Regular Session, 2013

HOUSE BILL NO. 691

1

BY REPRESENTATIVES FANNIN AND KLECKLEY AND SENATORS ALARIO AND DONAHUE

APPROPRIATIONS: Appropriates funds for the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary for Fiscal Year 2013-2014

AN ACT

2	To appropriate funds to defray the expenses of the Louisiana Judiciary, including the
3	Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, District Courts, Criminal District Court of Orleans
4	Parish, and other courts; and to provide for related matters.
5	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
6	Section 1.A. The sum of One Hundred Sixty-Nine Million Two Hundred Forty-Two
7	Thousand Five Hundred Forty-Nine and No/100 (\$169,242,549.00) Dollars, or so much
8	thereof as may be necessary, is hereby appropriated to defray the expenses of the judiciary,
9	including the Supreme Court, Courts of Appeal, the District Courts, the Criminal District
10	Court of Orleans Parish, and of the other courts.
11	B. The total amount herein appropriated is hereby allocated to provide as follows:
12	03-8170 SUPREME COURT
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Program Description: The Supreme Court has general supervisory jurisdiction over all lower courts. It may establish procedural and administrative rules not in conflict with law and may assign a sitting or retired judge to any court. The Supreme Court has sole authority to provide by rule for appointments of attorneys as temporary or ad hoc judges. It considers applications for writs to review individual cases, and has criminal and other appellate jurisdiction. The Supreme Court has exclusive original jurisdiction of disciplinary proceedings against lawyers, recommendations of the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana for the discipline of judges, and fact questions affecting its appellate jurisdiction. It has inherent authority to regulate the legal profession and to promulgate and update the Code of Judicial Conduct. The court also provides judicial training through the Judicial College and works to improve the administration of justice.
25 26 27 28 29	Mission Statement: The mission of the Supreme Court of Louisiana is to protect and promote the rule of law, to ensure public trust, to use public resources efficiently, to ensure the highest professional conduct, integrity, and competence of both the bench and the bar, and to ensure the proper administration and performance of all courts under its authority.
30	Goal: To protect the rule of law.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Objective: To provide a reasonable opportuning Supreme Court of decisions made by lower tri		nts to seek rev	view in the
<i>J</i>	General Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
4 5	Total Filings		2,852	
6	Total Appeals Filed	2,875	2,032	2,769 10
7	Total Appeals Filed	9 2,674	2,613	2,639
Q Q	Total Writs Filed		2,013 2,916	
	Total Dispositions Rendered	2,736	2,910	3,181
9	Goal: To promote the rule of law.			
10 11	Objective: To resolve cases in a timely mann <i>General Performance Information:</i>			
12		2010	2011	2012
13	Percentage of noncriminal case			
14	applications acted on within Supreme	00.007	06.604	02.20/
15	Court standard of 120 days of filing	90.9%	96.6%	93.3%
16	Percentage of criminal case applications			
17	acted on within Supreme Court			
18	standard of 120 days of filing	39.9%	40.4%	45.9%
19	Percentage of pro se post conviction			
20	applications acted on within Supreme			
21	Court standard of 120 days of filing	7.7%	5.2%	8.5%
22	Percentage of bar disciplinary filings			
23	acted upon within Supreme Court			
24 25	standard of 120 days of filing	76.5%	98.7%	88.9%
25	Percentage of opinions rendered within			
26	Supreme Court standard of 84 days			
27	from argument	83.7%	64.4%	80.6%
28				
	Goal: To ensure the public trust.	_		
29	Objective: To facilitate public access to Supr	reme Court	decisions.	
30	General Performance Information:			
31		2010	2011	2012
32	Percentage of written opinions available			
33	to the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%
34				
<i>J</i> .				
35	Objective: To inform the public of operation	s and activi	ties.	
35 36	Objective: To inform the public of operation <i>General Performance Information:</i>			
35 36 37	General Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
35 36 37 38	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs	2010 51	2011 63	58
35 36 37 38 39	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions	2010 51 87	2011 63 83	58 77
35 36 37 38 39 40	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters	2010 51	2011 63	58
35 36 37 38 39 40 41	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on	2010 51 87 22	2011 63 83 21	58 77 15
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	2010 51 87	2011 63 83	58 77
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	General Performance Information: Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on	2010 51 87 22 1,219	2011 63 83 21 1,498	58 77 15 1,663
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions	2010 51 87 22	2011 63 83 21	58 77 15
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	58 77 15 1,663 3,672
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench.	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	58 77 15 1,663 3,672
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 attegrity, and contact	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information:	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876	58 77 15 1,663 3,672
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 attegrity, and contact	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 ategrity, and co	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 attegrity, and contact	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and co	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 ategrity, and co	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and co	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and contact 2011 30.20 561	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and co	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and contact 2011 30.20 561	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bar.	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and contact 2011 30.20 561	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586 526 conduct, in	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 ttegrity, and co	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537 619 competence
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bar. General Performance Information:	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and contact 2011 30.20 561	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586 526 conduct, in	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and constant of the stegrity of the stegri	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537 619 competence 2012
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per lawyer	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586 526 conduct, in 2010 15.04	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 ttegrity, and co 2011 30.20 561 562 ttegrity, and co 2011 15.36	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537 619 competence 2012 15.24
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per lawyer Number of complaints filed against lawyers	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586 526 conduct, in	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 stegrity, and constant of the stegrity of the stegri	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537 619 competence 2012
35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62	Number of outreach programs Number of media releases on court decisions Number of media releases on other matters Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on court decisions Number of recipients of releases on other matters Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bench. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per judge Number of complaints filed against judges and justices of the peace Number of complaints against judges and justices of the peace resolved or disposed of in the calendar year Objective: To ensure the highest professional of the bar. General Performance Information: Average number of hours acquired through continuing legal education per lawyer	2010 51 87 22 1,219 5,806 conduct, in 2010 27.19 586 526 conduct, in 2010 15.04	2011 63 83 21 1,498 2,876 ttegrity, and co 2011 30.20 561 562 ttegrity, and co 2011 15.36	58 77 15 1,663 3,672 competence 2012 29.35 537 619 competence 2012 15.24

1 Payable out of the State General Fund (Direct): 2 01 Salaries of one (1) Chief Justice and six (6) 3 Associate Justices of the Supreme Court, 4 as provided by R.S. 13:102 \$ 970,480 5 02 Salaries and other expenses of the Supreme 6 Court Proper and salary of the Crier of the Supreme Court \$ 9,432,817 7 03 Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office and of the 8 Judiciary Commission provided for in Article V, Section 25 9 of the Constitution of Louisiana and under the provisions 10 of R.S. 13:32 et seq. 11 A. Expenses of Judicial Administrator's Office 4,777,022 12 Program Description: The Judicial Administrator's Office assists the Supreme 13 Court in the administration of the state court system. It staffs the Judicial Council 14 15 16 17 18 19 and the Judiciary Commission of Louisiana. Through the Judicial Council, it performs studies and makes recommendations for the creation of new judgeships and for improving the administration of justice. The Judicial Administrator's Office provides payroll and other fiscal services to the Judiciary, including the administration of a judicial retirement system; support for the Supreme Court and Appellate Court human resource system; technological services to courts; and, 20 21 22 manages the Trial Court Case Management Information System. The Judicial Administrator's Office also manages the ad hoc judgeship system, monitors cases under advisement, provides outreach services to state and local courts, staffs the Committee on Judicial Ethics, and performs numerous legal services for the Supreme Court and the Judiciary. 25 1,926,519 B. Expenses of Judiciary Commission **Program Description:** The Judiciary Commission of Louisiana is a constitutional body established under Article V, Section 25 of the Constitution of 1974 to accept, screen, investigate, and prosecute complaints of judicial misconduct. As part of its authority, it may recommend to the Supreme Court the censure, suspension, removal from office, or involuntary retirement of any judge for ethical misconduct. 31 C. Court Reporters; Statistical Reporting Systems \$ 359,357 32 D. Dues to National Center for State Courts \$ 148,690 33 04 Expenses of Committee on Professional Ethics and 34 Grievances including disbarment proceedings, 35 3,000 R.S. 37:211 et seq. \$ 36 05 Compensation and expenses of retired judges assigned 37 under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution of 38 Louisiana, be it more or less estimated at \$ 1,464,995 39 06 Law Library of Louisiana for salaries, 40 services, supplies, maintenance, repairs, 41 and equipment 1,913,188

		ENKULLED
1 2 3	Program Description: The Law Library of Louisiana was created by an Acof the Legislature in 1855 to serve the legal information needs of the public, th state judiciary, and the bar.	
4 5 6 7	07 Salaries and expenses of transferred judges assigned under Article V, Section 5(A) of the Constitution, be it more or less estimated as	182,012
8 9 10 11	08 Retirement pay for services rendered by justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1358 and R.S. 13:103	1,398,390
12 13 14 15	09 Pensions for widows of justices and judges of all courts, as provided by R.S. 11:1371 and R.S. 11:1381, be it more or less estimated at	1,609,481
16	10 Judicial College \$	234,310
17 18	Program Description: The Judicial College was established by order of the Supreme Court in 1976 to provide continuing legal education to Louisiana judges	
19 20 21 22	11 State contribution to judicial retirement provided for in Article V, Section 23 of the Constitution and R.S. 11:551 et seq., be it more or	
23	less estimated at \$	14,561,372
24 25	12 Civil commitment matters as required by R.S. 28:54 \$	143,424
26 27	13 Paul M. Hebert Law Center for the expenses of storage of appellate court records \$	60,000
28 29 30 31	14 Funding for statewide operations of the Louisiana Protective Order Registry (R.S. 46:2136.2) under the Case Management Information System \$	1,318,704
32	15 Information Technology \$	1,037,647
33 34 35	16 Payable out of the State General Fund for the expenses associated with the operation of the Families in Need of Services Program (FINS) \$	2,037,299
36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Program Description: The mission of the FINS Assistance Program is to assist local FINS processes by developing and implementing a needs-based allocation formula; developing, implementing, and mandating the use of a uniform data system for tracking, managing, and reporting FINS informal cases; developing and mandating the use of programmatic standards; developing, implementing, and reporting performance indicators and measures; requiring and monitoring periodic fiscal reports and financial accountability; and, generally supervising and assisting local FINS processes in other ways.	n n d d
44	17 Drug court maintenance and enhancement	\$ 11,137,372
45 46 47 48 49 50	Program Description: Drug treatment courts, authorized in 1997 by R.S. 13:530 through R.S. 13:5304, provided integrated substance abuse treatment, sanctions, and incentives with case processing to place low-level, nonviolent drug-involved defendants in community-based, judicially supervised rehabilitation programs Clients are regularly tested and monitored for compliance with educational employment, and treatment requirements set by the court.	d d s.
51	18 Court Appointed Special Advocates <u>\$</u>	2,519,048

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Program Description: The purpose of the CASA Assistance Program is to prome timely placement of children in need of care in permanent, safe and stable how in accordance with the provisions of Children's Code articles 424-426. Services provided through local CASA programs which recruit, screen, train and supervocommunity advocates. Upon appointment by the trial judge, qualified advocates serve children by providing independent factual information to the judge, advocate for the best interest of the children, monitoring cases to which they have be assigned, and advising and assisting the judge in the determination of the beinterest of the children involved.	nes, are vise ates ting een	
10	TOTAL - GENERAL FUND	\$	57,235,127
11 12 13 14 15	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund, R. S. 13:10.3, be it more or less estimated at	\$	6,000,000
16 17 18 19 20	Program Description: The Judges' Supplemental Compensation Fund vestablished by the Legislature in 1985 to fund salary supplements and salary-relaexpenses to judges and commissioners. The funding source is a non-refundatiling fee assessed on civil filings as provided in R.S. 13:10.3.	ıted	
21 22 23 24 25 26	Payable out of the State General Fund from Statutory Dedications, Trial Court Case Management Information Fund, for the Case Management Information System, Article 887 (F) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, be it more or less estimated at	\$	3,797,469
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Program Description: The Case Management Information System (CMIS) we created by the Supreme Court in 1993 to provide a statewide information system tracking and managing criminal, civil, juvenile, traffic, and appellate cases as we as protective orders. Data is received from courts statewide, transferred to CMIS repository, and made available to courts and executive branch agence. Additional information will also be available from the Department of Public Say & Corrections. CMIS is funded from a court cost assessed on all criminal attraffic convictions as provided under C.Cr.P.887(F).	was for well the ies. fety	
35 36	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND BY STATUTORY DEDICATIONS	<u>\$</u>	9,797,469
37 38 39 40	Drug court maintenance and enhancement, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and Family Services	\$	6,000,000
41 42 43 44	Court Appointed Special Advocates, payable out of the State General Fund through Interagency Transfers from the Department of Children and Family Services	\$	4,436,500
45 46	TOTAL - STATE GENERAL FUND THROUGH INTERAGENCY TRANSFERS	<u>\$</u>	10,436,500
47	TOTAL SUPREME COURT	<u>\$</u>	77,469,096
48	03-8171 COURTS OF APPEAL		
49 50 51 52 53 54	Program Description: The five courts of appeal, domiciled in Baton Rou Shreveport, Lake Charles, New Orleans, and Gretna, have supervisory jurisdict over all cases arising within their respective circuits, subject to the gene supervisory jurisdiction of the Supreme Court. Each court of appeal also appellate jurisdiction over all civil matters, all matters appealed from family of juvenile courts, and all criminal cases triable by a jury which arise within its circ	tion eral has and	

1 2	except j courts.	for those cases appealable directly to	the Supreme	Court or to	the district	
3 4 5 6 7	meanin supervi	n Statement: The mission of the appe gful access to all who seek review sory jurisdiction granted by the Louisiing the rule of law, preserving the puttly.	v under the ana Constitut	Courts' app ion while pro	ellate and tecting and	
8	Goal:	To protect the rule of law.				
9 10 11 12	decision	ive: To provide a reasonable opposits made by lower tribunals. Il Performance Information:	2010	multi-judge : 2011	2012	
13		ppeals filed	2,587	2,838	2,689	
14		rits filed	5,102	4,987	4,499	
15	Total d	ispositions rendered	6,530	5,277	6,240	
16	Goal:	To promote the rule of law.				
17 18		ive: To resolve cases expeditiously. <i>Il Performance Information:</i>				
19			2010	2011	2012	
20		e number of days from lodging of the				
21		to argument:				
22		tandard = no more than 175 days.	1.15		100	
23		al cases	145	145	189	
24	Civil C		159	175	161	
25	Median	number of days for all cases	152	155	172	
26 27		e number of days from argument to ng of the opinion:				
28	Time Si	tandard = no more than 70 days.				
29		al cases	39	41	37	
30	Civil ca		37	37	41	
31	Median	number of days for all cases	38	38	38	
32	Goal:	To preserve public trust.				
33	Object	ive: To facilitate public access to the	decisions of	the courts of	appeal.	
34		l Performance Information:				
35			2010	2011	2012	
36	Percent	tage of written opinions available				
37	to	the public within 5 days of decision	100%	100%	100%	
38	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:				
39	01	Salaries of five (5) Chief Judg				
40 41		and forty-eight (48) Judges of the Courts of Appeal, R.S. 13:			\$	6,949,328
42 43 44	02	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A			\$	0.691.002
44		First Circuit			Ф	9,681,993
45 46	03	Salaries and expenses of opera maintenance of the Court of A				
47		Second Circuit	rr - ***'		\$	5,561,156

	HB NO. 6	91			<u>]</u>	ENROLLED
1 2 3	04	Salaries and expenses of open maintenance of the Court of A Third Circuit			\$	8,457,126
4 5 6	05	Salaries and expenses of open maintenance of the Court of A Fourth Circuit			\$	7,701,037
7 8 9	06	Salaries and expenses of open maintenance of the Court of A Fifth Circuit			\$	<u>5,719,937</u>
10	TOTAL C	COURTS OF APPEAL			\$ 4	14,070,577
11	03-8172	DISTRICT COURTS				
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	general judicial juvenile Orlean. juvenile jurisdic courts. tried by The dis parishe has jur Orlean. general Parish. many d Baton F	m Description: There are forty-one ly jurisdiction over all matters within ly districts (the 1st, the 19th, and the 24 ecourts have exclusive jurisdiction of separate ly purisdictions, respectively. In a crition courts have concurrent jurisdiction. The district courts generally have a criticity, parish, municipal, traffic, and restrict courts also have appellate juries where no parish courts exist. The isdiction of all civil cases in that pass Parish has jurisdiction over all critics and supervisory jurisdiction over the matter than the form the Family Court of East Baton Roumestic cases in the parish. The four Rouge, Jefferson, and Orleans parished their respective parishes.	their territorial th Judicial District Judicial District Ver certain types courts exercising ertain cases, the conwith justices of the pellate jurisdiction over justish. The Crimminal cases in the unicipal and trage Parish has expuvenile courts less have exclusive of the period of	limits, except in sicts) where family of cases and except country one general parties of the peace and parties of the peace and parties of the peace and District Courts of Orleans Parish. It also of the parish. It also of the parish of the	those y and ept in , and neral arish cases cases. ce in arish art of o has leans ion of East eenile	
31 32 33 34 35	access i provide indeper	n Statement: The mission of the tree to justice, to meet all responsibilities is equality, fairness, and integrity in the indence and accountability, and to rear rocedural and substantive law, thereby	in a timely and ex neir proceedings, ach a fair and jus	speditious manne , to maintain judi t result by adhere	r, to icial ence	
36	Goal:	To establish a more open and accessi	ible system of ju	stice.		
37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Genera Percent judges to impr	ive: To encourage responsible pathe, and convenient. Il Performance Information: tage of surveyed district court chief indicating actions taken in FY 2011-ove compliance withthe Americans wittes Act (ADA)	2010 2012	2011 91.7%	2012 89.6%	6
45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53	Object the cost in terms and afform General Percent judges	ive: To encourage all responsible publis of access to the trial court's proceed of money, time, or the procedures the ordable. If Performance Information: Itage of surveyed district court chief indicating actions taken in FY 2011-tr self-represented litigants	dings and records at must be follow 2010	s - whether measi	ured	6
54 55		To meet all responsibilities to ever es in a timely and expeditious manne		y the court and	its	
56 57		ive: To encourage timely case mana lel Performance Information:	gement and proc	essing.		
58 59 60	Numbe	r of parishes reporting criminal position data to CMIS	2010 62	2011 62	201263	

dis Percen jud tal de	sposition data to CMIS tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their courts had ken steps within FY 2011-2012 to redu lays and improve the timeliness of cas		97.0% 91.7%		.4%
Genero Percen juo	al Performance Information: tage of surveyed district court chief dges indicating that their court had	2010 e	2011	20	12
jur Goal:	ry service more convenient or effective To provide due process and equal p	97.7% protection of the		o have	.0%
operati	ons as necessary.	emerging eve	nts and to adjus	t court	
Genera	u Performance Information:	2010	2011	20	12
FY tra	2011-2012 to improve employee aning and development	87.5%	93.8%	89	.6%
FY	7 2011-2012 to install or implement	95.8%	93.8%	91.	.7%
				nity	
Object	tive: To inform the community of the	court's structur	e and function.		
-	-			20	10
juo rez an	dges indicating that their courts gularly provided public education d public outreach services in	97.9%	91.7%		.6%
Payable o	out of the State General Fund:				
01				\$	23,787,827
02	-			\$	1,285,850
03				\$	1,743,611
04	Court, Parish of Orleans, for s of stenographers, clerks, law b	alaries ooks,		\$	80,500
05	of the Twentieth Judicial Distr	rict Court,		\$	109,364
	districted for the percent for	delays and improve the timeliness of cas processing Objective: To enhance jury service. General Performance Information: Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to mak jury service more convenient or effective. Goal: To provide due process and equal pubsiness before the court; and to demonstrate in Objective: To recognize new conditions or operations as necessary. General Performance Information: Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to improve employee training and development Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to install or implement technologies Goal: To maintain judicial independence, whin its governmental relations and accountability objective: To inform the community of the General Performance Information: Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2011-2012 Payable out of the State General Fund: 01 Salaries of one hundred ninety (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691 02 Office and travel expenses of Judges as provided by R.S. 13 R.S. 13:694, respectively 03 Salaries of fourteen (14) Judge Civil District Court, Orleans Fas provided by R.S. 13:691 04 Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Orleans, for sof stenographers, clerks, law be stationery, telephone, and like as provided by R.S. 13:698 05 Salaries of two (2) Court Rept of the Twentieth Judicial District Including retirement contribution including retirement contribution including retirement contribution including retirement contributions including retirement contributions including retirement contributions.	Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing 97.9% Objective: To enhance jury service. General Performance Information: 2010 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to make jury service more convenient or effective 97.7% Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all properties. General Performance Information: 2010 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to improve employee training and development 87.5% Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to install or implement technologies 95.8% Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the in its governmental relations and accountability to the public objective: To inform the community of the court's structur General Performance Information: 2010 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2011-2012 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2011-2012 Payable out of the State General Fund: 01 Salaries of one hundred ninety-one (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively 03 Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:691 04 Expenses of Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698	disposition data to CMIS Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts had taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing Objective: To enhance jury service. General Performance Information: 2010 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to make jury service more convenient or effective 97.7% 95.3% Goal: To provide due process and equal protection of the law to all wh business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decompendations as necessary. General Performance Information: 2010 2011 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to improve employee training and development Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to improve employee training and development Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to install or implement technologies Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of corin its governmental relations and accountability to the public. Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function. General Performance Information: 2010 2011 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2011-2012 Payable out of the State General Fund: 01 Salaries of one hundred ninety-one (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively 03 Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698 05 Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of the Twentieth Judicial District Court, including retirement contributions, as	disposition data to CMIS Percentage of surveyed district court shed taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to reduce delays and improve the timeliness of case processing Objective: To enhance jury service. General Performance Information: 2010 2011 20 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their court had taken steps within FY 2011-2012 to make jury service more convenient or effective 10 provide due process and equal protection of the law to all who have business before the court; and to demonstrate integrity in all procedures and decisions. Objective: To recognize new conditions or emerging events and to adjust court operations as necessary. General Performance Information: 2010 2011 20 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to improve employee training and development Ferentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating actions taken in FY 2011-2012 to improve employee training and development technologies Goal: To maintain judicial independence, while observing the principle of comity in its governmental relations and accountability to the public. Objective: To inform the community of the court's structure and function. General Performance Information: 2010 2011 20 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2011-2012 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges indicating that their courts regularly provided public education and public outreach services in FY 2011-2012 Percentage of surveyed district court chief judges and provided by R.S. 13:694, respectively Salaries of one hundred ninety-one (191) District Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively Salaries of fourteen (14) Judges of Civil District Court, Parish of Orleans, for salaries of stenographers, clerks, law books, stationery, telephone, and like expenses as provided by R.S. 13:698 Salaries of two (2) Court Reporters of th

HB NO. 691

	HB NO. (591		ENROLLED
1 2	06	Clerk of Civil District Court, Orleans Parish, as provided by R.S. 13:1212(A)	\$	10,000
3 4 5 6	07	State share of Group, Workers' Compensation, General Liability, and Property Insurance Premiums as provided by R.S.42:851	\$	5,652,873
7 8 9 10 11 12	08	Salaries of two (2) commissioners of the Nineteenth Judicial District and one (1) commissioner of the Fifteenth Judicial District as provided by R.S. 13:712 and R.S. 13:715, respectively	\$	474,997
13 14 15 16	09	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:711-713	\$	452,388
17 18 19 20	10	Office expenses for the Judicial Expense Fund of the Fifteenth Judicial District Court as provided by R.S. 13:714-716	\$	282,306
21 22	11	Law Clerk, Twentieth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 747 of 1977	\$	47,968
23 24 25	12	For the expenses of the Judicial Expense Fund, Tenth Judicial District Court as provided by Act 57 of 2006	\$	35,000
26	SUBT	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	33,962,684
27	13	Criminal Court - Parish of Orleans		
28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36	exclusi offense law in its com the pov to hold of all c	am Description: The Criminal District Court for the Parish of Orlive jurisdiction of the trial and punishment of all crimes, misdemean as committed within the parish of Orleans, if the jurisdiction is not a some other court. The court, through its magistrate and with assistance of missioners, has the power of committing magistrates in all felony changer to hold preliminary examinations, with authority to bail or disciplified for trial, in all cases before the court. The court has appellate juricases tried before the Municipal Court of New Orleans and the Traffor Orleans and has general supervisory jurisdiction over these courts.	nors, and vested by nce from arges and harge, or frisdiction fic Court	
37 38 39	A.	Salaries of thirteen (13) District Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,619,067
40 41	В.	Office expenses of Judges of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish as provided by R.S. 13:698	\$	74,750
42 43	C.	State's share of group insurance for the personnel of Criminal Court as provided by R.S. 42:851	\$	604,800
44 45	D.	Salaries of thirteen (13) minute clerks as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	272,611
46 47	E.	Salaries of twenty-six (26) court reporters as provided by R.S. 13:1373.1	\$	432,379

	HB NO. 6	591		ENROLLED
1 2 3	F.	Salaries of four (4) commissioners of Criminal Court, Orleans Parish, including related benefits as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	422,706
4 5	G.	Office and travel expenses of commissioners as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	10,000
6 7	Н.	Salaries of four (4) minute clerks, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	69,921
8 9	I.	Salaries of four (4) court reporters, one for each commissioner as provided by R.S. 13:1347	\$	52,710
10 11	J.	Salaries of Judicial Administrator, and assistants, including related benefits	\$	901,284
12	K.	Salaries of thirteen (13) law clerks	\$	699,327
13	L.	Salaries of four (4) secretaries	\$	202,659
14	M.	Sanity Commissions	\$	165,221
15	N.	Board of Jury Commissioners	<u>\$</u>	406,841
16	SUBT	TOTAL	<u>\$</u>	5,934,276
17	14	Juvenile and Family Court Judges		
18 19	A.	Salaries of fourteen (14) Juvenile Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	1,743,611
20 21	В.	Salaries of four (4) Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:691	\$	498,175
22 23 24	C.	Office and travel expenses of Juvenile and Family Court Judges as provided by R.S. 13:698 and R.S. 13:694, respectively	<u>\$</u>	103,500
25	SUBT	TOTAL	\$	2,345,286
26	TOTA	AL DISTRICT COURTS	<u>\$</u>	42,242,246
27 28		OTHER COURTS - SALARIES AND OFFICE UIRED BY STATUTE	EXP	ENSES AS
29 30 31	municij	nm Description: The category includes forty-seven city courts pal court (New Orleans), one traffic court (New Orleans), and one pascension Parish).		
32 33 34 35 36 37	provide manner judicia adhere	n Statement: The mission of the city and parish courts of Louisiand e access to justice, to meet all responsibilities in a timely and expedit, to provide equality, fairness and integrity in their proceedings, to mad independence and accountability, and to reach a fair and just response to the procedural and substantive law, thereby instilling trustence in the public.	litious intain cult by	
38	Goal:	To establish a more open and accessible system of justice.		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the cos terms o afforda Genera Percent jud	ive: To encourage all responsible public be ts of access to the court's proceedings and f money, time, or the procedures that must be ble. If Performance Information: tage of surveyed city/parish court chief liges indicating actions taken in 2011-2012 to assist pro se litigants	l records - whether	r measured in	2012 96.2%
10 11		To meet all responsibilities to everyone affectivities in a timely and expeditious manner.	ected by the court	and	
12 13 14		ive: To encourage timely case management Performance Information:	nt and processing.	2011	2012
15 16 17 18 19	jud tak del	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief lges indicating that their courts had en steps within FY 2011-2012 to reduce lays and improve the timeliness of case			
19	pro	ocessing	88.5%	90.4%	86.5%
20 21		To maintain judicial independence, while obvernmental relations and accountability to		ple of comity	
22 23 24 25 26 27		ive: To inform the community of the court al Performance Information:	s's structure and fur	nction.	
24	Genera	a 1 erjormance injormation.	2010	2011	2012
25 26		tage of surveyed city/parish court chief lges indicating that their courts regularly			
27 27		ovided public education and public			
28	out	treach services in FY 2011-2012	94.2%	90.4%	88.5%
29	Object	ive: To recognize new conditions or emer	rging events and to	o adjust court	
29 30 31 32 33 34 35	operation	ons as necessary.		J	
31 32	Genera	ll Performance Information:	2010	2011	2012
33	Percent	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief	2010	2011	2012
34		lges indicating actions taken in			
35 36		2011-2012 to improve employee ining and development	94.2%	90.4%	92.3%
37	Percent	tage of surveyed city/parish court chief			
38 39		lges indicating actions taken in ' 2011-2012 to install or implement			
40		hnologies	88.5%	90.4%	86.5%
41	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:			
42	01	Salaries of sixty (60) City Court			
43	01	Judges as provided by R.S. 13:187	5	\$	2,412,714
44	02	Salaries of four (4) Municipal, fou	ır (4)		
45 46		Traffic and one (1) Parish Court	12		
47		Judges as provided by R.S. 13:249 13:2501.1, and 13:2563.5, respecti		\$	389,156
48	TOTAL C	OTHER COURTS REQUIRED BY	STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	2,801,870
49 50	03-8174	OTHER COURTS - SALARII REQUIRED BY STATUTE	ES AND OFF	FICE EXPE	ENSES NOT
51	Payable o	ut of the State General Fund:			
52 53	01	Orleans Parish Juvenile Protective Care Monitoring Program	;	\$	563,937
54 55 56	cases in	am Description : The program tracks and m in the Orleans Parish Juvenile Court. It also Families in Need of Services Program.			
57	02	Orleans Parish Juvenile Court Rep	orters	\$	87,785

ENR	OI	I.	\mathbf{ED}
131 111	$\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{L}}$	4	

1 2	03	For the expenses of the Judges' Assistance Program	\$	30,564
3 4		am Description: The Judges' Assistance Program provides counselessistance to judges with substance abuse problems.	ing and	
5	TOTAL	OTHER COURTS NOT REQUIRED BY STATUTE	<u>\$</u>	682,286
6	03-8175	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES		
7	Payable of	out of the State General Fund:		
8 9	01	Legal representation of children in child protection cases	\$	1,976,474
10 11 12 13	in Chii order fundin	am Description: As recommended by the Task Force on Legal Represed Protection Cases and at the request of the Division of Administratio advance the administration of justice, the Supreme Court adming to provide qualified legal representation for children in child property to fulfill the state's statutory responsibility.	ition, in inisters	
15	TOTAL 1	NON-JUDICIAL STATE EXPENSES	<u>\$</u>	1,976,474
16	Section	on 2. The appropriations, and the allocations of such appr	ropriatio	ons, from the
17	State Gen	neral Fund (Direct) contained in Section 1 of this Act shall	be reduc	ed by a total
18	amount o	f One Million Six Hundred Sixty-Nine Thousand Six Hu	ındred S	eventy-Two
19	and No/1	100 (\$1,669,672.00) Dollars, pursuant to a plan adop	ted by	the Judicial
20	Budgetar	y Control Board or as approved by the Louisiana Suprem	e Court.	
21	Section	on 3.A. The Chief Justice of the Supreme Court, or her	duly aut	horized and
22	appointed	d agent, shall warrant the state treasurer for the allocations	s herein	provided, or
23	for so mu	ch thereof as may be necessary. The aforesaid warrant sh	all be pa	id out of the
24	state gene	eral fund, and the state treasurer shall pay said warrant by	y prefere	ence over all
25	other war	rants, except warrants for the salaries of constitutional off	icers of	the state and
26	warrants	for expenses of the legislature, which shall be concurred	ent with	the warrant
27	provided	by this Act.		
28	В. Т	The funds drawn as provided herein shall be deposited	in the 1	name of the
29	judiciary	in an approved bank that has been selected by the Supreme	: Court a	nd is located
30	in the star	te.		
31	C. A	ny funds herein allocated to the judiciary, any portion of	the fund	s previously
32	appropria	ted to the judiciary, other revenue of the judiciary or its a	gencies,	and interest
33	earnings	are hereby appropriated and may be used to defray t	he expe	enses of the
34	judiciary;	however, all funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered	ed shall t	e returnable
35	to the star	te general fund on or before September 1, 2014.		

30

later.

1	D. For Fiscal Year 2013-2014, any surpluses occurring in the appropriations made
2	in this Act may be transferred from one agency or line-item to another during the fiscal
3	year in accordance with the rules of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or as
4	approved by the Supreme Court.
5	E. The adjustment to be made in the salaries of judicial employees and the number
6	of authorized positions of the judiciary shall be as decided by the judicial agency
7	affected, subject to the approval of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board or as approved
8	by the Supreme Court.
9	F. The program descriptions, general performance information and indicators,
10	objectives, goals, and mission statements contained in this Act are not part of the law and
11	are not enacted into law by virtue of their inclusion in this Act. The missions, goals, and
12	objectives contained in the Act are derived from performance standards established by
13	Section 10 of Part G General Administrative Rules of the Supreme Court of Louisiana.
14	G. The inclusion in this Act of staff salaries and benefits for lower court or other
15	judicial branch agency employees shall not be deemed to create or impose any obligation
16	upon the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana, the
17	Judicial Budgetary Control Board, or the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's Office
18	relative to the administration of pay, retirement or other benefits to any such employees.
19	Accordingly, the State of Louisiana Judicial Branch, the Supreme Court of Louisiana,
20	the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, and the Supreme Court Judicial Administrator's
21	Office are not to be considered the "employer" or "employing agency" of lower court or
22	other judicial agency employees whose staff salaries and other benefits are included in
23	this Act.
24	Section 4. Salary increases provided by Section 1 of the Act that was introduced as
25	Senate Bill No. 188 of the 2013 Regular Session of the Legislature shall only be effected
26	to the extent that funding is made available in this Act.
27	Section 5. This Act shall become effective on July 1, 2013; if vetoed by the
28	governor and subsequently approved by the legislature, this Act shall become effective
29	on July 1, 2013, or on the day following such approval by the legislature, whichever is

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

In accordance with R.S. 39:51(D), the following represents a comparative statement for each Court and program. The operating budget for FY 2012-2013 is compared to the appropriations for FY 2013-2014 as contained in the original bill.

	Operating Budget	Appropriation	
		Request	
Courts and Programs	FY 2012-2013	FY 2013-2014	
Supreme Court			
Total Supreme Court	\$65,463,068	\$ 67,671,627	
Courts of Appeal			
Total Courts of Appeal	\$42,428,117	\$ 44,070,577	
District Courts			
Total District Courts	\$41,953,795	\$ 42,242,246	
Other Courts			
Total Other Courts	\$2,801,870	\$ 2,801,870	
Other Programs			
Total Other Programs	<u>\$652,084</u>	\$ 682,286	
Total State General Fund			
and Interagency Transfer			
All Line Items	<u>\$153,298,934</u>	<u>\$ 157,468,606</u>	
Total Statutory Dedications	<u>\$9,650,831</u>	\$ 9,797,469	
Total Funding	<u>\$162,949,765</u>	<u>\$ 167,266,075</u>	
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES			
PRESIDENT OF THE SENATE			

GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF LOUISIANA