h				OFFICE		
Louisiana		I	iscal Note	Fiscal Note Or	n: SB 29	SLS 13RS 291
Legiative				Bill Text Version		SLS 13K5 291
Fiscally				Opp. Chamb. Action		
				Proposed Amd		
Fiscill Notes				Sub. Bill For	.:	
Date: Apri	l 24, 2013	6:43 AM		ļ	Author: NEVERS	
Dept./Agy.: Loca	al Government					
Subject: Unfu	unded mandtaes			Α	nalyst: Mary Kat	thryn Drago
exceptions. (2/3 - <u>Current constitutio</u> unfunded mandate local source of rev <u>amendment</u> delete	CA13s1(A)) on provides that not e, unless the legisl enue for the purp es the following ex	it unfunded mand o law or state exe lature appropriate ose. There is a lis ceptions: 1) it wa	cutive order, rule, s funds to the affe t of exceptions to s requested by the	ubdivisions or public or regulation may ected political subdiv this mandate. <u>The</u> e political subdivisio	become effective vision or until a la proposed constil	e if it is an aw provides for a tutional
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne	impact on the affection of the systems is a proposed constitution of the proposed construction of the p	ected political sub llowed unless fund utional amendme led to comply with	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate,	pted by 2/3 of the l constitution mandal d for such purpose. wing exceptions: 1 , 4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law re	There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne	impact on the affection of the systems is a proposed constitution of the proposed construction of the p	ected political sub llowed unless fund utional amendme led to comply with	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate,	constitution mandat d for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 4) was an instrum	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has	impact on the affection of the systems is a proposed constitution of the proposed construction of the p	ected political sub- llowed unless fund utional amendmend led to comply with l impact on school	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M	constitution mandat d for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 , 4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law re	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system.
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES	impact on the affection of the systems is a proposed constitution of the p	ected political sub- llowed unless fund utional amendmen led to comply with l impact on school	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M	constitution mandal d for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law re 2016-17	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account 2017-18	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES State Gen. Fd.	impact on the affection of the systems is a <u>e proposed constit</u> w crime, 3) intenci insignificant fisca <u>2013-14</u> \$0	ected political sub- llowed unless func- utional amendmen led to comply with l impact on school 2014-15 \$0	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M <u>2015-16</u> SEE BELOW	constitution mandat d for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 , 4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law re <u>2016-17</u> SEE BELOW	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account 2017-18 SEE BELOW	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL \$0
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen.	impact on the affection of the affection systems is a proposed constitution of the proposed constitutio	ected political sub- llowed unless fund utional amendmend led to comply with l impact on school 2014-15 \$0 \$0	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M <u>2015-16</u> SEE BELOW \$0	constitution mandated for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 , 4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law reserve <u>2016-17</u> SEE BELOW \$0	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account 2017-18 SEE BELOW \$0	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL \$0 \$0
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen. Ded./Other	impact on the affection of the affection systems is a proposed constitute work of the proposed constitute work of the proposed constitute work of the proposed constitute of the proposed constited constitute of the proposed constitute of	ected political sub- llowed unless func- utional amendmen led to comply with l impact on school 2014-15 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M <u>2015-16</u> SEE BELOW \$0 \$0	constitution mandated for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 (4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law reserve 2016-17 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account <u>2017-18</u> SEE BELOW \$0 \$0	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen. Ded./Other Federal Funds	impact on the affection systems is a proposed constitution of the proposed	ected political sub- llowed unless fund utional amendme led to comply with l impact on school 2014-15 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M 2015-16 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	constitution mandated for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 (4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law rest 2016-17 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account 2017-18 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen. Ded./Other Federal Funds Local Funds	impact on the affection systems is a proposed constitution of the proposed	ected political sub- llowed unless fund utional amendmend led to comply with l impact on school 2014-15 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M 2015-16 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	constitution mandated for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 (4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law rest 2016-17 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account 2017-18 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen. Ded./Other Federal Funds Local Funds Annual Total	impact on the affection of the affection of systems is a exproposed constitute work of the construction of	ected political sub- llowed unless fund utional amendmend led to comply with l impact on school 2014-15 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M 2015-16 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	constitution mandated for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 (4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law reserve 2016-17 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account 2017-18 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ne legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen. Ded./Other Federal Funds Local Funds Annual Total REVENUES	impact on the affection of the affection of systems is a proposed constitute work of the construction of t	ected political sub- llowed unless fund utional amendmend led to comply with l impact on school 2014-15 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M 2015-16 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	constitution mandated for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 (4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law reserve 2016-17 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account 2017-18 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0
insignificant fiscal expenditures by so this mandate. <u>The</u> 2) law defining ner legislature, 5) has EXPENDITURES State Gen. Fd. Agy. Self-Gen. Ded./Other Federal Funds Local Funds Annual Total REVENUES State Gen. Fd.	impact on the affection of the affection of systems is a <u>proposed constit</u> w crime, 3) intendinsignificant fisca 2013-14 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	ected political sub- llowed unless fund utional amendmend led to comply with l impact on school 2014-15 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	division. Current of ds are appropriate <u>nt</u> deletes the follo federal mandate, system, 5) the M 2015-16 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 <u>\$0</u> \$0 <u>\$0</u>	constitution mandated for such purpose. owing exceptions: 1 (4) was an instrum FP, or 6) any law rest 2016-17 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	tes that no law re There is a list o) law requested l ent adopted by 2 elative to account 2017-18 SEE BELOW \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	equiring increased of exceptions to by school system, 2/3 of the tability system. 5 -YEAR TOTAL \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

Local Funds

Annual Total

\$0

\$0

The proposed constitutional amendment would further restrict the state from passing unfunded mandates along to political subdivisions and city, parish, or local school systems. Any future law or state executive order, rule, or regulation considered that has a significant fiscal impact and does not meet the exceptions left in the constitution, may require the state to find the resources to implement or may not be enacted.

<u>\$0</u>

\$0

\$0

\$0

<u>\$0</u>

\$0

\$0

\$0

The proposed constitutional amendment will be placed on the ballot at the statewide election to be held on 11/4/14. There should be no fiscal impact related to elections as the Secretary of State currently budgets for up to 10 constitutional amendments for the fall statewide elections.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>\$0</u>

\$0

