

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB 387** HLS 14RS 905

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.:

Date: March 8, 2014 12:47 PM

Dept./Agy.: Sixteenth Judicial District

Subject: Health Insurance for Retired District Attorneys

Author: HUVAL

Analyst: Kevin Starns

INSURANCE/GROUP-PUBLIC

OR NO IMPACT LF EX See Note

Page 1 of 1

Provides relative to the payment of health insurance premiums for district attorneys

Purpose: This measure requires that 100% of premium costs of group health insurance be paid from the district attorney's general fund for the 16th Judicial District for any district attorney or assistant district attorney who retires with at least 24 years of full-time service, regardless of age, in accordance with health benefits as they exist during the term of retirement of the district attorney or assistant district attorney.

EXPENDITURES	<u>2014-15</u>	<u> 2015-16</u>	2016-17	<u>2017-18</u>	<u> 2018-19</u>	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	<u> 2014-15</u>	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

This measure is not expected to have any fiscal impact on governmental expenditures over the next five years.

Per an official with the 16th Judicial District, this measure will not change the current retirement policy. This bill will serve as protection against health insurance policy changes of new district attorneys taking office by enacting it into statute. Because this legislation will not impact the current retirement policy, it will have no fiscal impact on expenditures.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a results of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	Desire and
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S	8H}	\bigcirc 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}	and the second
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}		6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Joy Irwin Director of Advisory Services