

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE **Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: SB **254** SLS 13RS 677

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For .:

Date: April 28, 2013 1:50 PM **Author: CLAITOR**

Dept./Agy.: Revenue

Analyst: Greg Albrecht **Subject:** Procurement Processing Company Rebate Program

TAX/TAXATION OR NO IMPACT See Note Page 1 of 1

Repeals the Procurement Processing Company Rebate Program. (gov sig)

Act 800 of the 2012 session authorized the state to contract with procurement processing companies that generate transactions subject to state sales tax. A portion of the associated sales tax is to be rebated back to the procurement processing company. The State's net proceeds are dedicated to a variety purposes and support the general fund.

Proposed law repeals the program.

Effective upon governor's signature.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2013-14</u>	2014-15	<u>2015-16</u>	<u>2016-17</u>	<u>2017-18</u>	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

Change {S&H}

Successful implementation of the program could net the state additional sales tax revenue. However, if sales that were already going to be subject to state sales tax were routed through the program, implementation could cost the state revenue. The LFO has been informed that a contract has recently been entered into with a company to carry out the program, but details of that agreement have not been received by the LFO. No expectation of revenue receipts from the program have been incorporated into the official revenue forecasts, and no transactions/receipts activity have been reported by the Revenue Department. Thus, the bill has no effect on the current official revenue forecast baseline.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>	John D. Capater
13.5.1 >= \$10	00,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S8	$\{H\}$ \square 6.8(F) >= \$500,000 Annual Fiscal Cost $\{S\}$	
13.5.2 >= \$50	00,000 Annual Tax or Fee	\Box 6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	John D. Carpenter

or a Net Fee Decrease {S}

Legislative Fiscal Officer