
DIGEST

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

Arnold

HB No. 873

Abstract: Authorizes appellate jurisdiction on appeals taken from the Traffic Court of New Orleans and the First or Second City Court of New Orleans as it relates to traffic violations from the New Orleans' automated traffic enforcement system.

Proposed law provides that the First or Second City Court of New Orleans shall have jurisdiction of appeals by any person aggrieved by a decision of the Traffic Court of New Orleans concerning a traffic violation enforced by an automated traffic enforcement system.

Proposed law provides that such appeals to the First or Second City Court shall be made within 30 days from the date of decision.

Proposed law provides that the traffic court shall have exclusive jurisdiction of appeals by any person aggrieved by an administrative hearing officer's decision concerning a traffic violation by an automated traffic enforcement system.

Proposed law provides that such appeals from the Traffic Court of New Orleans shall extend to the law and the facts and shall be tried upon the records made and the evidence offered in traffic court.

Proposed law provides that such appeals to the traffic court shall be made within 30 days from the date of decision.

Proposed law provides that the traffic court shall have de novo review over such appeals.

Proposed law requires the traffic court and the First and Second City Court adopt rules for taking, hearing, and deciding appeals related to the traffic violations, and provides that the traffic violations maintain their civil penalty status.

(Adds C.C.P. Arts. 4850.2 and 4857)