

OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AUDITOR **Fiscal Note**

Fiscal Note On: HB **539** HLS 14RS 923

Bill Text Version: REENGROSSED

Opp. Chamb. Action: Proposed Amd.:

Sub. Bill For.:

1:10 PM **Date:** April 24, 2014

Author: STOKES

Dept./Agy.: Clerks of Court, Constables, Sheriffs

Analyst: Anne Burns

Subject: Submission of notices of repossession and fees

Page 1 of 1

SEIZURES/SALES RE NO IMPACT LF RV See Note Provides relative to time delays and methods of timely submission of notices of repossession

Purpose of Bill: Secured parties utilizing additional default remedies in obtaining possession of collateral are required by present law to file a Notice of Repossession and pay a fee to the recorder of mortgages and to the appropriate official (constable or sheriff). This measure requires the notice and payment to be delivered in person or sent by mail within 3 business days of taking possession of the collateral.

EXPENDITURES	<u> 2014-15</u>	<u>2015-16</u>	2016-17	2017-18	<u> 2018-19</u>	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
REVENUES	<u> 2014-15</u>	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	5 -YEAR TOTAL
State Gen. Fd.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Agy. Self-Gen.	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Ded./Other	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Local Funds	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
Annual Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

EXPENDITURE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental expenditures as a result of this measure.

REVENUE EXPLANATION

There is no anticipated direct material effect on governmental revenues as a result of this measure.

According to an official with the Clerks of Court Association, there are no changes to the fees that are presently collected for filing notices of repossession; therefore, there is no fiscal impact on revenues.

<u>Senate</u>	<u>Dual Referral Rules</u>	<u>House</u>	$6.8(F)(1) >= $100,000 SGF Fiscal Cost {H & S}$	Desire and
13.5.1 >=	\$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost {S	8H}	\bigcirc 6.8(F)(2) >= \$500,000 State Rev. Reduc. {H & S}	and the second
13.5.2 >=	\$500,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change {S&H}		6.8(G) >= \$500,000 Tax or Fee Increase	Joy Irwin Director of Advisory Services