Existing law (R.S. 14:98) provides for the following with respect to persons convicted of a third or subsequent offense of operating a vehicle while intoxicated:

- (1) On a conviction of a third offense, the offender shall be imprisoned for not less than one year nor more than five years and shall be fined \$2,000. One year of the sentence of imprisonment shall be imposed without benefit of parole, probation, or suspension of sentence.
- On a conviction of a fourth or subsequent offense, the offender shall be imprisoned with or without hard labor for not less than 10 years nor more than 30 years and shall be fined \$5,000. Two years of the sentence of imprisonment shall be imposed without benefit of parole, probation, or suspension of sentence.

<u>Existing law</u> (R.S. 13:5301, et seq.) allows each district court to establish a drug division probation program and authorizes alcohol- or drug-related offenders to participate in such programs when the offender meets certain criteria.

<u>New law</u>, relative to the sentencing for a third or subsequent conviction of operating a vehicle while intoxicated, authorizes the imposition of the minimum mandatory sentence with benefit of parole, probation, or suspension of sentence if the offender is accepted into a drug division probation program.

<u>New law</u> further provides that if the offender has previously participated in a drug division probation program for a third offense of operating a vehicle while intoxicated, the offender shall not be eligible to serve his sentence with the benefit of parole, probation or suspension of sentence pursuant to the provisions of <u>new law</u> for a fourth or subsequent offense, but shall be imprisoned at hard labor for not less than 10 nor more than 30 years, and at least three years of the sentence shall be imposed without benefit of suspension of sentence, probation, or parole.

<u>Prior law</u> provided that a person arrested for operating a vehicle while intoxicated had 15 days to request an administrative hearing following the issuance of a temporary receipt for a driver's license. Further required the Dept. of Public Safety and Corrections to forward the record of the case to the division of administrative law for a hearing within 60 days of the date of the arrest.

<u>New law</u> amends <u>prior law</u> to require DPS&C to forward the record of the case to the division of administrative law for a hearing within 60 days of the date of receipt of the written request for an administrative hearing and extends the time within which to request an administrative hearing <u>from</u> 15 days <u>to</u> 30 days from the date of the arrest.

<u>Prior law</u> required the installation of an ignition interlock device on any motor vehicle operated by the following:

- (1) Any person whose driver's license was suspended after such person refused to submit to a chemical test for intoxication for a second violation of operating a vehicle while intoxicated whose license was suspended in accordance with <u>existing law</u>.
- (2) Any person who submitted to a chemical test where the results indicated a 0.08 percent blood alcohol content level and whose license was suspended for a violation occurring within five years of the first violation.

New law amends prior law by making it applicable to an arrest instead of a "violation".

<u>Existing law</u> requires DPS&C to notify in writing and afford an opportunity for a hearing, upon request, to persons whose driver's license or permit is suspended or who are denied driving privileges. Such hearing is based upon the department's records or other evidence admitted at the hearing, and in the same manner and under the same conditions as is provided in <u>existing law</u> for notification and hearings in the case of suspension of licenses. Provides

that the scope of the hearing is limited. <u>Existing law</u> provides that no law enforcement officer shall be compelled by such person to appear or testify at such hearings.

<u>New law</u> retains <u>existing law</u> and further provides that there shall be a rebuttable presumption that any inconsistencies in evidence submitted by the department and admitted at a license revocation hearing shall be strictly construed in favor of the person regarding the revocation, suspension or denial of license.

Effective June 18, 2013.

(Amends R.S. 13:5304(O), R.S. 14:98(D)(1)(a), (E)(1)(a), and (K)(3)(a), and R.S. 32:667(A)(2) and (3), (B)(intro. para.), (D)(1), (H)(3), and (I)(1)(a) and (b) and 668(A)(intro. para.); Adds R.S. 14:98(D)(4) and (E)(5))