

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL OFFICE Fiscal Note

Fiscal Note On: **HB 867** HLS 14RS 869

Bill Text Version: ORIGINAL

Opp. Chamb. Action:

Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.: REVISED

Date: March 11, 2014

t /Agy · Education

Author: HOFFMANN

Analyst: Jodi Mauroner

Dept./Agy.: Education

TEXTBOOKS/MATERIALS

Subject: Textbooks and instructional materials

Page 1 of

Provides relative to textbooks and other instructional materials for elementary and secondary schools

3:57 PM

The proposed legislation provides a process for the review, adoption, procurement and distribution of free textbooks and instructional materials at public schools and at all other schools under the jurisdiction of the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education (BESE). Requires the review process to be conducted entirely online and allows for public review and comment. Requires the governing authorities to establish review committees, allows public review and input relative to textbooks and instructional materials, and to post responses on the governing authorities website. Requires the purchase and use of electronic textbooks and materials to the maximum extent possible. Requires the Department of Education (DOE) to support efficiencies by providing for bulk purchasing through state contracts whenever possible but allows the governing authority to contract directly with publishers or other content providers. Requires contracts to be awarded on a competitive basis. Requires the DOE to provide for a central depository for textbooks and other instructional materials. Effective upon governor's signature.

OR -\$55,000 GF EX See Note

EXPENDITURES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	(\$55,000)	(\$55,000)	(\$55,000)	(\$55,000)	(\$55,000)	(\$275,000)
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	SEE BELOW					
Annual Total						
REVENUES	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

The proposed legislation could reduce costs for the DOE due to the review process being conducted primarily online. Currently, the cost of a review committee includes travel reimbursements in accordance with the state's travel regulations (PPM 49) for lodging, meals and mileage. High volume submissions may require as many as 3 committees, or 45 evaluators and cost an estimated \$100,000 annually. These costs also include substitute teacher reimbursement costs associated with teachers serving on the review committee. DOE estimates that online reviews will significantly reduce travel costs, but not necessarily eliminate them altogether. Further, there would be costs associated with stipend payments for participating evaluators, although fewer evaluators will be used. Committee review expenditures could be reduced to \$45,000 beginning with FY 14-15. Additionally, utilizing the online process would no longer require providing hard copies of textbooks and instructional materials in libraries around the state and will result in an additional savings.

There could be increased costs for the Local School Systems. It is unknown how many school districts currently utilize review committees as required by the proposed legislation. While the legislation requires review committee evaluations to be posted online, there is no prohibition from the committees holding face to face meetings to conduct the review process. If committee members are reimbursed pursuant to PPM 49 there could be costs associated with these meeting, however, assuming local review committees would be comprised of local educators, parents and stakeholders, those costs are anticipated to be minimal.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

<u>Senate</u>	Dual Referral Rules	<u>House</u>	6.8(F)(1) >= \$100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}	
13.5.1 >= 9	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S	&H}	$6.8(F)(2) >= $500,000 \text{ State Rev. Reduc. } \{H \& S\}$	Degy V. allect
	5500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}		6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Gregory V. Albrecht Chief Economist