district's local share percentage of Level 1 times a
7	factor of 1.72 in FY 2007-08. For FY 2008-09 and beyond, this factor will remain
8	in effect. The State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education may calculate
9	this factor on an annual basis.
10	Equals
11	3. State Support of Level 2 Incentive for Local Effort
12	IV. MINIMUM FOUNDATION PROGRAM LEVEL 3 LEGISLATIVE
13	ENHANCEMENTS
14	A. Continuation Funding for Pay Raises
15	1. 2001-02 Certificated Personnel Pay Raise Continuation Enhancement
16	The supplemental funding provided for the 2001-02 certificated pay raise will
17	continue for each district based on the prior year per pupil amount times their current
18	year membership.
19	2. 2006-07 Certificated Personnel Pay Raise Continuation Enhancement
20	The supplemental funding provided for the 2006-07 certificated pay raise will
21	continue for each district based on the prior year per pupil amount times their current
22	year membership.
23	3. 2002-03 Support Worker Pay Raise Continuation Enhancement
24	The supplemental pay raise allocation for noncertificated support workers
25	provided in FY 2002-03 will continue for each district based on the prior year per
26	pupil amount times the current year membership.
27	4. 2006-07 Support Worker Pay Raise Continuation Enhancement
28	The supplemental pay raise allocation for noncertificated support workers
29	provided in FY 2006-07 will continue for each district based on the prior year per
30	pupil amount times the current year membership.

1	5. 2007-08 Certificated Personnel Pay Raise Continuation Enhancement			
2	The supplemental funding provided for the 2007-08 certificated pay raise will			
3	continue for each district or school based on the prior year per pupil amount times			
4	their current year membership.			
5	6. 2007-08 Support Worker Pay Raise Continuation Enhancement			
6	The supplemental pay raise allocation for noncertificated support workers			
7	provided in FY 2007-08 will continue for each district or school based on the prior			
8	year per pupil amount times the current year membership.			
9	7. 2008-09 Certificated Personnel Pay Raise Continuation Enhancement			
10	The supplemental funding provided for the 2008-09 certificated pay raise will			
11	continue for each district or school based on the prior year per pupil amount times			
12	their current year membership.			
13	B. Foreign Language Associate Enhancement			
14	Any local school system employing a Foreign Language Associate shall			
15	receive a supplemental allocation from BESE of \$20,000 per teacher not to exceed			
16	a total of 300 teachers in the program.			
16 17	a total of 300 teachers in the program. C. Hold Harmless Enhancement			
17	C. Hold Harmless Enhancement			
17 18	<b>C. Hold Harmless Enhancement</b> The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93.			
17 18 19	<ul> <li>C. Hold Harmless Enhancement</li> <li>The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93.</li> <li>At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and</li> </ul>			
17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>C. Hold Harmless Enhancement</li> <li>The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93.</li> <li>At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In Fiscal Year 1999-2000, this MFP</li> </ul>			
17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>C. Hold Harmless Enhancement</li> <li>The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93.</li> <li>At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In Fiscal Year 1999-2000, this MFP formula concept was fully implemented for the first time with 52 systems funded at</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>C. Hold Harmless Enhancement</li> <li>The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93.</li> <li>At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In Fiscal Year 1999-2000, this MFP formula concept was fully implemented for the first time with 52 systems funded at the appropriate state level, eliminating the "underfunded" situation. School systems</li> </ul>			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> </ol>	C. Hold Harmless Enhancement The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93. At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In Fiscal Year 1999-2000, this MFP formula concept was fully implemented for the first time with 52 systems funded at the appropriate state level, eliminating the "underfunded" situation. School systems identified as "overfunded" in FY 2000-01 have since received their prior year per			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<b>C. Hold Harmless Enhancement</b> The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93. At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In Fiscal Year 1999-2000, this MFP formula concept was fully implemented for the first time with 52 systems funded at the appropriate state level, eliminating the "underfunded" situation. School systems identified as "overfunded" in FY 2000-01 have since received their prior year per pupil Hold Harmless amount times their current year membership not to exceed the			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	C. Hold Harmless Enhancement The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93. At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In Fiscal Year 1999-2000, this MFP formula concept was fully implemented for the first time with 52 systems funded at the appropriate state level, eliminating the "underfunded" situation. School systems identified as "overfunded" in FY 2000-01 have since received their prior year per pupil Hold Harmless amount times their current year membership not to exceed the total Hold Harmless amount received in the prior year. Beginning in FY 2007-08,			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	C. Hold Harmless Enhancement The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93. At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In Fiscal Year 1999-2000, this MFP formula concept was fully implemented for the first time with 52 systems funded at the appropriate state level, eliminating the "underfunded" situation. School systems identified as "overfunded" in FY 2000-01 have since received their prior year per pupil Hold Harmless amount times their current year membership not to exceed the total Hold Harmless amount as identified in the FY 2006-07 formula provided to these			
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	<b>C. Hold Harmless Enhancement</b> The concept for the present formula was first enacted in Fiscal Year 1992-93. At that time, there were school systems that were "underfunded" by the state and those that were "overfunded" by the state. In Fiscal Year 1999-2000, this MFP formula concept was fully implemented for the first time with 52 systems funded at the appropriate state level, eliminating the "underfunded" situation. School systems identified as "overfunded" in FY 2000-01 have since received their prior year per pupil Hold Harmless amount times their current year membership not to exceed the total Hold Harmless amount received in the prior year. Beginning in FY 2007-08, the Hold Harmless amount as identified in the FY 2006-07 formula provided to these "overfunded" systems will be phased out. After subtracting amounts attributable to			

1	FY 2006-07 will receive a reduction in FY 2007-08 equivalent to 10% of their total
2	revised "overfunded" amount. The annual 10% reduction will continue each year for
3	10 years. On an annual basis, any hold harmless district may choose to reduce the
4	remaining balance by an amount greater than 10% through formal notification to the
5	department. This request must take place no later than June 30th each year. The
6	annual 10% reduction amount will be redistributed in a per pupil amount to all
7	non-hold harmless districts.
8	D. Support for Increasing Mandated Costs in Health Insurance,
9	Retirement, and Fuel
10	City, parish, and other local public school systems shall receive a minimum
11	of \$100.00 for each student in the prior year February 1 membership.
12	V. FUNDING FOR RECOVERY SCHOOL DISTRICT
13	A. MFP State Share Per Student
14	1. The student membership and weighted student counts of schools
15	transferred to the Recovery School District shall continue to be included in the
16	membership and weighted student counts of the city, parish, or other local public
17	school board from which jurisdiction of the school was transferred.
18	2. Once all final MFP calculations have been made, the MFP state share per
19	prior year February 1 student membership from Levels 1, 2, and 3 of the MFP
20	formula for the city, parish, or other local public school board which counted the
21	Recovery School District students, shall be multiplied by the number of students in
22	the Recovery School District and converted to a monthly amount. The monthly
23	amount(s) shall be reduced from the city, parish, or other local public school board
24	MFP monthly allocation and transferred to the Recovery School District.
25	<b>B. MFP Local Share Per Student</b>
26	1. In addition to the appropriation required in VA.2. of this section, the
27	Recovery School District shall receive an applicable local revenue per student
28	allocation.
29	2. To begin the fiscal year July 1, the local revenue per student allocation is
30	based on projected local revenues for the most recent prior fiscal year from the

1 city, parish, or other local public school board that had jurisdiction of the school 2 prior to its transfer divided by the total MFP student membership in the Recovery 3 School District and in the district of prior jurisdiction used in the MFP final 4 allocation. 3. For purposes of the Recovery School District calculation, local revenue 5 6 is defined to include revenue from the following sources, excluding any portion 7 which has been specifically dedicated by the legislature or by voter approval to 8 capital outlay or debt service, per the definitions in the Annual Financial Report and 9 the Louisiana Accounting and Uniform Governmental Handbook (LAUGH) as 10 reported to the Department of Education (DOE): 11 a. Sales and use taxes, less any tax collection fee paid by the school district. 12 b. Ad valorem taxes, less any tax collection fee paid by the school district. 13 c. Earnings from sixteenth section lands owned by the school district. 14 4. The total local revenue allocation for the Recovery District is determined 15 by multiplying the local revenue per student times the number of Recovery School 16 District students used in the MFP final allocation. 17 5. Once the local amount is determined, it is adjusted to a monthly amount 18 that is transferred from the MFP monthly allocation of the city, parish, or other local 19 public school board of prior jurisdiction to the Recovery School District. 20 6. Based on the October 1 student count, the local revenue allocation per 21 student will be recalculated and there will be a corresponding adjustment in the local 22 revenue allocation per student. No recalculation of the local revenue per student will 23 occur at the February 1 student count adjustment. 24 7. During the third quarter of the fiscal year, the local revenue allocation per 25 student shall be adjusted to reflect actual prior year local revenue data. 26 8. A final reconciliation will occur based upon the receipt of the annual 27 audited financial statements of the district of prior jurisdiction. If an increase or 28 decrease in local revenue collection exists, the state superintendent may establish a 29 payment schedule. In the event that the fiscal status of the district of prior 30 jurisdiction or the Recovery School District changes during the fiscal year or on or before the final reconciliation, the state superintendent may adjust the local revenue
 based on the revenues identifies.

C. Except for administrative costs, monies appropriated to the Recovery School District that are attributable to the transfer of a school from a prior school system and monies allocated or transferred from the prior system to the Recovery School District shall be expended solely on the operation of schools transferred from the prior system to the jurisdiction of the Recovery School District.

# 8 VI. FUNDING FOR LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY AND SOUTHERN 9 UNIVERSITY LABORATORY SCHOOLS

A. Any elementary or secondary school operated by Louisiana State
 University and Agricultural and Mechanical College or by Southern University and
 Agricultural and Mechanical College shall be considered a public elementary or
 secondary school and, as such, shall be annually appropriated funds as determined
 by applying the formula contained in Subsection B of this Section.

- B. Each student in membership, as defined by the State Board of Elementary
  and Secondary Education, at the schools provided for in Subsection A of this Section
  shall be provided for and funded from the minimum foundation program an amount
  per student equal to the amount allocated per student for the state share of the
  minimum foundation program.
- C. The funds appropriated for the schools provided for in this Section shall be allocated to the institution of higher education operating such a school. Each such institution of higher education shall ensure the equitable expenditure of such funds to operate such schools.
- D. Fifty percent of increased funds provided are to be directed to certificated
  staff pay raises as defined in Section XI. A. Provisions specified in Section X.
  through XII. of this Resolution shall apply to these schools.
- 27

# VII. FUNDING FOR TYPE 2 CHARTER SCHOOLS

A. Any school authorized as a Type 2 Charter School by the State Board of
Elementary and Secondary Education on or after July 1, 2008, shall annually be
appropriated funds as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995,

1 except that the local share allocation will be funded with a transfer of the MFP 2 monthly amount representing the local share allocation from the city, parish, or local 3 public school board in which the attending students reside, and except that Virtual 4 Charter schools may receive, as approved by the State Board of Elementary and 5 Secondary Education, a lesser percentage of the state and local amount calculated in 6 R.S. 17:3995. Where student attendance is from multiple school districts, the 7 Department of Education shall determine the local share based on students reported 8 by the schools. The student membership count of the Type 2 charter schools shall 9 be included in the membership count of the city, parish, or other local public school 10 board in which the school is located to determine the local share. Student 11 adjustments for Type 2 Charter schools authorized on or after July 1, 2008, shall 12 adhere to the guidelines established in Section I.(B) - Mid-year Adjustments. The 13 student membership and weighted student counts of any Type 2 Charter school 14 authorized by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education on or after 15 July 1, 2008, shall be included in the membership and weighted student counts of the 16 city, parish, or other local public school board in which the student resides using the 17 actual prior year February 1 enrollment data of the Type 2 Charter school. This shall 18 not apply in the first year of operation where estimated data only is available.

B. Any Legacy Type 2 Charter school approved by the State Board of
Elementary and Secondary Education prior to July 1, 2008, shall annually be
appropriated funds as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995,
except that any mid-year adjustments shall adhere to the guidelines established in
Section 1(B) – Mid-year Adjustments.

C. Fifty percent of increased funds provided are to be directed to certificated
staff pay raises as defined in Section XI.A. Provisions specified in Section X.
through XII. of this Resolution shall apply to these schools.

# VIII. FUNDING FOR THE OFFICE OF JUVENILE JUSTICE SCHOOLS AND STUDENTS

A. Any elementary and secondary school operated by the Office of Juvenile
Justice in a secure care facility shall be considered a public elementary or secondary

1

2

3

school and, as such, the Office of Juvenile Justice shall be annually appropriated funds for these students as determined by applying the formula contained in Subsections B and C of this Section.

B. Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership, as defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to be calculated by dividing the number of days the students are under the guidance and direction of teachers by the total instructional days during the specified school year, shall be provided for and funded from the minimum foundation program a state share per pupil equal to the amount allocated per student for the state share of the district where the student resided prior to adjudication.

- The state share per pupil allocation shall be adjusted based on a factor
   determined by the Louisiana Department of Education to provide for the differential
   in the number of educational days provided to the students in the custody of the
   Office of Juvenile Justice.
- 15
  2. Additionally, the state share per pupil allocation shall be adjusted based
  16
  on a factor determined by the Louisiana Department of Education to recognize the
  17
  increased number of special education students in the Office of Juvenile Justice
  18
  schools relative to the state average special education student population.
- C. Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership, as defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, shall be provided for and funded from the minimum foundation program a local contribution per pupil equal to the local contribution per pupil for the district where the student resided prior to adjudication.
- 1. For the purpose of the local share allocation per pupil amount, the average
  daily membership of the Office of Juvenile Justice shall be included in the
  membership counts of the city, parish, or other local public school board in which
  the student resided prior to adjudication to the Office of Juvenile Justice.
- 28 2. For a district(s) that has schools transferred to the Recovery School
  29 District and shares local revenue, the allocation for the Office of Juvenile Justice will
  30 be completed before the calculation of local revenues as identified in Section VB.

1	3. The local share allocation shall be funded with a transfer of the MFP
2	monthly amount representing the local share allocation from the city, parish, or other
3	local public school board in which the attending students resided prior to
4	adjudication to the Office of Juvenile Justice.
5	IX. FUNDING FOR LOUISIANA SCHOOL FOR MATH, SCIENCE, AND THE
6	ARTS (LSMSA) AND NEW ORLEANS CENTER FOR CREATIVE ARTS
7	(NOCCA)
8	A. LSMSA and NOCCA shall be considered a public elementary or
9	secondary school, and as such, shall be annually appropriated funds as determined
10	by applying the formula contained in Subsection B. of this Section.
11	B. Each LSMSA student in membership, and each NOCCA full-day student
12	in membership, as defined by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary
13	Education, shall be provided for and funded from the minimum foundation program
14	an amount per student equal to the amount allocated per student for the state share
15	of the minimum foundation program.
16	C. Fifty percent of increased funds provided are to be directed to certificated
17	pay raises as defined by Section XI. A. Provisions specified in X. through XII. of
18	this Resolution shall apply to these schools.
19	X. ADJUSTMENTS FOR AUDIT FINDINGS AND DATA REVISIONS
20	Review and/or audit of the districts' data used in determining their Minimum
21	Foundation Program allocation may result in changes in final statistical information.
22	The Minimum Foundation Program allocation adjustments necessary as a result of
23	these audit findings will be made in the following school year.
24	XI. REQUIRED EXPENDITURE AMOUNTS
25	A. Required Pay Raise for Certificated Personnel
26	Fifty percent of a district's increased funds provided in Levels 1 and 2 over
27	the prior year after adjusting for increases in student membership shall be used only
28	to supplement and enhance full-time certificated staff salaries and retirement benefits
29	for city, parish, or other local school systems, Recovery School District, and LSU
30	and SU Lab schools with an average teacher salary below the latest published SREB

1	average teacher salary. This requirement will be suspended for city, parish, or other
2	local school systems, Recovery School Districts schools, LSU and SU Lab schools,
3	Office of Juvenile Justice schools, Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts
4	(LSMSA), New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA), and Legacy Type 2
5	Charter schools in any year in which no annual increase is provided in the state base
6	per pupil amount.
7	For purposes of determining the use of these funds, certificated personnel are
8	defined per state Department of Education Bulletin 1929 and are to include: teachers
9	(all function codes 1000-2200, object code 112); therapists/specialists/counselors
10	(function codes 1000-2200, object code 113); school site-based principals, assistant
11	principals, and other school administrators (function code 1000-2200 and 2400,
12	object code 111); central office certificated administrators (function code 1000-2300
13	& 2831 (excluding 2321), object code 111); school nurses (function code 2134,
14	object code 118); and employees on sabbatical in function code 1000-2200, 2134,
15	and 2400.
16	<b>B. 70% Local General Fund Required Instructional Expenditure at the</b>
16 17	<b>B. 70% Local General Fund Required Instructional Expenditure at the School Building Level</b>
17	School Building Level
17 18	School Building Level To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local
17 18 19	School Building Level To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards
17 18 19 20	School Building Level To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70% of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the
17 18 19 20 21	School Building Level To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70% of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level as derived
17 18 19 20 21 22	School Building Level To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70% of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level as derived by the Department of Education.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	School Building Level To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70% of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level as derived by the Department of Education. 1. The definition of instruction shall provide for:
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>School Building Level</li> <li>To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70% of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level as derived by the Department of Education.</li> <li>1. The definition of instruction shall provide for:</li> <li>a. The activities dealing directly with the interaction between teachers and</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>School Building Level</li> <li>To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70% of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level as derived by the Department of Education.</li> <li>1. The definition of instruction shall provide for:</li> <li>a. The activities dealing directly with the interaction between teachers and students to include such items as: teacher and teacher aide salaries, employee</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>School Building Level</li> <li>To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70% of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level as derived by the Department of Education.</li> <li>1. The definition of instruction shall provide for: <ul> <li>a. The activities dealing directly with the interaction between teachers and students to include such items as: teacher and teacher aide salaries, employee benefits, purchased professional and technical services, textbooks and instructional</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ol> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>School Building Level</li> <li>To provide for appropriate accountability of state funds while providing local school board flexibility in determining specific expenditures, local school boards must ensure that 70% of the local school system general fund expenditures are in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level as derived by the Department of Education.</li> <li>1. The definition of instruction shall provide for:</li> <li>a. The activities dealing directly with the interaction between teachers and students to include such items as: teacher and teacher aide salaries, employee benefits, purchased professional and technical services, textbooks and instructional materials and supplies, and instructional equipment;</li> </ul>

c. Instructional support activities associated with assisting the instructional
 staff with the content and process of providing learning experiences for students
 including activities of improvement of instruction, instruction and curriculum
 development, instructional staff training, library/media, and instructional related
 technology.

6 2. School administration shall include the activities performed by the 7 principal, assistant principals, and other assistants while they supervise all operations 8 of the school, evaluate the staff members of the school, assign duties to staff 9 members, supervise and maintain the records of the school, and coordinate school 10 instructional activities with those of the school district. These activities also include 11 the work of clerical staff in support of the teaching and administrative duties.

3. For city, parish, and other local public school districts that fail this
requirement, but perform at or above the state average in the District Performance
Score (DPS), a waiver for this noncompliance should be provided.

4. For city, parish, and other local public school districts that fail this
requirement, and also perform below the state average in the District Performance
Score (DPS), the following consequences should be applied:

18a. Require that city, parish, and other local public school districts assess19expenditures in non-instructional areas including a self-assessment and/or hiring an20independent firm to determine operational activities that could be streamlined21through outsourcing, privatization, or consolidation and provide a report to BESE on22the implementation plan to redirect any savings from these actions to instructional23activities according to timelines set by the Department of Education.

b. Require the city, parish, and other local public school districts to examine
the manner in which state and federal funds are utilized, make revisions to
incorporate new spending patterns, and provide a report to BESE on the
implementation of these actions according to timelines set by the Department of
Education.

1	۱	
	L	
1	-	

# C. Expenditure Requirement for Foreign Language Associate Program

2 The state must maintain support of the Foreign Language Associate program 3 at a maximum of 300 Foreign Language Associates employed in any given year. 4 These teachers shall be paid by the employing city, parish, or other local public 5 school system or school the state average classroom teacher salary (without PIP) by 6 years of experience and degree beginning with year three. First year teachers will 7 receive an installation incentive of an additional \$6,000; second and third year 8 teachers will receive a retention incentive of an additional \$4,000. These amounts 9 must be provided to each Foreign Associate Teacher by each school district or school 10 in which they are employed.

11

#### **D.** Expenditure Requirement for Educational Purposes

12 State MFP funds shall only be expended for educational purposes. 13 Expenditures for educational purposes are those expenditures related to the 14 operational and instructional activities of a district to include: instructional programs, 15 pupil support programs, instructional staff programs, school administration, general 16 administration, business services, operations and maintenance of plant services, 17 student transportation services, food services operations, enterprise operations, community services operations, facility acquisition and construction services and 18 19 debt services as defined by Louisiana Accounting and Uniform Governmental 20 Handbook, Bulletin 1929.

21

# XII. ACCOUNTABILITY PROVISIONS

22

# A. Accountability for School Performance

Each school recognized by the Louisiana Department of Education will be included in a MFP Accountability report submitted to the House and Senate committees on education by June 30 of each year. Specific information to be included in the report is as follows:

- a. School Data School name, city, and district; Type of school; October 1
  elementary/secondary enrollment; and grade span.
- 29
- b. Accountability Data scores and labels.

1	c. Fiscal Data - expenditures per elementary/secondary enrollment for
2	classroom instruction (less adult education) and pupil/instructional support.
3	d. Student Demographic Data - percent of students eligible for free and/or
4	reduced lunch ("at-risk"), students with exceptionalities (special ed), gifted/talented,
5	and Minority; Advanced Placement data; student attendance rates; and pupil-teacher
6	ratios.
7	e. Teacher Data - Average FTE teacher salaries (object 112, function 1000
8	series); percent of teachers certified; average years of experience; percent master's
9	degree and above; percent turnover; percent Minority; and teachers' days absent. All
10	teacher data (excluding salaries) reported for certified teachers.
11	f. Staffing Data - number per 1,000 pupils for certified teachers, uncertified
12	teachers, and instructional aides.
13	<b>B.</b> Accountability for Weighted Student Funds
14	1. In FY 2010-11, according to R.S. 17:7(2)(f)(I), city, parish, and other local
15	public school districts, Recovery School District schools, and LSU and Southern Lab
16	schools, Louisiana School for Math, Science, and the Arts (LSMSA), New Orleans
17	School for the Creative Arts (NOCCA), and Legacy Type 2 Charter schools will be
18	required to expend funds generated by applying the weighted factors contained in the
19	formula for At-Risk students, Career and Technical Education course units, Special
20	Education Other Exceptionalities students, and Special Education Gifted and
21	Talented students on the following: (a) personnel, (b) professional services, (c)
22	instructional materials, (d) equipment, and (e) supplies that serve the unique needs
23	of students who generate such funds.
24	2. The expenditure of these weighted funds must be submitted annually in
25	a report as directed by the Louisiana Department of Education including the details
26	on the types of activities for which these funds were expended to serve the needs of
27	the weighted students at all schools that serve such students. The information
28	contained in such annual report shall be published on the Department of Education
29	website in an easily understandable format.

1	XIII. STUDY OF FUNDING FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION
2	In an effort to explore opportunities to increase student achievement, the
3	State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education directs the Louisiana
4	Department of Education to establish a pilot program for student-based budgeting.

# DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

#### Austin Badon

HCR No. 130

**Abstract:** Provides legislative approval of the MFP formula for FY 11-12 adopted by BESE on February 17, 2011.

Provides for legislative approval of the formula for FY 11-12 to determine the cost of a minimum foundation program (MFP) of education in all public elementary and secondary schools as well as to equitably allocate the funds to local school systems (including the Recovery School District (RSD), two university laboratory schools, Office of Juvenile Justice schools, La. School for Math, Science, and the Arts, New Orleans Center for Creative Arts, and Legacy Type 2 Charter schools) as developed by the State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE) and adopted by the board on February 17, 2011.

# Preliminary and Final Allocations

<u>Proposed formula</u> retains provisions of <u>present formula</u> relative to the computation of preliminary and final allocations, and additionally includes La. School for Math, Science and the Arts (LSMSA), New Orleans Center for Creative Arts (NOCCA), and Legacy Type 2 Charter schools in all relevant MFP computations, as follows:

- 1. BESE determines no later than March 15 each year for the upcoming fiscal year preliminary allocations of the MFP formula for city, parish, and other local public school systems (including the RSD, LSU and SU laboratory schools, OJJ, LSMSA, NOCCA, and Legacy Type 2 charter schools) using the latest available data. Upon adoption by BESE of such preliminary allocations for the ensuing fiscal year, the superintendent submits the MFP funding requirements to the Joint Legislative Committee on the Budget and to the House and Senate Committees on Education.
- 2. Upon legislative approval of the MFP formula resolution for the upcoming fiscal year, BESE determines final allocations for local school systems (including the RSD, LSU and SU laboratory schools, OJJ schools, LSMSA, NOCCA, and Legacy Type 2 charter schools), using latest available data, no later than June 30 for the fiscal year beginning July 1.
- 3. Latest available student count estimates will be used for newly opened school districts or local education agencies in the final allocations of the MFP formula no later than June 30 for the fiscal year beginning July 1.

# Mid-Year Adjustments

<u>Proposed formula</u> adds LSMSA, NOCCA, and Legacy Type 2 schools in mid-year adjustment computations. Mid-year adjustments are calculated as follows.

- 1. If a school/school district's current year Oct. 1 student count is more or less than the previous year's Feb. 1 membership, a mid-year adjustment to per pupil funding shall be made for each student gained or lost based on the final MFP allocation.
- 2. If a school/school district's current Feb. 1 student count is more or less than the current year's Oct. 1 membership, a mid-year adjustment to per pupil funding shall be made for each student gained or lost based on one-half the final MFP allocation.
- 3. Districts and schools may request the state superintendent to make estimated monthly payments based on documented mid-year growth prior to the Oct. 1 count and prior to the Feb 1 count.
- 4. If the RSD, the district of prior jurisdiction, and local education agencies have an increase or decrease in current year Oct. 1 membership above the prior year Feb. 1 number included in the final MFP allocation individually, such entities shall receive a mid-year adjustment of MFP funding based upon the number of students identified above or below the membership number used in the final MFP allocation.
- 5. If the RSD Oct. 1 membership count qualifies for a mid-year adjustment to state funds, a mid-year adjustment to local per pupil funding also shall be made for each additional student gained or lost based on the local per pupil amount of the district of prior jurisdiction times the increased number of students. For Feb. 1 increases, one-half of the local per pupil amount will be transferred.
- 6. For newly opened school districts or local education agencies, in the first year of operation, a special mid-year adjustment will be made to finalize their MFP formula allocations using Oct. 1 data. This special mid-year adjustment will replace the Oct. mid-year adjustment. The newly opened school districts or local education agencies will qualify for the Feb. 1 mid-year adjustment.

# **Level 1–Cost Determination and Equitable Distribution of State and Local Funds:**

Compared to present formula, proposed formula provides as follows:

- 1. Maintains use of Feb. 1 membership as defined by BESE.
- 2. Maintains the existing weight for add-on student units for at-risk students at 0.22. Retains the time period for BESE to seek to achieve the goal of an at-risk weight of 0.40 over a seven year period.
- 3. Maintains the existing weight for Career and Technical Education course units at 0.06.
- 4. Maintains the existing weight for Special Education/Other Exceptionalities students at 1.5.
- 5. Maintains the existing weight for Special Education/Gifted and Talented students at 0.60.
- 6. Maintains the Economy of Scale curvilinear weight of 0.20 for school systems with a student membership of less than 7,500.
- 7. <u>Proposed formula</u> retains base per pupil funding amount of \$3,855.
- 8. Retains provisions for subsequent annual adjustments as determined by BESE in base per pupil amount.
- 9. Relative to the local school system share calculation, provides as follows:

- (a) Calculates property revenue contribution by multiplying the state's computed property tax rate (including debt service) by each school system's net assessed property value for the latest available fiscal year including Tax Increment Financing (TIF) areas. If a district's net assessed property value has increased equal to or greater than 10% over the prior year net assessed property value, then the growth in the net assessed property value will be capped at 10%. This cap will be applied on a year-to-year basis comparing the current year net assessed property value to the prior year uncapped net assessed property value. In FY 07-08, this millage was set at a level appropriate to yield a state average share of 65% and a local average share of 35%. The millage set in FY 07-08 will remain the same in FY 08-09 and beyond. BESE may revise the millage as deemed appropriate in order to reestablish the 65% to 35% share.
- (b) Calculates sales revenue contribution by dividing the district's actual sales tax revenue collected (including debt service) in the latest available fiscal year by the district's sales tax rate that was applicable to create a sales tax base. If a local school system's sales tax goes into effect during the fiscal year, the tax rate is prorated to an annual rate applicable for the total revenue generated. If a district's computed sales tax base increased equal to or greater than 15% over the computed sales tax base calculated in the prior year formula, then the growth in the computed sales tax base will be capped at 15% over the amount used in the prior year formula. This cap will be applied on a year-to-year basis comparing the current year sales tax base to the prior year uncapped sales tax base. Each district's sales tax base is then multiplied by the state's projected yield of the sales tax rate. In FY 07-08, this rate was set at a level appropriate to yield a state average share of 65% and a local average share of 35%. The rate set in FY 07-08 will remain the same in FY 08-09 and beyond. BESE may revise the rate as deemed appropriate in order to reestablish the 65% to 35% share.
- (c) Other revenue contribution is calculated by combining state revenue in lieu of taxes, federal revenue in lieu of taxes, and 50% of earnings on property.
- 10. Provides that the state share is calculated by subtracting the local share from the total level 1 costs.
- 11. Provides that in no event shall the state share of the total level 1 costs be less than 25% for any district.
- 12. Provides that the student membership and weighted student counts of any Type 2 Charter school authorized by BESE on or after July 1, 2008, shall be included in the membership and weighted student counts of the city, parish, or other local public school board in which the student resides using the actual prior year February 1 enrollment data of the Type 2 charter school. This provision shall not apply for schools in the first year of operation where only estimated data is available.

# Level 2 - Incentive For Local Effort

- 1. Continues provision that the local share of Level 2 revenue equals the district's eligible local revenue as calculated in Level 2 times the district's local share percentage of Level 1 times a factor of 1.72.
- 2. Continues provision that the state support of Level 2 incentive funding equals eligible revenue in Level 2 minus the local share of Level 2.
- 3. Continues provision that the maximum local revenue eligible for incentive funding in Level 2 is 34% of Total Base Foundation Level 1 State and Local costs.

# Level 3–Legislative Enhancements

- 1. Continues supplemental pay raises for certificated personnel initiated in 2001-02, 2006-07, 2007-08, and 2008-09 as a per pupil amount.
- 2. Continues supplemental pay raises for noncertificated support workers initiated in 2002-03, 2006-07, and 2007-08 as a per pupil amount.
- 3. Continues the supplemental allocation of \$20,000 per teacher from BESE to local school systems that employ a Foreign Language Associate, not to exceed a total of 300 teachers.
- 4. Continues the 10-year phase-out of hold harmless funding beginning with a 10% reduction of the revised "over funded" amount in FY 07-08. The annual 10% reduction amount will be redistributed in a per pupil amount to all non-hold harmless districts.
- 5. Continues providing local school systems with a per pupil funding amount of \$100 for increasing mandated costs involving health insurance, retirement, and fuel.

#### Funding for the Recovery School District

Provides for RSD local revenue calculations as follows:

- 1. The student membership and weighted student counts of schools transferred to the RSD shall be included in the membership and weighted counts of the jurisdiction from which the school was transferred.
- 2. To begin the fiscal year July 1, the basis of the local revenue share allocation for RSD is based on projected local revenues for the most recent prior year of the public school board that had prior jurisdiction divided by total MFP student membership in the RSD and in prior the district of prior jurisdiction.
- 3. Based on the Oct. 1 student count, the local revenue allocation per student will be recalculated and there will be a corresponding adjustment in the local revenue allocation per student. There will be no recalculation at the Feb. 1 student count adjustment.
- 4. During the third quarter of the fiscal year, the local revenue allocation per student shall be adjusted to reflect actual prior year revenue data.
- 5. A final reconciliation will occur based upon the receipt of the annual audited financial statements of the district of prior jurisdiction. If an increase or decrease in local revenue collections exists, the state superintendent may establish a payment schedule.
- 6. If the fiscal status of the district of prior jurisdiction or the RSD changes during the fiscal year on or before the final reconciliation, the state superintendent may adjust the local revenue allocation based upon the revenues identified.

# Funding for LSU and SU Laboratory Schools

Provides funding for the LSU and SU university laboratory schools as follows:

- 1. Any elementary or secondary school operated by LSU or SU shall be considered a public school and annually appropriated funds as determined in the MFP formula.
- 2. Each student enrolled at LSU or SU lab schools shall be provided for and funded from the MFP a per pupil amount equal to the amount allocated per student for the state share of the MFP.

- 3. MFP funds appropriated to LSU and SU lab schools shall be allocated to the institution of higher education operating such schools which shall ensure the equitable expenditure of such funds to operate the schools.
- 4. Specifies that requirements in the formula relative to using 50% of increased funds for pay raises for certificated personnel also apply to the lab schools.

# Funding for LSMSA and NOCCA and Legacy Type 2 Charter Schools

<u>Proposed formula</u> adds funding for LSMSA, NOCCA, and Legacy Type to Charter Schools to the formula as follows:

- 1. LSMSA and NOCCA shall be considered a public school and annually appropriated funds as determined in the MFP formula.
- 2. Each LSMSA student in membership, and each NOCCA full-day student in membership, as defined by BESE, shall be provided be provided for and funded from the MFP a per pupil amount equal to the amount allocated per student for the state share of the MFP.
- 3. Any Legacy Type 2 Charter School approved by BESE prior to July 1, 2008 will be funded in the MFP per the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995, except that any mid-year adjustments shall be based on guidelines established in the MFP formula.
- 4. Specifies that requirements in the formula relative to using 50% of increased funds for pay raises for certificated personnel also apply to the lab schools.

# **Funding for Type 2 Charter Schools**

- 1. Provides that any school authorized as a Type 2 charter school by BESE on or after July 1, 2008, shall annually be appropriated funds as determined by applying the formula contained in R.S. 17:3995, except that the local share allocation will be funded with a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the local share allocation from the city, parish, or local public school board in which the attending students reside. Provides that where student attendance is from multiple school districts, the Dept. of Education shall determine the local share based on students reported by the schools.
- 2. <u>Proposed formula</u> adds that the student membership count of Type 2 charter schools shall be included in the membership count of the local school board in which the school is located to determine the local share.

# **Funding for Office of Juvenile Justice Schools and Students**

Provides funding for OJJ schools as follows:

- 1. Any elementary or secondary school operated by OJJ shall be considered a public school and annually appropriated funds as determined in the MFP formula.
- 2. Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership shall be provided for and funded from the MFP a per pupil amount equal to the amount allocated per student for the state share of the district where the student resided prior to adjudication.
  - a. The state share per pupil allocation shall be adjusted based on a factor determined by DOE to provide for the differential in the number of educational days provided to students in OJJ custody.

- b. The state share per pupil allocation shall also be adjusted to recognize the increased number of special education students in OJJ schools relative to the state average special education student population.
- 3. Each student counted in the prior year average daily membership shall be provided for and funded from the MFP a local share per pupil amount equal to the amount allocated per student for the district where the student resided prior to adjudication.
  - a. For purposes of the local share allocation per pupil amount, the average daily membership of the OJJ shall be included in the membership counts of the local school board in which the student resided prior to adjudication to OJJ.
  - b. For a district that has schools transferred to the RSD and shares local revenue, the allocation for OJJ will be completed before the calculation of revenues for purposes of RSD funding.
  - c. The local share allocation shall be funded with a transfer of the MFP monthly amount representing the local share allocation from the local school board in which the attending students resided prior to adjudication to OJJ.

#### Adjustments for Audit Findings and Data Revisions

Provides that review and/or audit of a district's data may cause changes in final statistical information and that any necessary adjustments in a district's MFP allocation resulting from such audit findings will be made in the following school year.

# **Required Pay Raise for Certificated Personnel**

- 1. Requires that 50% of a district's increased funds provided in Levels 1 and 2 over the prior year shall only be used to supplement full-time certificated salaries and retirement benefits for school systems, the RSD, and LSU/SU lab schools with an average teacher salary below the SREB average teacher salary.
- 2. This requirement will be suspended for city, parish, or other local school systems, Recovery School District schools, LSU and SU Lab schools, Office of Juvenile Justice Schools, LSMSA, NOCCA, and Legacy Type 2 Charter schools in any year in which no annual increase is provided in the state base per pupil amount.

# 70% Local General Fund Required Instructional Expenditure at the School Building Level

Requires that 70% of local school system general fund expenditures must be in the areas of instruction and school administration at the school building level and additionally provides as follows for a local school district that fails to meet the 70% instructional expenditure requirement:

- 1. A school district that fails to meet the 70% instructional expenditure requirement but has a District Performance Score (DPS) at or above the state average should be provided a waiver for noncompliance.
- 2. A school district that fails to meet the 70% instructional expenditure requirement and has a DPS below the state average should face the following consequences:
  - a. Be required to assess expenditures in non-instructional areas to determine operational activities that could be streamlined through outsourcing, privatization, or consolidation and report to BESE on the implementation plan to redirect any savings from these actions to instructional activities in accordance with DOE timelines.

- b. Be required to examine the manner in which state and federal funds are utilized, revise spending patterns, and report to BESE on implementation of these actions according to DOE timelines.
- c. If involved in desegregation litigation, be required to examine the manner in which state and federal funds are utilized, revise spending patterns, and report to BESE on implementation of these actions according to DOE timelines.

# Expenditure Requirement for Foreign Language Associate Program

- 1. Requires that the state must maintain support of the Foreign Language Associate Program at a maximum of 300 Foreign Language Associates employed in any given year.
- 2. Provides that these teachers shall be paid by the employing school system or school the state average classroom teacher salary (without PIP) by years of experience and degree beginning with year three.
- 3. Specifies that first-year teachers will receive an installation incentive of an additional \$6,000 and second- and third-year teachers will receive a retention incentive of an additional \$4,000. Provides that these amounts must be provided to each Foreign Associate Teacher by each school district or school in which the teacher is employed.

# Expenditure Requirement for Educational Purposes

Requires that state MFP funds shall be expended only for educational purposes.

# Accountability Provisions

- 1. Requires that each school recognized by DOE will be included in a MFP Accountability Report submitted to the House and Senate education committees by June 30 of each year.
- 2. Requires for accountability from local school districts, the RSD, and the LSU/SU lab schools for all weight factors: at-risk, career and technical education, special education other exceptionalities, and special education gifted and talented.
- 3. Requires an annual report to DOE detailing the types of activities for which these funds were expended to serve the needs of the weighted students. Further requires that such report be published on the DOE website in an easily understandable format.

# **Study of Funding for Public Education**

<u>Proposed formula</u> directs DOE, in an effort to explore opportunities to increase student achievement, to establish a pilot program for student-based budgeting.