HLS 14RS-778 ENGROSSED

Regular Session, 2014

HOUSE BILL NO. 607

1

BY REPRESENTATIVE ABRAMSON

(On Recommendation of the Louisiana State Law Institute)

CIVIL/PROCEDURE: Provides for continuous revision of the Code of Civil Procedure

AN ACT

2	To amend and reenact Code of Civil Procedure Articles 1035, 1425(C), and 1462(B)(1),
3	relative to the continuous revision of the Code of Civil Procedure; to provide for the
4	delay in filing an answer in incidental actions; to provide for the identification of
5	testifying experts in discovery; to extend the delay for the state to respond to a
6	request for the production of documents and things; and to provide for related
7	matters.
8	Be it enacted by the Legislature of Louisiana:
9	Section 1. Code of Civil Procedure Articles 1035, 1425(C), and 1462(B)(1) are
10	hereby amended and reenacted to read as follows:
11	Art. 1035. Answer
12	The answer in an incidental action shall be filed within the delay allowed by
13	Article 1001, or at any time prior to a judgment by default against the defendant in
14	the incidental action, and shall be subject to all of the rules set forth in Articles 1001
15	and 1003 through 1006.
16	Comment – 2014
17 18	The 2014 amendment to this Article conforms with the rules for answering incidental demands to those provided for the principal demand.
19	* * *

CODING: Words in struck through type are deletions from existing law; words <u>underscored</u> are additions.

1

2	* * *
3	C. The If the court orders the disclosures of Paragraph B of this Article, they
4	shall be made at the times and in the sequence directed by the court. In the absence
5	of other directions from the court or stipulation by the parties, the disclosures
6	required ordered pursuant to Paragraph B of this Article shall be made at least ninety
7	days before the trial date or, if the evidence is intended solely to contradict or rebut
8	evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under Paragraph B
9	of this Article, within thirty days after the disclosure made by the other party. The
10	parties shall supplement these disclosures when required by Article 1428.
11	* * *
12	Comments - 2014
13 14 15	(a) Contrary to <i>Quillian v. The Dixie Bonded Warehouse</i> , 105 So.3d 71 (La. App. 2d Cir. 8/29/12), Article 1425 does not require a party, absent a discovery request or an order requiring an expert report, to identify testifying experts.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(b) The identification of testifying expert witnesses is obtained by interrogatories, depositions, requirements in a scheduling order entered pursuant to Article 1551(A)(5) or (8), or by court order under Paragraph B. The preparation and submission of reports of testifying experts is required only if ordered by the court pursuant to Paragraph (B). If expert reports are ordered, the court should set production deadlines in the order; however, if there is no deadline set in the order, then the sequence provided in Paragraph (C) applies by default.
23	* * *
24	Art. 1462. Production of documents and things; entry upon land; procedure
25	* * *
26	B.(1) The party upon whom the request is served shall serve a written
27	response within fifteen days after service of the request, except that a defendant may
28	serve a response within thirty days after service of the petition upon that defendant,
29	and except that the state and its political subdivisions may serve a response within
30	thirty days after service of the request. The court may allow a shorter or longer time.
31	With respect to each item or category, the response shall state that inspection and
32	related activities will be permitted as requested, unless the request is objected to, in
33	which event the reasons for objection shall be stated. If objection is made to part of

Art. 1425. Experts; pretrial disclosures; scope of discovery

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

an item or category, the part shall be specified. The written answer or reasons for objection to each request for production of documents shall immediately follow a restatement of the request for production of documents to which the answer or objection is responding. The party submitting the request may move for an order under Article 1469 with respect to any objection to or other failure to respond to the request, or any part thereof, or any failure to permit inspection as requested. If objection is made to the requested form or forms for producing information, including electronically stored information, or if no form was specified in the request, the responding party shall state in its response the form or forms it intends to use.

* * * *

Comment - 2014

The 2014 amendment to Paragraph B extends the period for the state and its

DIGEST

political subdivisions to respond to a request from fifteen days to thirty days so as

to coincide with the period provided in Article 1458 for responses to interrogatories.

The digest printed below was prepared by House Legislative Services. It constitutes no part of the legislative instrument. The keyword, one-liner, abstract, and digest do not constitute part of the law or proof or indicia of legislative intent. [R.S. 1:13(B) and 24:177(E)]

Abramson HB No. 607

Abstract: Provides for continuous revision of the Code of Civil Procedure including requiring the deadline for answering incidental demands the same as provided for the incidental demand, clarifying that a party is under no obligation to identify a testifying expert absent a discovery request or order for an expert report, and extending the time period within which the state has to respond to a request for production of documents.

Present law (C.C.P. Art.1035) provides for answer in incidental demands.

<u>Proposed law</u> makes the deadline for answering incidental demands the same as provided for principal demands.

Present law (C.C.P. Art.1425) provides for identifying testifying experts.

<u>Proposed law</u> specifies that a party is under no obligation to identify a testifying expert absent a discovery request or order for an expert report.

Present law (C.C.P. Art.1462) provides for discovery-production of documents and things.

<u>Proposed law</u> extends the period for the state and its political subdivisions to respond to a request for production of documents and things <u>from</u> 15 to 30 days.

(Amends C.C.P. Arts. 1035, 1425(C), and 1462(B)(1))

Summary of Amendments Adopted by House

Committee Amendments Proposed by <u>House Committee on Civil Law and Procedure</u> to the <u>original</u> bill.

1. Deleted changes to C.C.P. Art. 1469(4), authorizing the court to award costs and attorney's fees when a response to discovery is unreasonably delayed, from the bill.